Chap	oter 1: Traditional Common Law Immunity	
an	d the Origins of Texas Dram Shop Liability	1
1-1	TRADITIONAL IMMUNITY	1
1-2	EL CHICO V. POOLE	2
1-3	THE STATUTE	4
1-4	COMMON LAW OR STATUTORY	
	CAUSE OF ACTION	6
1-5	COMMENTARY	7
	oter 2: The Statutory Cause of Action Section 2.02	
	xas Alcoholic Beverage Code	
2-1	"PROVIDER" DEFINED	
2-2	SECTION 2.02(A)	10
2-3		10
2-4	THE MEANING OF "OBVIOUS INTOXICATION"	
	AND "AT THE TIME THE PROVISION OCCURRED.".	17
Chap	oter 3: Traditional Common Law Social Host Immunity	23
3-1	TRADITIONAL IMMUNITY	
3-2	COMMON LAW SOCIAL HOST IMMUNITY	
	AFTER 1987 AND BEFORE 2005	23
3-3	THE ESTABLISHMENT OF CIVIL LIABILITY	
	FOR CERTAIN SOCIAL HOSTS	25
Chap	oter 4: Statutory Social Host Liability,	
Te	xas Alcoholic Beverage Code Section 2.02c	27
4-1	THE STATUTE	27
4-2		
4-3	THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION	
	OF THE STATUTE	28

	oter 5: The Exclusivity of the Cause of Action,	
Te	xas Alcoholic Beverage Code Section 2.03	.31
5-1	THE STATUTE	
5-2	ATTEMPTS TO CIRCUMVENT THE EXCLUSIVITY	.32
5-3	THE PRACTICAL EFFECT OF THE	
	EXCLUSIVE REMEDY PROVISION	.33
Chap	oter 6: The Recovery of Exemplary Damages	.39
6-1	STEAK & ALE OF TEXAS, INC. V. BORNEMAN	.39
6-2	TEXAS CIVIL PRACTICE AND REMEDIES	
	CODE 41.005 AND WILSON V. KWG	.40
6-3	WRONGFUL DEATH AND THE TEXAS	
	CONSTITUTION	.43
Chan	oter 7: The Safe Harbor Affirmative Defense,	
Te	xas Alcoholic Beverage Code Section 106.14	.49
7-1	THE STATUTE	.49
7-2	THE FIRST PRONG AND THE EVIDENCE	
7-3	THE SECOND PRONG AND THE EVIDENCE	.52
7-4	THE THIRD PRONG AND THE EVIDENCE	
	BEFORE PARKER	.54
7-5	20801 V. PARKER	
7-6	COMMENTARY—PARKER SHIFTS THE BURDEN	
	OF PROOF AND STANDS TRADITIONAL	
	NOTIONS OF AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
	ON THEIR HEAD	.60
7-7	USING THE TEXAS ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CODE	
	RULES TO DEFEAT THE SAFE HARBOR DEFENSE	.63
7-8	DEFEATING THE SAFE HARBOR DEFENSE BY	
	SUING THE INDIVIDUAL SERVER IN ADDITION	
	TO THE LICENSED ESTABLISHMENT	.65
Chap	oter 8: Duenez and the Proportionate Responsibility Act:	
	oplication to Dram Shop Cases	.69
8-1	BACKGROUND OF THE DUENEZ CASE	
8-2	THE COURT'S PRETRIAL RULING	.70
8-3	THE TRIAL RESULT	.71
8-4	THE COURT OF APPEALS AFFIRMS	
8-5	THE SUPREME COURT ISSUES THREE OPINIONS	
8-6	THE PRACTICAL EFFECT OF THE ULTIMATE	
	HOLDING AND THE COMPARATIVE	
	RESPONSIBILITY ACT	.74

8-7	COMMENTARY	74
8-8	THE DRUNK DRIVER DEFENDANT AS	
	A RESPONSIBLE THIRD PARTY	75
Chan	ter 9: Evaluating the Potential Dram Shop Case	
Fro	om the Plaintiff's Perspective	79
9-1	THIRD PARTY CASES	
9-2	FIRST PARTY CASES	
9-3	SECOND PARTY CASES	
Chap	ter 10: Pre-Litigation Investigation and Preparation	85
10-1	WITNESS STATEMENTS AND EXAMINATION	
	UNDER OATH: EYEWITNESSES AND SERVERS	85
10-2	CONTACT WITH THE DRUNK DRIVER'S	
	CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYER:	
	NON-DISCOVERABLE WITNESS INTERVIEW	90
10-3	ONSITE INVESTIGATION AND THWARTING	
	THE SAFE HARBOR DEFENSE PRE-LITIGATION	91
10-4	POLICE REPORT AND BLOOD ALCOHOL	
	CONCENTRATION	92
10-5	FILING SUIT AGAINST THE DRUNK DRIVER IN	
	THE CASE OF A SECOND PARTY OR THIRD PARTY	
	ACTION PRIOR TO SUING THE ESTABLISHMENT	93
10-6	PRE-LITIGATION INVESTIGATION ON	
	BEHALF OF THE ESTABLISHMENT	94
10-7	AVOIDING THE MISTAKES MOST OFTEN MADE	
	BY PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS	95
Chap	ter 11: Preparation of Plaintiff's Original Petition and	
Str	rategic Considerations From the Plaintiff's Perspective	101
11-1	PARTIES TO BE NAMED	
	IN THE PETITION AND WHY	101
11-2	REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE	
11-3	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION	106
11-4	INTERROGATORIES	109
11-5	STRATEGIC CONSIDERATIONS FROM	
	THE PLAINTIFF'S PERSPECTIVE	113
	ter 12: The Filing of a Contemporaneous Complaint	
	th the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Code	
12-1	INTRODUCTION	
12-2	COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL CONSIDERATIONS	121

		etendant's Original Answer and	
		onsiderations for the Defense	
13-1		DUCTION	
13-2	THE N	EGLIGENCE OF THE PLAINTIFF	126
13-3	NO EX	EMPLARY DAMAGES	127
13-4	DESIG	NATION OF RESPONSIBLE	
	THIRD	PARTY—DO IT PREEMPTIVELY	
		LLIFY LATER NONSUIT	
	BY TH	E PLAINTIFF	128
13-5	ASSER	T THE SAFE HARBOR	
	DEFE	NSE? MAYBE	130
13-6	STRAT	TEGIC CONSIDERATIONS	
		HE DEFENSE	
13-7	THE SI	EATBELT DEFENSE IN DRAM SHOP CASES	134
Chap	ter 14: W	ritten Discovery	137
14-1		E PLAINTIFF	
	14-1:1	Sample List of Items to Include in Request	
		for Production to Plaintiff(s)	138
14-2	INTER	ROGATORIES	
	14-2:1	Sample Interrogatories for Defense Counsel	142
14-3	TO TH	E DEFENDANT	145
	14-3:1	Sample List of Items to Include in Request	
		for Production to Defendant Provider	145
	14-3:2	Sample List of Items to Include in Request	
		for Production to Defendant Bartender	151
	14-3:3	Sample List of Items to Include in Request	
		for Production to Defendant Drunk Driver	
		or Intoxicated Person	152
14-4	SAMPI	LE INTERROGATORIES FOR PLAINTIFF	
	COUN	SEL	154
	14-4:1	Sample Interrogatories for Individual	
		Defendants	158
	14-4:2	Sample Interrogatories for Drunk Driver	
		Litigant	162
Chap	ter 15: De	epositions	167
15-1		SING THE FIRST PARTY PLAINTIFF	
15-2		SING THE SECOND PARTY PLAINTIFF	
15-3	DEPOS	SING THE THIRD PARTY PLAINTIFF	173
15-4		SING THE DRUNK DRIVER	
15-5		SING THE DEFENSE WITNESSES	

Chapt	ter 16: Toxicology and Expert Testimony	185
16-1	BACKGROUND	185
16-2	RETROGRADE EXTRAPOLATION	186
16-3	THE DUBOWSKI CHART AND EXPECTED SIGNS	
	OF INTOXICATION AT VARIOUS LEVELS	
	OF BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION	188
16-4	METABOLIC AND PHYSIOLOGIC TOLERANCE	
	TO ALCOHOL	189
16-5	DEPOSING THE PLAINTIFF'S	
	TOXICOLOGIST	190
16-6	THE RETENTION AND UTILIZATION OF THE	
	DEFENSE TOXICOLOGIST	193
16-7	THE SELECTION AND USE OF LIABILITY	
	EXPERTS, IF ANY	196
	16-7:1 Liability Experts for the Plaintiff	196
	16-7:2 Liability Experts for the Defense	
16-8	DEPOSING THE DEFENSE TOXICOLOGIST	200
Chan	ter 17: Motion in Limine	205
17-1		
17-2		
Chap	ter 18: Jury Selection	219
18-1	JURY SELECTION IN THE TRIAL	
	OF DRAM SHOP CASES	219
18-2	THE PLAINTIFF'S VOIR DIRE AND	
	JURY SELECTION	221
18-3	VOIR DIRE AND JURY SELECTION	
	FOR THE DEFENSE	228
	ter 19: Trying the Dram Shop Case to a Jury	233
19-1	TRYING THE PLAINTIFF'S CASE	
19-2	TRYING THE DEFENDANT'S CASE	
19-3	FINAL ARGUMENT FOR THE PLAINTIFF	
19-4	FINAL ARGUMENT FOR THE DEFENSE	247
Appei	ndix: Forms	251
1:1	PLAINTIFF'S PETITION, SAMPLE A	
1:2	PLAINTIFF'S PETITION, SAMPLE B	
1:3	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE	
	AND ORIGINAL ANSWER	267

1:4	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE,	
	ANSWER AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO	
	DESIGNATE RESPONSIBLE THIRD PARTY,	
	SUBJECT THERETO	272
1:5	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO MULTIPLE PLAINTIFF	
	AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DESIGNATE	
	RESPONSIBLE THIRD PARTY	278
1:6	PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S	
	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DESIGNATE	
	RESPONSIBLE THIRD PARTY	285
1:7	DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO OBJECTION TO MOTION	
	FOR LEAVE TO DESIGNATE RESPONSIBLE	
	THIRD PARTY	290
1:8	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFF,	
	SAMPLE A	296
1:9	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFF,	
	SAMPLE B	303
1:10	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO	
	DRAM SHOP DEFENDANT	309
1:11	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANT	
	DRUNK DRIVER	318
1:12	INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF, SAMPLE A	323
1:13	INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF, SAMPLE B	329
1:14	INTERROGATORIES TO DRAM SHOP	
	DEFENDANT	336
1:15	INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT	
	DRUNK DRIVER	341
1:16	DEFENDANT'S NO EVIDENCE MOTION	
	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	346
1:17	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY	
	JUDGMENT	352
1:18	DEFENDANT'S TRADITIONAL AND NO	
	EVIDENCE MOTION FOR SUMMARY	
	JUDGMENT	362
1:19	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE	396
1:20	DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE	403
1:21	DRAM SHOP JURY CHARGE	
	(CHARGE OF THE COURT)	410
1:22	DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF'S	
	TOXICOLOGY EXPERT	428

Inde	X	475
Tabl	le of Cases	471
3	Section 106.14. Actions of Employee	467
	Section 2.03. Exclusivity of Statutory Remedy	
1	Section 2.02. Causes of Action	
App	endix: Texas Alcoholic Beverage Code	
1:24	BAC POSTER	463
	INFLUENCE/INTOXICATION (DUBOWSKI)	
1:23	STAGES OF ACUTE ALCOHOLIC	