

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## CHAPTER 1

### Determining the Damages Period

§ 1.01	Introduction . . . . .	1-2
§ 1.02	The Outer Boundary of the Damages Period . . . . .	1-14
	[1] Commercial Cases . . . . .	1-14
	[2] Government Cases . . . . .	1-16
	[3] Laches. . . . .	1-17
§ 1.03	Determining When Infringement Starts . . . . .	1-22
§ 1.04	Public Policy Behind the Marking/Notice Requirement . . . . .	1-25
	[1] Historical Background . . . . .	1-26
	[2] Avoiding Innocent Infringement . . . . .	1-27
	[3] Encouraging Patentees to Give Notice to the Public. . . . .	1-27
	[4] Encouraging Innovation by Aiding the Public to Identify Patented Articles. . . . .	1-33
§ 1.05	Marking/Notice Statute Limits on Damages . . . . .	1-35
	[1] Determining if Patentee Is Subject to Section 287(a) . . . . .	1-37
	[a] Threshold Analysis. . . . .	1-38
	[i] Method Claims and Apparatus Claims in Same Asserted Patent . . . . .	1-39
	[ii] Asserted Method Patent with Related Apparatus Patent . . . . .	1-40.1
	[iii] Assertion of Non-Practiced Unmarked Claims. . . . .	1-40.2
	[2] Satisfying the Requirements if the Marking/Notice Statute Applies . . . . .	1-40.3
	[a] Threshold Analysis. . . . .	1-40.3

**PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

	[b]	Marking and Constructive Notice . . . . .	1-40.4
		[i] Licensee/Reseller Marking. . .	1-40.4
		[ii] Package Marking . . . . .	1-40.6
		[iii] Partial or Substantial Compliance. . . . .	1-40.8
		[iv] Combination Patents. . . . .	1-40.10
		[v] Virtual Marking . . . . .	1-40.11
	[c]	Actual Notice . . . . .	1-40.12
		[i] Balancing Between Notice and Declaratory Judgment. . . . .	1-40.12
		[ii] Notice to Appropriate Party . . . . .	1-40.16
		[iii] Notice by Appropriate Party . . . . .	1-41
		[iv] Content of the Communication . . . . .	1-42
		[v] Infringer's Conduct . . . . .	1-45
	[3]	Consequences of Not Meeting the Marking/Notice Requirements. . . . .	1-46
§ 1.06	Other	Limitations and Extensions . . . . .	1-47
	[1]	Foreseeability and Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents. . . . .	1-48
	[2]	Product-by-Process Infringement. . . . .	1-49
	[3]	Design Patents . . . . .	1-51
	[4]	False Marking and Marking Estoppel. . .	1-52
		[a] False Marking. . . . .	1-52
		[b] Marking Estoppel. . . . .	1-56
	[5]	Pre-Issuance Damages . . . . .	1-58
	[6]	Non-Statutory Double Patenting . . . . .	1-60
	[7]	Relationship to Timing of Hypothetical Negotiation. . . . .	1-61
	[8]	Patent Expiration . . . . .	1-61
§ 1.07		Questions of Fact and Questions of Law . . . . .	1-63

**CHAPTER 2****Determining the Damages Base**

§ 2.01	Introduction . . . . .	2-3
§ 2.02	The Apportionment Principle . . . . .	2-7
	[1] Lost Profits and Reasonable Royalty Calculations . . . . .	2-11

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xvii

[2]	Ascertaining the Applicable Facts. . . . .	2-13
[3]	Scope of the Patent . . . . .	2-14
[4]	Categorizing the Unpatented Components . . . . .	2-18
[5]	Applying the Apportionment Principle and Exceptions. . . . .	2-19
[a]	Apply the Appropriate Apportionment Principle Methodology . . . . .	2-22
[i]	Damages Base Is the Smallest Salable Unit, Further Apportioned. . . . .	2-22
[ii]	Comparable Licenses . . . . .	2-25
[iii]	Standards Essential Patents. . . . .	2-25
[b]	Exceptions to the General Rule— Entire Market Value Rule . . . . .	2-26
[i]	Basis for Demand. . . . .	2-26
[ii]	Functional Unit. . . . .	2-29
[iii]	Analogous to Single Functional Unit. . . . .	2-30
[c]	Other Special Circumstances. . . . .	2-32
[i]	Foreseeability . . . . .	2-32
[ii]	Product Made by Patented Process or by a Patented Machine . . . . .	2-34
[iii]	Punitive Damages. . . . .	2-35
[iv]	Unpatented Consumables. . . . .	2-35
[v]	Collateral or Convoyed Sales . . . . .	2-36
[vi]	Apportionment in the Reasonable Royalty Context . . . . .	2-39
[6]	Determining if Limitations to the Entire Market Value Rule Apply. . . . .	2-40
[a]	Apportionment . . . . .	2-40
[b]	Spare Parts/Replacement Parts . . . . .	2-47
[c]	Unforeseeability . . . . .	2-48
[d]	Sales to United States Government . . . . .	2-49
§ 2.03	Usage Scope of the Damages Base—Indirect Infringement . . . . .	2-50
[1]	Categories of Patent Infringement. . . . .	2-50
[2]	Elements of Indirect Infringement . . . . .	2-51

**PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

	[a]	Elements of Induced Infringement . . . . .	2-51
	[i]	Intentional Actions . . . . .	2-51
	[ii]	Knowledge of the Patent . . . . .	2-52
	[iii]	Knowledge that Acts Induced Infringement . . . . .	2-52
	[iv]	Underlying Direct Infringement . . . . .	2-53
	[b]	Contributory Infringement . . . . .	2-54
	[i]	Sale, Offer or Importation . . . . .	2-54
	[ii]	Act of Direct Infringement . . . . .	2-55
	[iii]	Not a Staple Article, Commodity, Incapable of Substantial Non-Infringing Use . . . . .	2-55
	[iv]	Material Part of the Patented Invention . . . . .	2-56
	[v]	Knowledge . . . . .	2-56
	[3]	Determining the Damages Base for Indirect Infringement . . . . .	2-56
	[a]	Inherently Infringing Approach . . . . .	2-57
	[b]	Apportionment Approach . . . . .	2-57
	[c]	Hypothetical Negotiation Approach . . . . .	2-58
§ 2.04		Extraterritorial Scope of the Damages Base . . . . .	2-59
	[1]	Introduction . . . . .	2-59
	[2]	Contributory Infringement Outside the U.S. . . . .	2-65
	[a]	Single Component . . . . .	2-65
	[b]	Design Patents . . . . .	2-66
	[c]	Process or Method Patents . . . . .	2-66
	[d]	Computer Software . . . . .	2-67
	[3]	Imported Products . . . . .	2-72
	[4]	Systems Straddling a Border . . . . .	2-73
	[5]	Sales or Offers to Sell in U.S. Made Outside U.S. . . . .	2-74
§ 2.05		Design Patents . . . . .	2-75

**CHAPTER 3****Established Royalty Measure of Damages**

§ 3.01	Introduction . . . . .	3-1
§ 3.02	Determining Whether Established Royalty Exists . . . . .	3-4
[1]	Paid or Secured Before the Infringement Began . . . . .	3-4
[2]	Paid by a Sufficient Number of Persons . . . . .	3-5
[3]	Uniformity . . . . .	3-6
[4]	Not Under Threat of Suit or in Settlement of Litigation . . . . .	3-6.1
[5]	Comparable Rights . . . . .	3-6.2
§ 3.03	Effects on Adequate Compensation . . . . .	3-7
[1]	Relation to Reasonable Royalty . . . . .	3-7
[2]	Relation to Lost Profits . . . . .	3-9

**CHAPTER 4****Reasonable Royalty Measure of Damages**

§ 4.01	Introduction . . . . .	4-2
§ 4.02	The Hypothetical Negotiation . . . . .	4-4
§ 4.03	Calculating the Reasonable Royalty . . . . .	4-9
[1]	The <i>Georgia-Pacific</i> Factors . . . . .	4-9
[a]	Overview . . . . .	4-9
[b]	Comparable Licenses . . . . .	4-12
[i]	Existing Licenses (Factor 1) . . . . .	4-13
[ii]	Comparable Patents (Factor 2) . . . . .	4-17
[iii]	Customary Profit Allocation (Factor 12) . . . . .	4-19
[c]	Scope and Duration of License (Factors 3 & 7) . . . . .	4-19
[d]	Relationships Between Parties (Factors 4 & 5) . . . . .	4-20
[e]	Role of Non-Patented Elements (Factors 6 & 13) . . . . .	4-20
[f]	Product Profitability (Factor 8) . . . . .	4-23
[g]	Value of the Patented Invention (Factors 9, 10 & 11) . . . . .	4-24

**PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

	[h] Expert Testimony and the Hypothetical Negotiation (Factors 14 & 15) . . . . .	4-26
	[i] Other Factors . . . . .	4-28
	[i] Widespread Infringement . . . . .	4-28
	[ii] Cost Savings . . . . .	4-29
	[iii] Price Erosion . . . . .	4-30
	[iv] Patent Population . . . . .	4-30
	[v] Other . . . . .	4-31
	[2] Application of the <i>Georgia-Pacific</i> Factors . . . . .	4-32
	[a] Trial Court Discretion . . . . .	4-32
	[b] Appellate Review . . . . .	4-33
	[3] Throughput or Paid-Up Licenses . . . . .	4-35
	[4] Percentage of Sales . . . . .	4-37
§ 4.04	Other Approaches . . . . .	4-40
	[1] The Analytical Approach . . . . .	4-40
	[2] Mathematical Models . . . . .	4-41
	[a] The 25-Percent Rule . . . . .	4-41
	[b] The Nash Bargaining Solution . . . . .	4-43
	[3] Percentage of Patentee's Profits . . . . .	4-44
	[4] Allocated Cost Savings . . . . .	4-45
	[5] U.S. Government as the Infringer . . . . .	4-46
	[6] Licensing of "Standards Essential" Patents . . . . .	4-48
	[7] Technology Licensing Model . . . . .	4-50
	[8] Usage-Based Infringement . . . . .	4-50.3
§ 4.05	Applying Enhancements and Limitations . . . . .	4-50.5
	[1] Enhancement . . . . .	4-50.5
	[2] Limitation on Reasonable Royalty . . . . .	4-54
	[a] Generally . . . . .	4-54
	[b] Non-Infringing Alternative . . . . .	4-54
	[c] Infringer's Profits . . . . .	4-56
§ 4.06	Post-Verdict Royalty . . . . .	4-59

**CHAPTER 5****Lost Profits Measure of Damages**

§ 5.01	Introduction . . . . .	5-2
§ 5.02	Application of the <i>Panduit</i> Factors . . . . .	5-7
	[1] Demand for the Patented Product . . . . .	5-7
	[a] Existing Demand . . . . .	5-7
	[b] No Demand . . . . .	5-8

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxi

	[c]	Products Made by Patented Method . . . . .	5-10
[2]		No Acceptable Non-Infringing Substitutes . . . . .	5-10
	[a]	Product Dimension . . . . .	5-11
		[i] Non-Infringing Substitutes Not Found . . . . .	5-13
		[ii] Non-Infringing Substitutes Found . . . . .	5-14
		[iii] Market Share Analysis . . . . .	5-15
		[iv] Willful Infringement Basis for Proving Absence of Non-Infringing Substitute . . . . .	5-16
	[b]	Time Dimension . . . . .	5-16
[3]		Manufacturing and Marketing Capacity to Meet the Demand . . . . .	5-18
	[a]	Manufacturing Capability . . . . .	5-18.1
	[b]	Marketing Capability . . . . .	5-19
	[c]	Special Problems Related to Foreign Sales . . . . .	5-20
[4]		Determining the Amount of Lost Profits . . . . .	5-21
	[a]	Determining the Patentee's Sales "but for" the Infringement . . . . .	5-23
	[b]	Lost Profits Enhancements . . . . .	5-25
		[i] In General . . . . .	5-25
		[ii] Entire Market Value Rule and Convoyed Sales . . . . .	5-25
		[iii] Post-Expiration Damages . . . . .	5-26
	[c]	Lost Profits Limitations . . . . .	5-27
	[d]	Determining the Lost Profits on the Damages Base . . . . .	5-27
		[i] Price Variable . . . . .	5-27
		[ii] Cost Variable . . . . .	5-29
§ 5.03		Apportionment of Lost Profits Damages . . . . .	5-31
§ 5.04		Intercompany Issues . . . . .	5-32

## CHAPTER 6

## Limitations on Damages

§ 6.01	Introduction . . . . .	6-2
§ 6.02	Multiple Recovery and Authorization— Multiple Infringers . . . . .	6-5
[1]	Types of Infringing Acts and Infringers . . . . .	6-5
[a]	Types of Patent Claims . . . . .	6-6
[b]	Types of Infringing Acts . . . . .	6-9
[2]	Creating Authorization. . . . .	6-15
[a]	First Sale of Patented Product—Exhaustion . . . . .	6-15
[b]	Implied License . . . . .	6-19
[i]	Creation by Product Sale . . . . .	6-20
[ii]	Creation Through Product Circumstances. . . . .	6-21
[iii]	Creation Through Equitable Estoppel . . . . .	6-21
[iv]	Creation Through Course of Conduct. . . . .	6-22
[3]	Boundaries of Authorization . . . . .	6-22
[a]	Claims and Patents. . . . .	6-22
[i]	Apparatus and Method Claims of the Same Patent . . . . .	6-22
[ii]	Method Patent. . . . .	6-23
[iii]	Combination Patent . . . . .	6-23
[b]	Use Restriction . . . . .	6-24
[c]	Life of the Patented Product . . . . .	6-25
[d]	License Restrictions . . . . .	6-26
[4]	Repair/Reconstruction . . . . .	6-27
[a]	Basic Principles . . . . .	6-27
[b]	Effects Both Under Exhaustion and Implied License. . . . .	6-30
[5]	Product Sales by Licensee. . . . .	6-31
§ 6.03	Multiple Infringing Acts. . . . .	6-33
§ 6.04	Indemnification . . . . .	6-35
[1]	Obligation of Indemnification . . . . .	6-35
[a]	Uniform Commercial Code . . . . .	6-35
[b]	Contractual Arrangements Between Parties . . . . .	6-38



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxiii

	[2] Limitations on Indemnification . . . . .	6-38.2
	[3] Federal Preemption . . . . .	6-38.3
§ 6.05	Joint Infringement . . . . .	6-38.6

## CHAPTER 7

### Enhancements to Damages

§ 7.01	Introduction . . . . .	7-3
§ 7.02	Increased Damages Under 35 U.S.C. § 284 . . . . .	7-5
	[1] Introduction . . . . .	7-5
	[2] Two-Part Inquiry: Entitlement and Quantification . . . . .	7-7
	[3] Pre- <i>Seagate</i> Entitlement to Enhanced Damages for Willful Infringement . . . . .	7-9
	[a] Knowledge and Duty . . . . .	7-15
	[b] Affirmative Actions to Avoid Infringement . . . . .	7-21
	[i] Opinion of Counsel . . . . .	7-21
	[ii] Effect of Adverse Inference . . . . .	7-23
	[iii] Competency of the Opinion . . . . .	7-24.1
	[4] Post- <i>Seagate</i> Entitlement to Damages . . . . .	7-27
	[a] Objectively High Likelihood That Acts Constituted Infringement . . . . .	7-29
	[b] Risk Known or Obvious . . . . .	7-30
	[5] Post- <i>Halo</i> Standard for Enhanced Damages . . . . .	7-31
	[6] Quantification of Enhanced Damages . . . . .	7-33
	[a] Award Amount Is Discretionary . . . . .	7-33
	[b] The <i>Read</i> Factors . . . . .	7-34
	[i] Deliberate Copying . . . . .	7-35
	[ii] Infringer's Good Faith Belief in Invalidity or Non-Infringement . . . . .	7-36
	[iii] Infringer's Behavior . . . . .	7-36
	[iv] Infringer's Size and Financial Condition . . . . .	7-37
	[v] Closeness of the Case . . . . .	7-38
	[vi] Duration of Infringer's Misconduct . . . . .	7-39

## PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	[vii] Remedial Actions . . . . .	7-39
	[viii] Infringer's Motivation for Harm . . . . .	7-39
	[ix] Infringer's Attempt to Conceal Misconduct . . . . .	7-40
§ 7.03	Attorney Fees Under 35 U.S.C. § 285 . . . . .	7-40.1
	[1] Introduction . . . . .	7-40.1
	[2] Determination of Whether the Case is Exceptional—Historical Evolution of Issues . . . . .	7-43
	[a] Patentee Is the Prevailing Party . . . . .	7-43
	[b] Accused Infringer Is the Prevailing Party . . . . .	7-46
	[i] Inequitable Conduct . . . . .	7-47
	[ii] Patent Misuse . . . . .	7-50
	[c] Common Issues . . . . .	7-52
	[i] Litigation Misconduct . . . . .	7-52
	[ii] Defenses . . . . .	7-54
	[iii] Appeals . . . . .	7-54
	[3] Determining Whether the Case is Exceptional— <i>Brooks Furniture</i> Era 2005-2014 . . . . .	7-54.1
	[a] Standard . . . . .	7-54.1
	[b] Burden of Proof . . . . .	7-55
	[4] Determining Whether the Case is Exceptional—Post- <i>Octane</i> <i>Fitness</i> Era . . . . .	7-55
	[a] Standard . . . . .	7-55
	[b] Standard of Review . . . . .	7-56
	[c] Post- <i>Octane Fitness</i> Decisions . . . . .	7-56
	[i] Fees Shifted from Prevailing Plaintiff . . . . .	7-57
	[ii] Fees Shifted from Prevailing Defendant . . . . .	7-57
	[iii] Fee-Shifting Denied . . . . .	7-58
	[5] Determination of the Attorney Fee Amount . . . . .	7-58
	[a] Generally . . . . .	7-58
	[b] Special Circumstances . . . . .	7-58.2
	[i] Dual Awards . . . . .	7-58.2
	[ii] Expert Witness Fees . . . . .	7-58.3
	[iii] In-House Counsel . . . . .	7-58.3
	[iv] Contingent Fees . . . . .	7-58.4
§ 7.04	Prejudgment Interest Under Damages Statute . . . . .	7-58.5
	[1] Introduction . . . . .	7-58.5

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxv

[2]	Awarding Prejudgment Interest is the Norm . . . . .	7-59
[a]	Denial Proper . . . . .	7-59
[b]	Denial Not Proper . . . . .	7-60
[c]	Partial Denial . . . . .	7-60
[3]	Damage Components . . . . .	7-61
[a]	Excluding Punitive Components . . . . .	7-62
[b]	Compensation for Past Damages . . . . .	7-62
[4]	Interest Rate and Compounding . . . . .	7-63

## CHAPTER 8

### Proving Damages

§ 8.01	Introduction . . . . .	8-2
[1]	Standards of Proof and Review . . . . .	8-2
§ 8.02	Admissibility under Federal Rule of Evidence 403 . . . . .	8-5
§ 8.03	Expert Testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 . . . . .	8-6
[1]	Historical Admissibility . . . . .	8-6
[2]	Applicability of Admissibility Standards . . . . .	8-8
[a]	Expert Testimony Admissible . . . . .	8-10
[i]	Disputed Facts . . . . .	8-10
[ii]	Market Research Studies . . . . .	8-11
[iii]	Disputed Methodology . . . . .	8-11
[iv]	Speculative Testimony . . . . .	8-12
[b]	Expert Testimony Inadmissible . . . . .	8-12
[i]	Invalid or Erroneous Conclusion . . . . .	8-12
[ii]	Unsupported Methodology or Speculation . . . . .	8-13
[iii]	Failure to Relate Conclusion to Supporting Evidence . . . . .	8-13
[c]	Qualifications . . . . .	8-14
[3]	Case Outcome . . . . .	8-14
§ 8.04	Record Retention . . . . .	8-15
[1]	Adverse Inference . . . . .	8-15
[2]	Defenses . . . . .	8-16
§ 8.05	Bifurcation . . . . .	8-17
[1]	Judicial Economy . . . . .	8-17
§ 8.06	Discovery and Local Rules . . . . .	8-19

## CHAPTER 9

**Damages Accounting Issues**

§ 9.01	Introduction . . . . .	9-2
§ 9.02	Assessing Expert Testimony: Accounting Standards for Reliability . . . . .	9-5
[1]	Generally . . . . .	9-5
[2]	Admissibility Under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 . . . . .	9-5
[a]	Use of the term “Opinion” by Accountants . . . . .	9-5
[b]	Professional Qualifications, Rules and Ethical Standards . . . . .	9-5
[i]	GAAP . . . . .	9-6
[ii]	AICPA Code of Professional Conduct Rules . . . . .	9-6
[iii]	MCS/SCSS . . . . .	9-6
[iv]	Other Certifications . . . . .	9-8
[3]	Probative Value of Expert Testimony and Limitations . . . . .	9-9
[a]	Rule 26 . . . . .	9-9
[b]	International Methods . . . . .	9-10
[c]	Completeness and Disclosure . . . . .	9-11
[d]	Valuation . . . . .	9-11
[e]	Skill at Persuading the Court . . . . .	9-12
§ 9.03	Accounting Issues in Reasonable Royalty Determination . . . . .	9-14
[1]	Percentage of Sales (or Revenues) Method . . . . .	9-15
[a]	Royalty Rate . . . . .	9-15
[b]	Royalty Base . . . . .	9-16
[i]	Revenue Recognition Principles . . . . .	9-17
[ii]	Other Issues in Calculating Applicable Sales . . . . .	9-18
[2]	Percentage of Profits Method . . . . .	9-19
[a]	Production Costs . . . . .	9-20
[b]	Fixed and Variable Costs . . . . .	9-21
[c]	Incremental Cost . . . . .	9-23
[d]	Standard Cost . . . . .	9-23
[e]	Activity Based Costing . . . . .	9-23
[f]	Other Costs . . . . .	9-24
[3]	Percentage of Cost Savings Method . . . . .	9-24
[4]	Nash Bargaining Solution . . . . .	9-26

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxvii

§ 9.04	Accounting Issues in Lost Profits	
	Determination . . . . .	9-26.1
[1]	<i>Panduit</i> Factors . . . . .	9-26.1
	[a] Variables To Include . . . . .	9-26.1
	[b] Incremental Profits . . . . .	9-27
[2]	Future Lost Profits and Prejudgment	
	Interest . . . . .	9-28
§ 9.05	Sources of Information to Support Estimation	
	of Damages . . . . .	9-29
§ 9.06	Internal Accounting for Damages Awards . . . .	9-30

## CHAPTER 10

### Injunctive Relief and the International Trade Commission

§ 10.01	Introduction and Overview . . . . .	10-1
§ 10.02	Injunctive Relief . . . . .	10-3
[1]	Permanent Injunctions . . . . .	10-3
	[a] Historical Background . . . . .	10-3
	[b] Equitable Standards Test . . . . .	10-5
	[i] Irreparable Injury . . . . .	10-10
	[ii] Remedies Available	
	at Law Inadequate . . . . .	10-13
	[iii] Balance of Hardships . . . . .	10-16
	[iv] Public Interest . . . . .	10-17
	[c] Non-Practicing Entities and	
	Permanent Injunctions . . . . .	10-17
[2]	Temporary Injunctions . . . . .	10-18
§ 10.03	International Trade Commission Actions . . . .	10-21
	[1] In General . . . . .	10-21
	[2] Special Issues with Respect to	
	Non-Practicing Entities . . . . .	10-24
<b>INDEX</b>	. . . . .	I-1

