

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

Characteristics of Corporate Crime

§ 1.01	Introduction	1-3
§ 1.02	Defining Corporate Crime	1-7
§ 1.03	Social Significance of Corporate Crime	1-10
§ 1.04	Public Injuries from Corporate Offenses	1-12
§ 1.05	Relationship Between Corporate Crime and Corporate Management	1-14.1
§ 1.06	Sources of Corporate Crime	1-16
	[1] Individual Offenders	1-17
	[2] Corporate Environments	1-20
	[3] Management Policies and Practices	1-22
	[a] Offenses Consciously Desired by Managers	1-23
	[b] Policies and Practices Unintentionally Encouraging Illegal Conduct	1-27
	[c] Constraints on Information Transfers and Decision Making	1-29
	[d] Crime Prevention Measures	1-30
	[e] Incentive Compensation Encouraging Criminal Risk Taking	1-30
§ 1.07	Distinctive Features of Corporate Crime	1-30.2
	[1] Concealment	1-30.2
	[a] Secrecy Concerning Legitimate Business Activities	1-30.2
	[b] Secrecy in Corporate Law Compliance Programs	1-31
	[c] Secrecy in Defending Against Corporate Prosecutions	1-31
	[d] Corporate Control over Information Sources	1-32
	[e] Dispersion of Relevant Evidence	1-33
	[f] Internal Corporate Pressures Discouraging Disclosures of Misconduct	1-33
	[g] Uncertain Illegality of Corporate Behavior	1-35

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[h] Overcoming Pressures to Conceal Offenses	1-36
	[i] Disparities in Enforcement and Compliance Resources.	1-36.2
	[2] Diffuse Responsibility.	1-36.3
	[a] Specialization Effects.	1-36.3
	[b] Information Barriers.	1-37
	[c] Incentive Systems.	1-38
	[d] Measuring Culpability	1-39
§ 1.08	Distinctive Agency Relationships Constraining Corporate Crime.	1-41
	[1] Top Managers as Law Enforcement Agents Serving Corporate Shareholders	1-42
	[2] Operating Employees as Law Enforcement Agents of Corporate Principals	1-44
	[3] Corporate Managers as Agents of Law Enforcement Officials	1-45
§ 1.09	Measuring Corporate Crime	1-47
	[1] Broad Range of Affected Firms.	1-47
	[2] Federal Offenses	1-48
	[a] Types of Offenses Prosecuted	1-48
	[b] Sanctions Imposed	1-50
	[c] The Relationship Between Corporate and Individual Prosecutions	1-51
	[d] Prosecutorial Discretion	1-52
	[3] State Offenses	1-53
	[4] Economic Crime Patterns	1-56
	[a] Crime Levels	1-56
	[b] Types of Crimes.	1-57
	[c] Victim Losses.	1-58
	[d] Civil and Criminal Penalties	1-58
	[e] Collateral Damage	1-58.1
	[5] Case Study: Financial Consequences of the BP Oil Well Blowout	1-58.2
	[6] Consequences of Corporate Convictions: Empirical Research on Penalties Threatening Corporate Survival.	1-58.4
§ 1.10	A New Perspective: Evaluating Corporate Crime as a Manageable Defect in Corporate Performance.	1-56.7
	[1] Components of a Performance Defect Interpretation of Corporate Crime	1-58.8
	[a] Viewing Managers as Potential Architects of Corporate Law Compliance	1-58.8
	[b] Measuring Corporate Fault and Liability	1-59
	[c] Evaluating Corporate Crimes as Defects in Corporate Performance.	1-60

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxi

[2]	A Taxonomy of Corporate Crime in Terms of Performance Defects and Prevention Methods.	1-61
	[a] Offenses Resulting from Management Misdirection	1-61
	[b] Offenses Resulting from Inadequate Provisions for Law Compliance	1-62
	[i] Inadequate Identification of Compliance Behaviors	1-62
	[ii] Failures to Properly Reward and Motivate Employees	1-63
	[iii] Failures to Properly Select or Advance Employees.	1-63
	[iv] Failures of Control Measures	1-63
	[c] Offenses Resulting from Poorly Organized Offense Responses.	1-64
	[i] Distinguishing Common and Special Causes of Corporate Offenses.	1-64
	[ii] Responses to Offenses from Special Causes	1-65
	[iii] Responses to Offenses from Systemic Causes	1-65
	[d] Additional, Unpreventable Offenses.	1-66
	[i] Offenses by Rogue Employees.	1-67
	[ii] Offenses from Justifiably Tolerated Systemic Sources	1-67
	[3] The Role of Compliance Programs in Corporate Criminal Law	1-67
§ 1.11	Conclusion.	1-74

CHAPTER 2

Rationales for Corporate Criminal Liability

§ 2.01	Why Punish Inanimate Corporate Entities?	2-3
§ 2.02	Historical Roots of Corporate Criminal Liability	2-7
	[1] Irrelevance of Corporate “Personhood”	2-7
	[2] Rejection of Organizational Fault as a Basis for Corporate Criminal Liability	2-10
§ 2.03	Policy Justifications for Corporate Criminal Liability.	2-13
	[1] Corporate Fault Rationales	2-13
	[a] Finding Organizational Fault: The Misguided Search for a Corporate Personality	2-14

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[b]	Advantages of Fault Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability.....	2-18
	[c]	Whose Fault Should Matter?.....	2-20
		[i] Fault of Operating Employees.....	2-20
		[ii] The Need for Further Managerial Fault.....	2-21
	[d]	What Fault Should Be Sufficient?....	2-22
		[i] Intentional Misconduct.....	2-22
		[ii] Preventive Fault.....	2-24
		[iii] Consent.....	2-26
		[iv] Group Fault.....	2-28
	[e]	The Need for Corporate Liability as a Supplement to Individual Liability....	2-28
		[i] Corporate Liability as a Reflection of Management Contributions to Employee Offenses.....	2-29
		[ii] Corporate Liability as a Means to Properly Allocate Managerial and Individual Accountability for Corporate Crimes.....	2-31
		[iii] Corporate Liability as a Narrow Alternative to Promote Liability of Individual Offenders.....	2-35
[2]		Incentive Rationales.....	2-36
	[a]	Encouraging Crime Prevention.....	2-36
		[i] Effective Techniques for Corporate Deterrence.....	2-41
	[b]	Promoting Post-Offense Assistance to Public Authorities.....	2-42.1
		[i] Balancing Corporate and Individual Prosecutions.....	2-42.3
[3]		Economic Rationales.....	2-42.4
	[a]	Influencing Returns on Investment for Alternative Corporate Ventures and Practices.....	2-43
	[b]	Consumer Discipline of Firms with Poor Compliance Practices.....	2-43
	[c]	Investor Discipline of Firms with Poor Compliance Practices.....	2-45
		[i] Impacts on Stock Prices.....	2-45
		[ii] Bankruptcy.....	2-46
		[iii] Shareholder Monitoring and Discipline of Corporate Managers.....	2-47

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxiii

	[d] Pricing Corporate Conduct with Irreducible Risks	2-48
[4]	Signaling Rationales	2-49
	[a] Signals Promoting Corporate Differentiation	2-49
	[b] Signals Promoting Self-Protection by Potential Crime Victims	2-50
	[c] Signals Promoting Follow-Ups to Misconduct	2-51
	[i] Redistribution of Blame, Liability, and Punishment.	2-51
	[ii] Identifying Corporate Practices Needing Review and Repair	2-52
	[iii] Clarifying the Seriousness of Misconduct	2-53
[5]	Retributive Rationales	2-53
[6]	Reformative Rationales	2-58
[7]	Compensatory Rationales	2-60
§ 2.04	Constraints on Corporate Criminal Liability	2-62
	[1] Constraints Derived from Individual Liability Principles	2-62
	[a] Promoting Respect	2-63
	[b] Focusing Prosecutions	2-63
	[c] Ensuring Sentence Consistency	2-65
	[d] Aiding Planning	2-66
	[2] Economic Constraints	2-66
§ 2.05	Criminal Liability for Corporations Closely Aligned with Their Owners	2-69
§ 2.06	Conclusion—The Need for a Corporate Jurisprudence	2-71

CHAPTER 3

Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law

§ 3.01	Types of Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law	3-4
§ 3.02	Corporations as “Persons” Under Federal Statutes	3-5
	[1] Corporate Provisions of the Federal Dictionary Statute	3-5
	[2] Grounds for Excluding Corporations from Criminal Statutes	3-7
	[a] Exclusions for “Impossible” Corporate Crimes	3-7
	[b] Determining the “Impossibility” of Corporate Crimes	3-7

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[c]	Defendant Features Not Possessed by Corporations	3-8
	[d]	Offense Conduct Not Generally Undertaken by Corporations	3-9
	[e]	Punishments Not Applicable to Corporations	3-10
§ 3.03		<i>Respondeat Superior</i> Bases for Corporate Criminal Liability under Federal Law	3-11
	[1]	History of <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Standards for Corporate Criminal Liability	3-11
	[2]	Generally Applicable Standard for Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law	3-19
	[3]	Goals of <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Liability	3-20
		[a] Crime Control Benefits	3-20
		[b] Equal Treatment of Corporate and Individual Actors	3-22
		[c] Balancing of Benefits and Burdens of Unlawful Corporate Activities	3-23
	[4]	Organizational Failure to Satisfy Nondelegable Law Compliance Duties	3-24
		[a] Nondelegable Duties as a Basis for Corporate Criminal Liability	3-24
		[b] Recognizing the Importance of Criminal Law Compliance	3-27
		[c] Avoiding Liability Variations Based on Differences in Work Delegation Patterns	3-28
		[d] Clarifying Management Control over Legal Risks	3-30
§ 3.04		Features of Corporate Criminal Liability Controlled by <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Principles	3-32
	[1]	Imputing Conduct	3-32
		[a] Evaluating Offense Conduct	3-32
		[b] Identifying Corporate Activity	3-32
		[c] Defining Corporate Duties	3-32
		[d] Determining Corporate Accountability for Factual Representations by Employees	3-33
		[e] Establishing Jurisdiction	3-33
		[f] Interpreting Indictments	3-34
	[2]	Imputing Mental States	3-35
		[a] Willfulness	3-35
		[b] Special Knowledge	3-37
		[c] Specific Intent	3-39
§ 3.05		Scope of Employment Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability	3-40

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxv

[1]	Role of the Scope of Employment in Determining Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-40
[2]	Purposes Underlying Scope of Employment Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-43
[a]	Establishing Minimum Managerial Culpability Thresholds for Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-43
[b]	Defining the Limits of Partial Managerial Responsibility for Employee Offenses	3-43
[c]	Creating Criteria for Preventive Fault Evaluations of Corporate Crimes	3-44
[d]	Defining Crime Prevention Goals for Corporate Managers.	3-44
[3]	Types of Employee Actions Falling Within the Scope of Corporate Employment	3-46
[a]	Acts Authorized by Management	3-47
[i]	Acts Understood to be Illegal When Authorized	3-47
[ii]	Acts Not Understood to Be Illegal When Authorized	3-48
[iii]	Acts Not Intended to Be Authorized But Reasonably Perceived by Corporate Employees as Authorized	3-49
[b]	Impliedly Authorized Acts That Are Necessary or Highly Effective Means to Complete Expressly Authorized Tasks.	3-49
[c]	Unauthorized Acts That Are Foreseeable Deviations from Authorized Conduct to Pursue Corporate Interests.	3-50.1
[i]	Illegal Means Toward Legitimate, Assigned Ends	3-50.4
[ii]	Illegal Extensions of Assigned Duties	3-50.6
[iii]	Illegal Actions Within an Employee's Apparent Authority	3-50.9
[d]	Unauthorized Acts Regularly Undertaken with Authorized Conduct	3-51

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[e]	Prohibited But Foreseeable Acts	3-52
	[i]	Result the Employee Was Assigned to Produce.	3-54
	[ii]	Customs of the Enterprise or Other Like Enterprises.	3-55
	[iii]	Capabilities of the Person Undertaking an Assignment.	3-55
	[f]	Prohibited and Unforeseeable Acts	3-55
§ 3.06		Corporate Benefit Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-57
	[1]	Benefit Tests for Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-57
	[2]	Purposes Underlying Corporate Benefit Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-58
	[a]	Withholding Corporate Criminal Liability for Firms That Are Victims of Employee Crimes	3-58
	[b]	Tailoring Crime Prevention Incentives.	3-60
	[c]	Establishing Fair Limits on Corporate Self-Policing Obligations.	3-60
	[d]	Recognizing Circumstantial Evidence of Corporate Fault	3-61
	[e]	Establishing Boundaries on Corporate Responsibility.	3-62
	[3]	Sufficient Types of Corporate Benefit.	3-62
	[a]	Intended and Realized Gains	3-62
	[i]	Incremental Revenues	3-62
	[ii]	Cost Savings	3-63
	[iii]	Improved Legal Position	3-64
	[iv]	Monitoring Cost Savings.	3-64
	[b]	Intended But Unrealized Gains	3-64
	[c]	Unintended But Realized Gain	3-65
	[d]	Gain to Sole Shareholder.	3-65
	[4]	Time Period for Assessing Corporate Benefit.	3-66
	[5]	Corporate Criminal Liability Absent Corporate Gain.	3-66
	[a]	Offenses Facilitated by an Individual's Corporate Position.	3-67
	[b]	Foreseeable Offenses	3-68
	[c]	Offenses Involving Breach of Corporate Duty to Take Actions or Achieve Results.	3-69
	[6]	Insufficient Corporate Benefit for Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-69

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxvii

	[a]	Offenses Injuring Corporations	3-69
	[b]	Offenses Neither Benefiting Nor Harming Corporations	3-71
	[c]	Offenses Involving Personal Motivations or Objectives Unrelated to Corporate Interests . . .	3-72
	[7]	Potential Impact of Corporate Law Compliance Programs on Offense Benefit Assessments	3-73
§ 3.07		Rejected Limits on <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Liability . .	3-75
	[1]	Managerial or Supervisory Fault Need Not Be Shown	3-75
	[2]	Authorization to Complete Illegal Conduct is Not Needed	3-75
	[3]	Policies or Instructions Prohibiting Illegal Conduct Will Not Prevent Liability	3-76
	[4]	Failure to Convict Responsible Individuals Does Not Preclude Corporate Criminal Liability	3-80
	[a]	Differences in the Scope of Conduct and Knowledge Considered	3-81
	[b]	Jury Allocations of Liability to Reflect Relative Blameworthiness . . .	3-82
§ 3.08		Corporate Criminal Liability Based on the Actions of Corporate Managers	3-85
	[1]	Directing Corporate Agents to Undertake Criminal Conduct	3-85
	[2]	Plain Indifference to Legal Requirements . . .	3-86
	[3]	Ratifying Offenses Committed by Employees Outside the Scope of Employment	3-86
§ 3.09		Improving the Clarity and Fairness of Corporate Criminal Liability Based on <i>Respondeat Superior</i>	3-88

CHAPTER 4

Corporate Criminal Liability Based on Collective Knowledge and Action

§ 4.01		Collective Knowledge and Action as a Basis for Corporate Criminal Liability	4-2
	[1]	Basic Principles	4-2
	[2]	Goals of Collective Liability	4-3
	[a]	Recognizing Organizational Culpability	4-3
	[b]	Encouraging Due Care	4-4

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

§ 4.02	Offense Features That Can Be Established Through Collective Knowledge and Action	4-6
	[1] Corporate Knowledge Based on Group Knowledge	4-7
	[2] Willful Corporate Action Based on Group Knowledge	4-13
	[a] Willful Action Based on Uncommunicated Legal Requirements	4-15
	[b] Willful Action Based on Uncommunicated Factual Information.	4-16
	[3] Corporate Specific Intent	4-22
	[a] Specific Intent Based on Group Knowledge	4-22
	[b] Specific Intent Based on Distributed Intent	4-24
	[4] Corporate Entity Liability Without Agreement on the Responsible Individuals.	4-24.1
§ 4.03	Outer Boundaries of Corporate Liability Based on Collective Knowledge and Group Action	4-25
	[1] No Liability for Failure to Collect Information	4-25
	[2] Collective Knowledge is Limited to Information with a Reasonably Identifiable Relationship to Law Compliance	4-26
	[3] Collective Knowledge is Limited to Material Information	4-28
§ 4.04	Implications of the Collective Knowledge Doctrine in Corporate Criminal Law	4-29

CHAPTER 5**Special Sources and Limitations of Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law**

§ 5.01	Corporate Conspiracy Liability.	5-2
	[1] Corporate Liability Under the Federal Conspiracy Statute	5-3
	[2] Corporate Conspiracies to Restrain Trade	5-6
	[3] Corporate Conspiracies Under Other Statutes	5-8
§ 5.02	Corporate Criminal Liability Based on Conduct of Parties Outside of Corporate Organizations	5-9
	[1] Corporate Liability for Offenses by Independent Contractors and Other Independent Agents	5-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxix

	[a] Strict Liability Offenses	5-9
	[b] Other Criminal Standards	5-11
[2]	Corporate Criminal Liability Based on Conduct of Employees of Corporate Subsidiaries	5-14
	[a] Agency Basis for Parent Company Liability	5-14
	[b] Unitary Enterprise Basis for Parent Company Liability	5-15
[3]	The Minimum Unit for Measuring Liability: Criminal Liability of Corporate Divisions and Other Independently Managed Corporate Subcomponents	5-17
§ 5.03	Criminal Liability for Offenses of Dissolved Corporations	5-19
§ 5.04	Criminal Liability for Offenses of Predecessor Corporations	5-22
	[1] Liability for Offenses of Constituent Corporations in Mergers and Consolidations	5-22
	[2] Liability of Successor Companies for Offenses of Acquired Firms	5-23
	[3] Liability of Companies Based on Acquisition of Stock of Corporate Offender	5-24
	[4] Liability of Companies Based on Acquisition of Assets of Corporate Offender	5-24
	[a] General Standard	5-24
	[b] Exceptions to General Rule	5-25
	[c] Accomplice Liability	5-25
	[5] Prosecutorial Discretion Principles Limiting Successor Liability for Corporate Crimes	5-26
	[a] Underlying Successor Liability	5-26
	[b] Criteria for Withholding Charges Against Successor Corporations	5-26.1
	[c] Remaining Types of Successor Liability	5-26.2
	[d] Concrete Steps to Avoid Successor Liability	5-26.3
	[i] Obtaining an Opinion	5-26.3
	[ii] Procedures for Pre-Acquisition Due Diligence Studies	5-26.5
§ 5.05	Corporate Criminal Liability After Bankruptcy	5-27
	[1] The Status of Corporate Criminal Fines in Bankruptcy Proceedings	5-27
	[2] Corporate Criminal Fines as “Debts”	5-28

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[3]	Limits on Dischargeability of Fines Imposed on “Individual Debtor”	5-30
	[4]	A Case Study in Discharge of Corporate Criminal Fines	5-31
§ 5.06		Constitutional Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability	5-33
	[1]	Due Process and Equal Protection	5-33
	[2]	Procedural Protections	5-33
	[3]	Search and Seizure Limitations	5-34
	[4]	Self-Incrimination	5-35
	[5]	Grand Jury Indictment	5-36
	[6]	Speedy Trial	5-36
	[7]	Jury Trial	5-39
	[8]	Right to Counsel	5-41
	[9]	Vagueness	5-42
	[10]	<i>Ex Post Facto</i> Laws	5-43
	[11]	Cruel and Unusual Punishment	5-43
	[12]	Double Jeopardy	5-44

CHAPTER 6**Support for a Due Diligence Defense to
Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law**

§ 6.01		Authority for Due Diligence Defense	6-2
	[1]	Why Cases Testing Due Diligence Are Rare	6-2
	[2]	Judicial Support for Due Diligence Defense	6-3
	[3]	Significance of Due Diligence Under Corporate Criminal Liability Standards	6-6
	[a]	Negating Corporate Intent	6-6
	[b]	Defining Scope of Employment	6-7
	[c]	Identifying Crimes for Corporate Benefit	6-7
	[d]	Targeting Incentives for Law Compliance Programs	6-8
	[e]	Determining Corporate Liability Based on Managerial Fault	6-9
	[4]	An International Comparison: UK Bribery Act Defense for Adequate Procedures to Prevent Bribery	6-10.1
§ 6.02		Possible Reasons to Reject a Due Diligence Defense to Corporate Criminal Liability	6-11
	[1]	Punitive Arguments Against a Due Diligence Defense	6-11
	[2]	Incentive Arguments Against Due Diligence Defense	6-13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxi

§ 6.03	The Corporate Due Care Defense to “Controlling Person” Liability Under Federal Securities Laws	6-14
§ 6.04	Measuring Due Diligence in Detecting and Preventing Crimes by Corporate Employees	6-19
	[1] Due Diligence in Advance of Offenses	6-19
	[a] Avoiding the Encouragement of Employee Offenses	6-19
	[b] Implementing Crime Prevention Measures	6-20
	[2] Due Diligence in Monitoring to Detect Offenses	6-23
	[3] Due Diligence in Reacting to Offenses	6-24
§ 6.05	Three Case Studies in Measuring Due Diligence Compliance	6-27
	[1] <i>United States v. Kroger Grocery & Baking Co.</i>	6-27
	[a] Compliance Direction and Control	6-27
	[b] Monitoring	6-28
	[c] Post-Offense Responses	6-28
	[d] Judicial Evaluation	6-28
	[2] <i>In re Holland Furnace Co.</i>	6-29
	[3] <i>United States v. Greyhound Corp.</i>	6-31
	[a] Compliance Direction and Control	6-31
	[b] Monitoring	6-32
	[c] Post-Offense Responses	6-32
	[d] Judicial Analysis	6-32
§ 6.06	Conclusion	6-34

CHAPTER 7

Corporate Criminal Liability Under State Laws

§ 7.01	Aims and Limitations	7-2
§ 7.02	Corporate Criminal Liability Under State Laws Incorporating <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Standards	7-8
§ 7.03	Corporate Criminal Liability Under State Standards Based on Kinship of Agent Authority and Offense Conduct	7-11
§ 7.04	Corporate Criminal Liability Under State Laws Based on Model Penal Code Standards	7-15
	[1] Origins of Model Penal Code Standards for Corporate Criminal Liability	7-15
	[2] Code Standards	7-16
	[3] Properly Categorizing Offenses Within the Code	7-17
	[4] Corporate Liability for Acts of High Managerial Agents Under Model Penal Code	7-18

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[a] The Definition of High Managerial Agents	7-18
	[b] Managerial Toleration of Crimes.	7-19
	[c] Range of Offenses Covered.	7-20
[5]	Corporate <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Liability Under Model Penal Code.	7-21
	[a] Narrow Range of Offenses Covered.	7-21
	[b] Criteria for Applying <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Standards.	7-22
	[c] Due Diligence Defense	7-24
[6]	Corporate Liability Under the Model Penal Code for Failure to Meet Statutory Duties	7-25
[7]	Corporate Liability Under the Code for Strict Liability Offenses.	7-27
[8]	Does the Code Achieve Its Goals?	7-28
§ 7.05	Corporate Criminal Liability Based on Managers' Inattention to Concealed Hazard—The California Corporate Criminal Liability Act	7-31
§ 7.06	Conclusion.	7-35

CHAPTER 8

**Corporate Sentences for Federal Offenses:
An Introduction to
The Organizational Sentencing Guidelines**

§ 8.01	Transformation of Corporate Sentencing Under Federal Sentencing Guidelines.	8-6
§ 8.02	Historical Patterns of Corporate Sentencing	8-6.3
	[1] Pre-Guideline Practices.	8-6.3
	[2] Changes in Corporate Sentencing Under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984	8-6.4
	[3] A Precursor to Organizational Sentencing Standards: Sentencing Guidelines for Individuals.	8-7
	[4] Developing Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations	8-8
§ 8.03	Overview of Organizational Sentencing Guidelines	8-13
	[1] Underlying Principles	8-13
	[2] Sentencing Covered by Guidelines	8-13
	[a] Entities Covered	8-13
	[b] Offenses Covered	8-14
	[3] Federal Sentencing Procedures for Corporate Offenders.	8-16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxiii

§ 8.04 Determining Recommended Corporate Sentences

 Under Guidelines 8-21

 [1] Corporate Fines 8-21

 [a] General Standards 8-21

 [b] Antitrust Offenses 8-22

 [c] Bribery Offenses 8-23

 [d] Impact of Organizational
 Culpability on Corporate Fines 8-24

 [i] High Culpability
 Organizational Offender 8-24

 [ii] High Culpability
 Organizational Offender with
 Post-Offense Cooperation 8-25

 [iii] Typical Organizational
 Offender 8-25

 [iv] Organizational Offender with a
 Weak Compliance Program 8-25

 [v] Model Organizational Offender
 with an Effective
 Compliance Program 8-26

 [vi] Organizational Offender with
 No Compliance Program,
 but Effective Post-Offense
 Response 8-26

 [2] Other Corporate Sentences: Restitution,
 Remedial, and Probation
 Requirements 8-27

§ 8.05 Future Impact of the Guidelines on Corporate
 Criminal Liability 8-28

 [1] Prosecutorial Impact 8-29

 [a] More Numerous Prosecutions 8-29

 [b] Expanded Prosecutions 8-31

 [c] Changing Prosecutorial Discretion
 Patterns 8-32

 [d] Prosecutorial Trends Under
 Guidelines 8-33

 [e] [Reserved] 8-45

 [f] Enforcement of Deferred and
 Non-Prosecution Agreements 8-45

 [g] Increasing Use of Deferred and
 Non-Prosecution Agreements 8-46.53

 [i] Corporate Monitors 8-46.55

 [ii] Payments 8-46.55

 [iii] Compliance Programs 8-46.55

 [iv] Agency Assistance 8-46.56

 [v] Privilege Waivers 8-46.56

 [vi] DOJ Cooperation 8-46.56

 [vii] DOJ Termination 8-46.57

 [viii] Possible Abuses 8-46.57

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

[h]	The Aftermath of Deferred Prosecution Agreements: State Regulatory Responses to Admissions in Agreements	8-46.61
[i]	Ongoing Fact Finding by Corporate Monitors	8-46.62
[j]	Selection and Use of Corporate Monitors	8-46.63
[i]	Considerations in Seeking Monitors	8-46.64
[ii]	Procedures for Approval of Corporate Monitors	8-46.74
[iii]	Continuing Review of Monitors	8-46.75
[iv]	Concrete Costs of Monitoring Under DPA and NPA Agreements	8-46.76
[v]	Increasingly Extensive Monitors' Powers	8-46.78
[vi]	Unanticipated Risks of Corporate Monitors in Practice	8-46.83
[vii]	Persons Selected as Corporate Monitors	8-46.84
[k]	The Decision to Seek a Monitor	8-46.85
[l]	Developing Roles of Corporations in Assisting Prosecutors	8-46.87
[m]	Multiple Corporate Monitors in Cases of Systemic Compliance Mismanagement in Specialized Fields: Examples from the BP Plea Agreement	8-46.89
[i]	Monitors' Duties	8-46.89
[ii]	Resources Provided to the Monitors by BP	8-46.90
[iii]	Powers of the Monitors	8-46.91
[iv]	Monitors' Reviews and Reports	8-46.91
[v]	Implications of Divided Monitoring Arrangements	8-46.92
[n]	DOJ Considerations in Using Deferred Prosecution Agreements	8-46.93
[i]	Increased Corporate Accountability	8-46.93
[ii]	Consequences of Systematic Flaws	8-46.94
[iii]	Criminal Justice Impacts of a DPA	8-46.95

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxv

	[iv]	Lack of Individual Liability Reductions	8-46.96
	[v]	Increasing Prosecutorial Choices and Corporate Justice Options	8-46.96
	[vi]	Changes in Case Resolutions with NPAs and DPAs	8-46.97
	[o]	Comparative Guidance on Deferred Prosecution Agreements— The UK Experience	8-46.98
	[i]	DPA Consultation	8-46.98
	[ii]	DPA Guidelines for Prosecutors	8-46.102
	[iii]	UK Criminal Procedure Rules Regarding DPAs	8-46.110
	[iv]	UK Serious Fraud Office Updated Provisions on Deferred Prosecution Agreements	8-46.112
	[p]	Refining DPA and NPA Practices	8-46.114
	[i]	Targeting Agreements	8-46.115
	[ii]	Considerations in Negotiating Agreements	8-46.117
	[iii]	Adjusting Corporate Leniency to Promote Individual Accountability and Deterrence	8-46.119
	[iv]	Targeting Results in DPAs and NPAs	8-46.121
	[q]	Shifts in Corporate Crime Policing Under DPAs and NPAs	8-46.123
	[r]	Evaluating the Impacts of DPAs and NPAs on Criminal Prosecutions of Individuals	8-46.125
	[s]	Assessing the Results of the Era of Deferred Prosecutions	8-46.126
	[t]	Limitations on Judicial Review of DPAs	8-46.130
	[u]	Reasons Behind DPAs and NPAs Without Individual Prosecutions	8-46.135
	[v]	Expanding DPA and NPA Enforcement to Include CEO and CCO Certifications	8-46.136
	[2]	Corporate Impact	8-46.139
	[3]	Employee Impact	8-46.142
§ 8.06		Constitutional and Statutory Restrictions on Organizational Sentencing Guidelines	8-46.145
	[1]	Constitutional Restrictions	8-46.145

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[a]	Authority to Issue Guidelines	8-46.145
	[b]	Jury Trial Limitations.	8-48
	[c]	Other Constitutional Challenges	8-49
	[d]	Remaining Constitutional Issues	8-50
	[2]	Statutory Restrictions	8-57
§ 8.07		Unresolved Policy Questions Underlying Organizational Sentencing Guidelines.	8-64
	[1]	Governing Sentencing Rationales.	8-64
	[a]	Reconciling Divergent Sentencing Principles	8-64
	[b]	Just Deserts.	8-64
	[c]	Utilitarian Deterrence	8-64.4
	[d]	Preventive Incentives	8-64.5
	[e]	Combining Sentencing Proposals from Multiple Viewpoints	8-64.7
	[2]	Real Offense Versus Charged Offense Sentencing.	8-65
	[3]	Role of Individual Sentencing Patterns	8-67
§ 8.08		Effect of Guidelines on Criminal Law Enforcement in Corporate Organizations	8-69
	[1]	Corporations as Public Trustees Concerning Prevention and Detection of Internal Crimes.	8-69
	[2]	Agency Analysis of Criminal Law Enforcement Through Corporate Trustees.	8-70
	[3]	Demands for Private Policing in Corporate Organizations	8-71
	[4]	Altered Managerial Relationships Following Internalization of Corporate Law Enforcement	8-73
	[5]	Changes in Corporate Legal Practice.	8-76
	[6]	Ongoing Impacts of Organizational Sentencing Guidelines	8-77
	[a]	Expanded Governmental Criteria for Good Compliance Practices	8-77
	[b]	Broadened Impact of Compliance Programs on Corporate Liability.	8-80
	[i]	Broadened Impact on Criminal Penalties	8-80
	[ii]	Consideration in Charging Decisions.	8-81
	[iii]	Impacts on Regulatory Enforcement Actions and Penalties	8-81
	[iv]	Reductions in Corporate Civil Liability	8-81
	[v]	Criteria for Civil Liability of Corporate Directors and Officers.	8-82

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxvii

	[c] Ongoing Involvement of Federal Prosecutors in Corporate Reforms and Crime Prevention	8-82
§ 8.09	Risks and Opportunities Concerning Corporate Criminal Liability	8-86
§ 8.10	Impacts of the Organizational Sentencing Guidelines: Historical Assessments	8-87
	[1] Impacts of the Guidelines: A 20th Anniversary Assessment.	8-87
	[a] Serving as a Model for Prosecutorial and Regulatory Standards	8-88
	[b] International Impacts	8-88
	[c] Focusing Attention on Compliance Programs	8-88
	[d] Increasing Expertise	8-89
	[2] Continuing Challenges After 20 Years	8-89
	[3] Sentencing Commission Evaluation of Guidelines Impact: A 30th Anniversary Assessment	8-91
§ 8.11	Comparative Sentencing Approaches Outside the United States: International Corporate Sentencing Standards	8-92.1
	[1] United Kingdom Guidelines on Corporate Sentencing.	8-92.1
§ 8.12	Research on Public Perceptions of Organizational Sentencing Factors	8-99

CHAPTER 9

Offense Severity Characteristics Determining Corporate Fines

§ 9.01	Introduction	9-2
§ 9.02	The Impact of Offense Severity Measures on Corporate Fines	9-4
§ 9.03	Fines Based on Illegal Gains	9-5
	[1] Rationales for Varying Corporate Fines Based on Illegal Gains.	9-5
	[2] Limits on Gain-Based Deterrents.	9-6
	[a] Economic Irrationality in Organizational Behavior	9-6
	[b] Low Prosecution Rates.	9-7
	[c] Reduced Multipliers Based on Corporate Culpability Measures	9-10
	[3] Measuring Offender Gains	9-11
	[a] Realized Gains	9-11
	[b] Anticipated but Unrealized Gains	9-13
	[c] Strategic Gains	9-14

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[d]	Compliance Cost Savings	9-15
	[e]	Agent Monitoring Cost Savings	9-17
§ 9.04		Fines Based on Victim Losses	9-20
	[1]	Rationales for Varying Corporate Fines Based on Victim Losses.	9-20
	[2]	Limits on Loss-Based Incentives	9-23
	[a]	Separation of Accountability for Compliance Expenses and Corporate Fines	9-23
	[b]	Low Prosecution Rates.	9-24
	[c]	Losses Ignored in Fine Setting	9-24
	[3]	Loss Measurement.	9-27
	[a]	Immediate Loss	9-28
	[b]	Consequential Losses	9-31
	[c]	Further Intended but Unrealized Losses.	9-33
	[4]	Special Loss Measures for Specific Offense Categories	9-35
	[a]	Stolen or Counterfeit Credit Cards and Access Devices: Purloined Numbers and Codes.	9-35
	[b]	Government Benefits	9-35
	[c]	Davis-Bacon Act Violations	9-35
	[d]	Ponzi and Other Fraudulent Investment Schemes	9-36
	[e]	Other Unlawful Misrepresentation Schemes	9-36
	[f]	Bribery Offenses.	9-36
	[g]	Antitrust Offenses.	9-37
	[h]	Tax Offenses.	9-37
§ 9.05		Fines Based on Offense Levels	9-39
	[1]	Translating Offense Levels into Corporate Fines	9-39
	[2]	Reconsidering Gains and Losses in Determining Offense Levels	9-39
	[3]	Types of Corporate Fines Governed by Offense Levels	9-40
	[4]	Determining Offense Levels for Group Conduct.	9-41
§ 9.06		Incapacitating Fines for Criminal Purpose Organizations	9-43
	[1]	Rationale for Incapacitating Fines	9-43
	[2]	Grounds for Imposing Incapacitating Fines.	9-44
	[a]	Repeated Offenses	9-44
	[b]	Illegal Conduct with Highly Serious Impacts.	9-47
§ 9.07		Gaps in Severity Measures: Some Illustrative Cases	9-51

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxix

[1]	Statutory Fine Caps as Limits on Corporate Fines	9-51
[2]	Estimating Losses from Altered Conduct: The Special Treatment of Antitrust Offenses	9-53
[3]	Measuring the Value of Information	9-58
[4]	Food and Drug Offenses: Distinguishing Between Economic Fraud and Health and Safety Threats	9-61
[5]	Environmental Crimes: Future Challenges in Measuring Harm and Setting Corporate Fines	9-65
	[a] Measuring the Seriousness of Environmental Offenses	9-65
	[b] Proposed Environmental Offense Sentencing Guidelines for Organizational Offenders.	9-68
§ 9.08	Conclusion.	9-76

CHAPTER 10

Offender Culpability Characteristics Determining Corporate Fines

§ 10.01	The Role of Corporate Culpability in Federal Sentencing.	10-2
	[1] Adjusting Corporate Fines to Match Organizational Culpability	10-2
	[2] Underlying Test for Organizational Culpability.	10-3
§ 10.02	Objectives of Varying Corporate Fines Based on Organizational Culpability	10-7
§ 10.03	Corporate Culpability Indicators.	10-10
	[1] Managerial Fault	10-10
	[a] Executive Involvement.	10-10
	[b] Middle Manager Involvement	10-12
	[c] Managerial Tolerance.	10-13
	[d] Multiple Types of Managerial Involvement in a Single Case.	10-15
	[2] Preventive Fault.	10-15
	[a] Failure to Implement Preventive Law Compliance Programs	10-16
	[i] Compliance Standards	10-18
	[ii] Program Leadership.	10-18
	[iii] Avoiding Irresponsible Delegation of Authority	10-19
	[iv] Communicating Standards and Procedures	10-19

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[v] Monitoring, Auditing, and Evaluation	10-19
	[vi] Promoting and Enforcing the Program	10-20
	[vii] Offense Responses	10-20
	[b] Failure to Prevent Repeated Misconduct	10-21
	[c] Commission of an Offense in Violation of a Judicial Order or Probation Condition	10-23
	[3] Reactive Fault	10-25
	[a] Acceptance of Responsibility	10-26
	[b] Aid to Public Authorities	10-27
	[c] Willful Obstruction of Justice	10-30
§ 10.04	Limitations of Fault-Based Corporate Fines	10-31
	[1] Criminal Liability Despite Low Corporate Culpability	10-31
	[2] Uncertain Organizational Effectiveness in Promoting Compliance	10-32
	[3] Adverse Incentives Created by Compliance System Rewards	10-34
	[4] Sentencing Process Limitations	10-35
§ 10.05	Conclusion: Critical Functions Served by “Carrot and Stick” Sentencing	10-38

CHAPTER 11

**Adjusting Corporate Fines: Sentencing Discretion,
Guideline Departures, and Fine Reductions**

§ 11.01	Introduction	11-4
§ 11.02	Considerations in Setting Fines Within Recommended Ranges	11-5
	[1] Role of Judicial Discretion	11-5
	[2] Fine Adjustments Based on Federal Sentencing Goals	11-7
	[3] Fine Adjustments Based on an Organization’s Role in an Offense	11-8
	[4] Fine Adjustments Based on Collateral Consequences of a Conviction	11-9
	[5] Fine Adjustments Based on Nonpecuniary Losses	11-9
	[6] Fine Adjustments Based on Involvement of a Vulnerable Victim	11-10
	[7] Fine Adjustments Based on the Prior Criminal Record of a High-Level Participant	11-10
	[8] Fine Adjustments Based on Prior Civil or Criminal Misconduct	11-11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[9]	Fine Adjustments Based on Exceptionally High or Low Culpability Scores	11-13
	[10]	Fine Adjustments Based on the Partial Presence of a Culpability Indicator	11-14
	[11]	Fine Adjustments Based on Statutory Factors	11-15
		[a] Defendant’s Income, Earning Capacity, and Financial Resources	11-15
		[b] Financial Burdens on Defendant	11-16
		[c] Financial Burdens on Persons Dependent on Defendant	11-17
		[d] Pecuniary Losses	11-17
		[e] Restitution	11-18
		[f] Need to Deprive Defendant of Illegal Gains	11-19
		[g] Ability of Defendant to Pass Expense of a Fine on to Customers or Other Persons	11-20
		[h] Organization Size	11-21
		[i] Disciplinary and Reformative Measures	11-22
	[12]	Fine Adjustments Based on Factors Considered in Determining Recommended Fine Ranges	11-23
§ 11.03		Departures from Recommended Fine Ranges	11-24
	[1]	General Standards for Guideline Departures	11-24
	[2]	Justifying Guideline Departures	11-26
	[3]	Factors Supporting Downward Departures	11-28
		[a] Substantial Assistance to Authorities	11-28
		[b] Public Entities	11-28.1
		[c] Members or Beneficiaries of Organization Victimized	11-29
		[d] Remedial Costs Greatly Exceeding Corporate Gain	11-29
		[e] Exceptionally Low Organizational Culpability	11-29
		[f] Wrongful Conduct by Victim	11-30
		[g] Avoiding Greater Harm	11-31
		[h] Coercion and Duress	11-32
		[i] Diminished Capacity	11-32
		[j] Voluntary Self-Reporting of Otherwise Undetectable Offenses	11-32
	[4]	Factors Supporting Upward Departures	11-33
		[a] Death or Bodily Injury	11-33
		[b] Threats to National Security	11-35
		[c] Threats to the Environment	11-35

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[d]	Threats to a Market	11-35
	[e]	Official Corruption	11-36
	[f]	Operation of Required Law Compliance Program	11-36
	[g]	Exceptionally High Organizational Culpability	11-37
	[h]	Extreme Psychological Injury	11-38
	[i]	Property Damage or Loss	11-39
	[j]	Disruption of Governmental Function	11-39
	[k]	Criminal Purpose	11-40
	[l]	Endangerment of Public Health or Safety	11-40
	[m]	Violent Conduct	11-40
[5]		Additional Factors Justifying Departures	11-41
	[a]	Unusual Instances of Factors Influencing Court Discretion	11-41
	[b]	Factors Influencing Sentencing for Other Types of Offenses	11-42
	[c]	Departure Criteria Not Specified in the Guidelines	11-42
[6]		Additional Guideline Departures on Constitutional Grounds	11-43
	[a]	The Court's Analysis in <i>Booker</i>	11-44
		[i] Jury Trial Guarantees and Sentencing Evaluations	11-44
		[ii] A Remedy Retaining Portions of the Guideline System	11-44.1
	[b]	The Modified Impact of the Sentencing Guidelines After <i>Booker</i>	11-44.2
	[c]	Beyond the Guidelines: Additional Considerations in Sentencing	11-44.4
	[d]	Results Under Post- <i>Booker</i> Sentencing Standards	11-44.6
	[e]	Changes in the Sentencing Guidelines' Impact	11-44.6
		[i] Diminished Influence of Prosecutors in Plea Bargaining Negotiations	11-44.7
		[ii] Ability of Courts to Reduce Sentences for Cooperation Without Concurrence of Prosecutors	11-44.7
		[iii] Expanded Grounds for Sentence Reductions and Increases	11-44.8
		[iv] Increased Complexity of Sentencing Proceedings	11-44.9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xliii

	[f]	Continuing Importance of the Organizational Sentencing Guidelines	11-44.9
		[i] Primary Predictors of Sentencing Results	11-44.9
		[ii] Bases for Prosecutorial Discretion	11-44.10
		[iii] Incorporation in Charging and Penalty Standards for Civic Offenses.	11-44.11
	[g]	Compliance Program Evaluations After <i>Booker</i>	11-44.12
	[h]	Implementing <i>Booker</i> : The Supreme Court Clarifies the Impact of the Advisory Sentencing Guidelines . . .	11-44.13
		[i] <i>Rita v. United States</i>	11-44.13
		[ii] <i>Kimbrough v. United States</i> . . .	11-44.16
		[iii] <i>Gall v. United States</i>	11-44.19
§ 11.04		Fine Reductions to Accommodate Limited Corporate Resources.	11-44.23
	[1]	Lowering Fines to Reduce Impact on Corporate Viability.	11-44.23
	[2]	Procedures for Fine Reductions	11-44.23
		[a] Preliminary Assessment of Defendant’s Ability to Pay a Fine	11-44.23
		[b] Additional Assessment of Fine Payment Impact Following Complete Sentencing Analysis	11-45
		[c] Reassessment of Fine Payment Impact During Term of Deferred Payment Plan	11-45
	[3]	Fine Reduction Amounts	11-46
		[a] Reductions to Ensure Restitution Payments	11-46
		[b] Reductions to Protect Legitimate Businesses	11-47
		[c] Threats to Company Existence Justifying Fine Reductions	11-47
		[d] Measuring Firm’s Ability to Pay.	11-48
	[4]	Payment Terms Allowing Deferred Fine Payments.	11-50
		[a] Measuring Firm’s Ability to Make Immediate Payment of Fine	11-50
		[b] Installment Payment Arrangements . . .	11-51
	[5]	DOJ Guidance on Fine Modifications Based on Corporate Inability to Pay.	11-52
		[a] Information Required	11-53
		[b] Factors Considered by Prosecutors . . .	11-54

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[c] Special Reviews Within the DOJ	11-55
	[d] Implications for Corporate Fines	11-55
§ 11.05	Corporate Fine Reductions to Offset Fines Paid by Owners of Closely Held Firms	11-57
§ 11.06	Conclusion	11-59

CHAPTER 12**Beyond Fines: Innovative Corporate Sentences**

§ 12.01	Innovative Sentencing Options	12-2
	[1] Significance of Nontraditional Corporate Sanctions	12-2
	[2] Role of Nontraditional Sanctions in Corporate Sentencing	12-4
§ 12.02	Remedial Sanctions	12-6
	[1] Immediate Restitution	12-6
	[a] Judicial Discretion Concerning Restitution	12-9
	[b] Eligible Victims	12-12
	[c] Compensable Injuries	12-18
	[i] Damage to or Loss of Property	12-18
	[ii] Bodily Injury or Death	12-19
	[iii] Excluded Damage	12-19
	[iv] Judicial Authority to Order Additional Restitution	12-20
	[d] Withholding Restitution Due to Complication and Prolongation of the Sentencing Process	12-21
	[e] Constitutional Limitations on Restitution Sentences	12-21
	[2] Deferred Restitution	12-23
	[3] Remedial Orders	12-25
	[4] Community Service	12-27
	[5] Notices to Crime Victims	12-30
§ 12.03	Preventive Sanctions	12-33
	[1] Crime Prevention Through Corporate Probation Sentences	12-33
	[a] Statutory Standards for Corporate Probation Sentences	12-33
	[b] Guideline Provisions Concerning Probation Sentences	12-36
	[c] Lessons from Past Corporate Probation Sentences	12-37
	[2] Mandatory Offender Reforms	12-39
	[a] The Proper Role of Compelled Reforms	12-39

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xlv

	[b] When Reforms Are Required	12-40
	[c] Types of Required Reforms	12-41
	[i] Mandatory Compliance Program Changes and Monitoring	12-41
	[ii] Business Practice Reforms	12-42
	[d] A Strategy for Imposing Reform Requirements	12-44
	[i] Voluntary Compliance	12-45
	[ii] Enforced Self-Regulation	12-46
	[iii] Mandated Restrictions with Enforcement Discretion	12-48
	[iv] Mandated Restrictions with Defined or Presumed Sanctions	12-48
	[e] An Example of Probation-Imposed Reforms	12-49
[3]	Enhanced Offense Disclosures	12-52
[4]	Adverse Publicity	12-53
	[a] Examples of Probation Terms Compelling Adverse Advertising	12-56
[5]	Punitive Probation Terms	12-58
	[a] Examples of Public Service Requirements in Probation Terms	12-59
	[b] Strategies for Imposing Preventative Sanctions	12-60
§ 12.04	Conclusion	12-62

CHAPTER 13

Collateral Consequences of Corporate Crime

§ 13.01	Introduction	13-6
	[1] Broad Collateral Consequences of Corporate Criminal Misconduct: Lessons from the BP Oil Well Blowout	13-6.1
	[a] SEC-Imposed Penalties	13-7
	[b] Additional Civil Liability to the Federal Government	13-7
	[c] Private Damage Lawsuits	13-8
	[d] Shareholder Derivative Suits	13-9
	[e] Private Securities Fraud Actions	13-9
	[f] ERISA, RICO, and Contractual Claims	13-10
	[g] Miscellaneous Litigation Among Platform Owners, Operators, and Working Interest Holders	13-10

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[h]	Summary of Collateral Consequences of the BP Well Blowout	13-11
§ 13.02	Civil	Penalties for Criminal Conduct	13-12.1
	[1]	Relationship to Criminal Penalties	13-12.1
	[a]	DOJ Policy on Coordination of Penalties in Parallel Investigations and Proceedings	13-12.2
	[2]	SEC Penalty Standards	13-12.4
	[a]	Harm to Shareholders	13-12.4
	[b]	Direct Benefit to Corporation from Offense	13-12.5
	[c]	Whether Penalties Will be Used to Compensate Injuries to Shareholders	13-12.5
	[d]	Need for Deterrence	13-12.5
	[e]	Injuries to Innocent Parties	13-12.5
	[f]	Scope of Participation in the Violation Within the Corporation	13-12.6
	[g]	Replacement of Corporate Personnel Responsible for the Violation	13-12.6
	[h]	Level of Intent Underlying an Offense	13-12.7
	[i]	Difficulty of Offense Detection	13-12.7
	[j]	Remedial Steps Prior to SEC Enforcement Actions	13-12.7
	[k]	Cooperation with Law Enforcement Efforts	13-12.8
	[1]	Additional SEC Penalties for Material Misstatements After Major Corporate Misconduct: Investigations and Sanctions Following the BP Well Blowout	13-12.9
	[m]	SEC Enforcement Actions Responding to Weak Compliance Programs Prior to Offenses	13-12.12
	[n]	SEC Assessment of Compliance Program Quality Indicators	13-12.13
	[3]	SEC Whistleblower Incentives	13-12.17
	[a]	Building on Past Whistleblower Revelations	13-12.17
	[b]	Creating Strong Whistleblower Incentives	13-12.18
	[c]	Nature of Information Triggering a Reward	13-12.18
	[d]	Determining Amounts for Payments	13-12.19
	[e]	SEC Discretion Regarding the Amount of Payments	13-12.19
	[f]	Parties Barred from Receiving Bounty Payments	13-12.20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xlvii

	[g]	Anti-Retaliation Provisions	13-12.20
		[i] Supreme Court Interprets Whistleblower Protections Narrowly	13-12.21
	[h]	Problems Raised by the Bounty Provisions	13-12.22
		[i] Emphasis on Rapid External Disclosures	13-12.22
		[ii] Undercutting Incentives of Corporate Fact Finders. . .	13-12.24
		[iii] Encouraging Conduct that Enhances Corporate Penalties	13-12.24
	[i]	Numbers and Impacts of SEC Whistleblower Reports	13-12.25
	[j]	Special Ethical Considerations Regarding Whistleblower Reports by Corporate Attorneys	13-12.29
	[k]	SEC Whistleblower Changes in 2020	13-12.32
[4]		SEC Use of Prosecution Agreements	13-12.34
	[a]	SEC Standards for DPAs and NPAs	13-12.34
		[i] Criteria for SEC Leniency in Corporate Cases	13-12.35
		[ii] NPA Standards for SEC Agreements	13-12.36
		[iii] DPA Standards for SEC Agreements	13-12.37
	[b]	Examples of SEC NPAs	13-12.37
		[i] Carter’s Inc.	13-12.37
	[c]	Examples of SEC DPAs	13-12.39
		[i] Tenaris S.A.	13-12.39
[5]		CFTC Whistleblower Incentives	13-12.41
	[a]	Procedures for Submitting Information and Claims	13-12.42
	[b]	Information Required to be Disclosed by a Whistleblower	13-12.43
	[c]	Volume of Whistleblower Activity Anticipated by the CFTC	13-12.44
§ 13.03		Suspension and Debarment	13-12.46
	[1]	Business Impacts of Suspension and Debarment	13-12.46
		[a] Characteristics of Suspension and Debarment	13-13
		[b] Restrictions on Contracting Opportunities Due to Suspension and Debarment	13-14

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[c]	Additional Consequences of Suspension and Debarment	13-14
[2]		Criminal Conduct as a Basis for Suspension and Debarment	13-15
	[a]	Crimes Supporting Suspension and Debarment	13-15
	[b]	Relationship of Crimes to Contractor Fitness	13-16
	[c]	Mitigating Responses to Corporate Crimes	13-17
[3]		Standards of Proof Governing Suspension and Debarment Following Criminal Conduct	13-18
	[a]	Suspension	13-18
	[b]	Debarment	13-18
	[c]	Judicial Review	13-19
[4]		Suspension and Debarment Under State and Local Standards	13-20
	[a]	Variations in State and Local Standards	13-20
	[b]	Impact of ABA’s Model Code	13-20
[5]		Case Studies of Corporate Suspension and Debarment Based on Criminal Activity	13-21
	[a]	Worldcom/MCI	13-21
	[b]	Boeing	13-22.2
	[c]	Arthur Anderson	13-22.3
	[d]	Enron	13-23
	[e]	Other Suspension and Debarment Reviews	13-24
		[i] Sprint	13-24
		[ii] Qwest	13-24.1
	[f]	BP	13-24.1
		[i] Past BP Incidents Leading to Suspension	13-24.2
		[ii] EPA Suspension of BP	13-24.3
		[iii] BP Efforts to Avoid and Limit Suspension	13-24.4
		[iv] Potential Impacts on BP	13-24.5
		[v] Resolution of BP’s Debarment and Suspension	13-24.6
		[vi] Distributed Culpability Underlying the Deepwater Horizon Blowout	13-24.7
§ 13.04		Exclusion from Government Programs	13-25
	[1]	Federal “Common Rule”	13-25
	[2]	Grounds for Suspension or Debarment from Nonprocurement Programs	13-25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xlix

	[3] Impact of Suspension or Debarment from Nonprocurement Programs	13-26
§ 13.05	Loss of Licenses	13-28
§ 13.06	Constitutional Limitations on Civil Penalties for Criminal Misconduct	13-29
	[1] Double Jeopardy	13-29
	[2] Excessive Punishment	13-30
	[3] Due Process	13-32
§ 13.07	Coordinating Criminal and Civil Sanctions	13-35
	[1] Need for Coordination	13-35
	[2] Coordination Approaches	13-35
	[3] Federal Sentencing Procedures	13-35
§ 13.08	Civil Damage Liability of Corporations Based on Criminal Conduct	13-38
	[1] Federal Standards	13-38
	[a] Civil Damage Claims Expressly Authorized Under Particular Criminal Statutes	13-38
	[b] Damage Claims for Patterns of Offenses—Civil RICO Liability	13-38
	[c] Implied Private Rights of Action for Violations of Federal Criminal Laws	13-43
	[2] State Standards	13-45
	[a] Private Claims Created Under Criminal Statutes	13-45
	[b] Civil Damage Recoveries Under State RICO Statutes	13-45
	[c] Negligence Liability Based on Illegal Conduct	13-47
	[3] Impacts of Shareholder Suits	13-52
§ 13.09	Civil Damage Liability of Corporate Officers and Directors Based on or Tolerating Illegal Corporate Activities	13-54
	[1] Civil Liability for Knowingly Causing Illegal Corporate Conduct	13-54
	[2] Liability of Corporate Officers and Directors for Inadequate Law Compliance Systems	13-55
	[a] Required Management Attention to Corporate Law Compliance	13-56
	[b] Managerial Due Diligence Concerning Law Compliance	13-56
	[c] Director and Officer Liability in Connection with Corporate Compliance Efforts	13-59
	[d] Special Liability Standards Under Corporate Charters	13-61

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

[e]	<i>Caremark</i> : A Practical Example of the Risks.	13-63
[f]	Types of Sustained Inattention to Law Compliance Leading to Officer and Director Liability.	13-66
[g]	Perils of Poor Reactions to Revealed Misconduct.	13-69
[h]	Characteristics of Law Compliance Programs Meeting Directors' and Officers' Duties of Care.	13-76
[i]	Beyond <i>Caremark</i> : Standards for Compliance Due Care in Delaware Corporations.	13-76.5
[i]	Lower Court Interpretations of the Stone Standards.	13-76.14
[ii]	Assessing Breaches of the Ongoing Duty to Monitor Compliance Systems.	13-76.14
[iii]	Assessing Breaches of Duty to React to Evidence of Possible Misconduct.	13-76.17
[iv]	Sufficient Director Actions.	13-76.18
[j]	Understanding What <i>Caremark</i> Demands: Further Guidance.	13-76.20
[i]	Components of the <i>Caremark</i> Test.	13-76.21
[ii]	Reconciling Enterprise Risk Management and Compliance Management Failures under <i>Caremark</i>	13-76.25
[k]	Clarifying Corporate Officers' Duties Concerning Compliance Oversight.	13-76.28
[3]	Civil Damage Liability of Corporations and Company Officials Based on Inadequate Disclosures of Illegal Corporate Conduct.	13-76.30
[4]	Compliance Management in Context: Lessons from the Corporate Director's Guidebook.	13-76.31
[a]	Actions of New Directors.	13-76.33
[b]	Ongoing Responsibilities.	13-76.33
[c]	Compliance Information Systems.	13-76.34
[d]	Oversight of Risk Management Systems.	13-76.34
[e]	Oversight of Compliance Programs.	13-76.35

TABLE OF CONTENTS

li

	[f] Specific Compliance Program Elements	13-76.35
	[g] Quality of Information Reviewed by the Board	13-76.36
	[h] Interactions with Legal Counsel	13-76.36
	[i] Responsibilities of Audit Committees	13-76.36
	[j] Impact of the Guidebook’s Standards	13-76.37
§ 13.10	Loss of Civil Claims	13-77
§ 13.11	Corporate Harm from Adverse Offense Publicity	13-78
§ 13.12	Criminal Liability of Individuals in Connection With Corporate Offenses	13-81
	[1] Liability of Individuals for Participation in Corporate Offenses	13-81
	[2] Liability of Individuals for Aiding or Concealing Offenses	13-82
	[a] Accomplice Liability	13-82
	[b] Liability of Accessories After the Fact	13-83
	[c] Misprision of a Felony	13-84
	[d] Witness Tampering	13-84
	[e] Obstruction of Justice	13-86
	[f] Perjury and False Statements Offenses	13-88
	[3] Conspiracy Liability for Offenses by Fellow Corporate Employees	13-90
	[4] Liability of Controlling Officers with Responsible Shares in Offenses by Subordinates	13-91
	[a] General Standards	13-91
	[b] Identifying Managers with Responsible Shares in Employee Offenses	13-92
	[c] The Objective Impossibility Defense	13-93
	[d] Range of Offenses Subject to Responsible Share Liability	13-94
	[5] Liability of Corporate Managers for Failures to Report Detected Offenses	13-97
§ 13.13	Regulatory Consequences of Criminal Convictions	13-100
	[1] Implications of Criminal Liability Due to Violation of a DPA or NPA	13-100
	[a] Potential Exclusion from Regulated Activities	13-100
	[b] Restoring Regulators’ Trust	13-101

CHAPTER 14

**Preventing Corporate Criminal Liability
with Law Compliance Programs**

§ 14.01	Liability Reductions Through Law Compliance Programs	14-8
	[1] Preventing Illegal Activities	14-9
	[2] Avoiding Corporate Liability for Employee Misconduct	14-10.3
	[3] Meeting Legal Requirements	14-11
	[3A] Statutory Requirements for Health Care Compliance Programs	14-13
	[a] Nursing Facilities: Program Requirements and Implementation Timetables	14-14
	[b] Requirements for Compliance Programs of Other Health Care Providers	14-16
	[4] Influencing Charging Decisions	14-17
	[a] Limitations on Revelations of Prosecutorial Consideration of Compliance and Ethics Programs	14-20
	[5] Reducing Criminal Penalties	14-22
	[6] Minimizing Regulatory Auditing and Oversight	14-25
	[7] Additional Advantages of Law Compliance Programs	14-27
	[a] Reducing Civil Penalties	14-27
	[b] Competitive Gains	14-29
	[c] Satisfying Corporate Governance Obligations	14-31
	[d] Promoting Ethical Values	14-32
	[e] Qualifying for Government Contracts: Compliance Program Standards for Federal Contractors	14-33
	[i] Code of Business Ethics and Conduct	14-35
	[ii] Practices Promoting Compliance with Code	14-35
	[iii] Code Awareness and Compliance Control Systems	14-36
	[iv] Display of Hotline Posters	14-38
	[v] Contractor Self-Reporting of Evidence of Criminal Violations	14-39
	[vi] Implications of the Federal Contracting Standards	14-40

TABLE OF CONTENTS

liii

	[vii] Impacts of Contractor Disclosure Rules on Corporate Value	14-40.6
	[viii] Potential Government Uses of Information Submitted by Contractors	14-40.8
	[ix] Opportunities to Assess Broader Management Practices	14-40.10
	[f] Other Potential Impacts of Compliance Programs on Liability	14-40.12
	[g] Operational Advantages of Compliance Programs Beyond Liability and Penalty Reductions	14-40.13
§ 14.02	Evaluating Compliance Programs Under the Federal Sentencing Guideline Standards	14-40.16
	[1] Importance of the Guidelines' Standards for a Generally Effective Compliance Program	14-40.16
	[2] General Criteria for an Effective Program	14-40.17
	[3] Due Diligence	14-40.19
	[4] Targeting Principles	14-40.20
	[a] Organization Size	14-40.20
	[b] The Nature of the Organization's Business	14-40.21
	[c] History of Offenses or Misconduct Within the Organization	14-40.21
	[5] Disqualifying Program Characteristics	14-40.22
	[a] Departures from Industry Practice or Governmental Regulations	14-40.22
	[b] Patterns of Misconduct	14-40.22
	[c] Systematic Failures to Prevent or Detect Violations	14-40.22
	[d] Offense Features Indicating Program Weakness	14-40.23
	[e] Failures to Self-Report Known Offenses	14-40.24
	[6] Required Program Components	14-40.24
	[a] Compliance Standards and Procedures	14-40.24
	[b] High-Level Management Leadership of a Compliance and Ethics Program	14-40.30
	[i] Board Responsibilities Regarding Corporate Compliance and Compliance Programs: Lessons from the NACD Key Agreed Principles	14-40.32

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[c]	Responsible Authority Delegation	14-43
	[d]	Steps to Communicate Standards and Procedures	14-45
	[e]	Monitoring, Auditing, and Evaluation	14-47
	[f]	Discipline, Incentives, and Enforcement Actions	14-52.1
	[g]	Active Organizational Responses to Misconduct	14-52.2
[7]		Ongoing Risk Assessments	14-52.3
	[a]	Additional Considerations Regarding Risk Assessments	14-52.5
	[i]	Goals of Risk Assessments in Compliance System Design and Management	14-52.5
	[ii]	Advantages of Risk Assessments	14-52.5
	[iii]	General Characteristics of Effective Risk Assessments	14-52.5
	[iv]	Specific Features of Effective Risk Assessments	14-52.7
	[v]	Steps in Implementing a Compliance Risk Assessment	14-52.8
	[b]	Criteria for Evaluating Risk Assessments	14-52.9
[7A]		Operationalizing Risk Assessments	14-52.11
	[a]	Characteristics of Compliance Risk Assessments	14-52.12
	[i]	Basic Features	14-52.12
	[ii]	Targeting the Timing and Depth of Evaluations	14-52.12
	[b]	Goals of Compliance Risk Assessments	14-52.13
	[i]	Matching Compliance Risks with Preventive Management	14-52.13
	[ii]	Minimizing Sanctions Following Misconduct	14-52.14
	[iii]	Outperforming Peers	14-52.14
	[iv]	Other Benefits	14-52.15
	[c]	How to Characterize Compliance Risks	14-52.16
	[i]	Inherent Risks	14-52.16
	[ii]	Firm-Specific Risk Adjustments	14-52.16
	[iii]	Business Impacts	14-52.18

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[d]	How to Identify Compliance Risks . . .	14-52.18
		[i] General Principles	14-52.18
		[ii] Risks Dictated by Product Lines and Business Activities	14-52.19
		[iii] Risks Dictated by Geographical Scope of Activities	14-52.20
		[iv] Risks Dictated by Governmental Law Enforcement Priorities	14-52.20
	[e]	Evaluating Compliance Risks Due to Activities of Outside Parties	14-52.20
	[f]	Who Should Assess Compliance Risks	14-52.21
	[g]	Responding to Compliance Risks in Formulating and Implementing Program Elements	14-52.23
	[h]	Evaluating the Completeness of Compliance Risk Assessment	14-52.24
	[i]	Elements of Compliance Risk Analysis: Lessons from FBI Techniques	14-52.26
		[i] Management of the Risk and Response Process	14-52.26
		[ii] Sources Used for Risk Assessments	14-52.28
		[iii] Criteria for Prioritizing Risks	14-52.28
		[iv] Administrative Follow Up to Identified Risks	14-52.29
	[j]	Procedural Steps for Risk Assessments	14-52.30
		[i] Background	14-52.30
		[ii] Risk Assessment Steps	14-52.31
		[iii] Advantages of an ERM-Compatible Process	14-52.32
	[8]	2010 Amendments to Compliance Program Standards	14-52.33
		[a] Opportunities for Sentence Reductions Despite Senior Management Involvement	14-52.33
		[b] Remedial Steps	14-52.34
		[c] Preventing Further Offenses	14-52.35
		[d] Probation Terms	14-52.35
§ 14.03		Evaluating Compliance Programs Under Other Statutory, Regulatory, Judicial, and Industry Standards	14-52.38

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

[1]	Statutory Tests	14-52.38
	[a] Anti-Money Laundering Programs	14-52.38
	[b] Insider Trading Prevention Systems	14-52.39
[2]	Regulatory Standards	14-52.39
	[a] Anti-Money Laundering Systems	14-52.39
	[i] Policies, Procedures, and Internal Controls	14-52.40
	[ii] Designated Compliance Officer	14-52.40
	[iii] Education and Training	14-52.41
	[iv] Independent Testing of Program Adequacy	14-52.41
	[v] Compliance Program Elements Aimed at Agents	14-52.42
	[b] Health Care Compliance Systems	14-52.42
	[i] Codes of Conduct	14-54.1
	[ii] Compliance Officers and Committees	14-54.1
	[iii] Compliance Policies and Procedures	14-54.2
	[iv] Open Lines of Communication	14-54.2
	[v] Appropriate Training and Education	14-54.3
	[vi] Internal Monitoring and Auditing	14-54.4
	[vii] Responses to Detected Compliance Deficiencies	14-54.5
	[viii] Enforcement of Disciplinary Standards	14-54.5
	[ix] Adequacy of Self-Reporting of Detected Misconduct	14-54.6
	[x] HHS Standards for Prescription Drug Providers	14-54.7
	[xi] HHS Criteria for Exclusion from Health Care Programs	14-54.10
	[c] Environmental Compliance Systems	14-54.14
	[d] Securities Law Compliance Systems	14-55
	[i] SEC Evaluation of Outsourced Compliance Functions	14-57
	[ii] Evaluating Compliance Programs in Multi-Branch Operations	14-61
	[e] SEC Standards for Codes of Ethics	14-64

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lvii

	[f] Occupational Health and Safety Systems	14-65
	[g] Munitions Export Control Systems.	14-66
	[h] Commodity Futures Trading Commission’s Enforcement Standards	14-68
	[i] Office of Foreign Assets Control Framework for Compliance Commitments	14-70
	[j] Office of Foreign Assets Control Guidelines on Compliance Programs for the Virtual Currency Industry	14-74
	[k] Commerce Department Standards for Export Compliance Systems	14-79
[3]	Prosecutorial Discretion Provisions	14-81
	[a] Federal Guidelines for Corporate Prosecution.	14-81
	[i] Disclosures Needed to Gain Favorable Treatment	14-86
	[ii] Extensive Consideration of Past Corporate Misconduct in Leniency Decisions	14-91
	[iii] Insights into DOJ Prosecution Analyses for Corporate Criminal Cases	14-96
	[iv] DOJ Reviews of Compensation Structures.	14-98.1
	[v] DOJ Reviews of Personal Devices and Applications.	14-98.3
	[b] Corporate Amnesty Standards for Antitrust Offenses	14-98.5
	[c] Compliance Program Standards from the DOJ’s FCPA Resource Guide	14-98.7
	[d] DOJ Resources for Evaluating Compliance Programs	14-98.10
	[e] DOJ Policy on Corporate FCPA Prosecutions and Other Criminal Division Cases.	14-98.12
	[f] DOJ Compliance Program Evaluation Criteria.	14-98.15
	[g] Standards for Obtaining Cooperation Credit in Federal False Claims Act Cases	14-98.29
	[i] Voluntary Disclosure.	14-98.29
	[ii] Other Forms of Cooperation.	14-98.30
	[iii] Remedial Measures	14-98.31

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[h]	Prosecutorial Guidelines for Corporate Violations of Export Controls and Sanctions Laws	14-98.32
	[i]	Prosecutorial Benefits from Antitrust Compliance Programs	14-98.36
	[j]	U.S. Attorneys' Offices Voluntary Self-Disclosure Policy	14-98.41
	[k]	DOJ Criminal Division's Pilot Program Regarding Compensation Incentives and Clawbacks	14-98.44
	[l]	DOJ Mergers and Acquisitions Safe Harbor Policy	14-98.46
[4]		Judicially Developed Criteria	14-99
	[a]	Assessing Good Faith Compliance with Anti-Discrimination Laws	14-99
[4A]		Compliance Program Evaluation Criteria Used by Court-Appointed Monitors	14-105
	[a]	Evaluation Criteria from Apple Monitor's Reports	14-105
[5]		Industry Standards	14-110
	[a]	New York Stock Exchange Standards for Corporate Conduct Codes	14-110
	[b]	Health Care Compliance Association Standards	14-110
	[c]	OCEG Criteria for Diagnosing Compliance and Ethics Program Problems	14-114
	[d]	Interpreting Results of Compliance and Ethics Program Performance Measurements	14-123
[6]		Privately Developed Standards	14-125
	[a]	OCEG Foundation Guidelines	14-125
	[b]	ECI Panel Report on Principles and Practices of High-Quality Ethics and Compliance Programs	14-128
	[c]	OIG-HCCA Compliance Program Resource Guide	14-132
	[d]	Society of Corporate Compliance and Ethics Small Business Compliance Program	14-136
[7]		International Standards	14-136
	[a]	OECD Guidance on Compliance Program Elements	14-136
		[i] Purposes of the Guidelines	14-137
		[ii] Program Features Recommended by OECD	14-140
		[iii] General Lessons from the OECD Guidelines	14-142

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lix

[b]	Compliance Program Guidance from the Canadian Competition Bureau	14-142
[i]	Aims of a Successful Program	14-143
[ii]	Benefits of a Successful Compliance Program	14-143
[iii]	Major Requirements for a Credible and Effective Compliance Program	14-144
[c]	Compliance Program Templates from the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission	14-147
[d]	Competition Commission of India Recommendations on Compliance Programs	14-148
[e]	Israel Antitrust Authority Model Compliance Program	14-148
[f]	Compliance Program Criteria from the Ministry of Justice of the United Kingdom	14-149
[g]	Compliance Program Guidance from the Office of Fair Trading of the United Kingdom	14-151
[h]	UK Guidance on Compliance Programs in DPA Code of Practice	14-152
[i]	French Anti-Corruption Legislation. . .	14-153
[j]	Brazilian Ministry of Justice Guidelines for Competition Programs	14-157
[k]	Canadian Competition Bureau Bulletin on Compliance Programs	14-158
[l]	UK Serious Fraud Office's Corporate Cooperation Guidance.	14-158
[m]	UK Serious Fraud Office's Compliance Program Evaluation Criteria	14-159
[n]	Brazilian Office of the Comptroller General Guidelines for Integrity Programs	14-161
[o]	Colombian Transnational Corruption Act Compliance Guidelines.	14-162
[p]	Mexican Criteria for Anti-Corruption Programs	14-163
[q]	Peruvian Standards for Anti-Bribery Compliance Programs	14-163
[r]	Argentine Criteria for Anti-Corruption Programs	14-164

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

[8]	State Standards	14-165
	[a] State Standards for Compliance and Ethics Programs in Health Care Providers	14-165
		[i] Connecticut
		[ii] New York
	[b] Regulators' Criteria for Evaluating Programs	14-169
	[c] Whistleblower Program Standards from the New York State Department of Financial Services. . .	14-172
[9]	Additional International Standards for Corporate Compliance and Ethics Programs	14-174
	[a] Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation Anti-Corruption Code	14-174
	[b] International Chamber of Commerce Rules on Combating Corruption . . .	14-175
	[c] Transparency International's Business Principles for Countering Bribery	14-177
	[d] United Nations Global Compact's Ten Principles	14-178
	[e] World Bank Group's Integrity Compliance Guidelines	14-179
	[f] World Economic Forum's Principles for Countering Bribery	14-181
	[g] ISO 37001 Anti-Bribery System Standards	14-181
	[h] ICC Antitrust Compliance Toolkit . . .	14-184
	[i] Latin Lawyer Guide to Corporate Compliance	14-185
	[j] APEC Guidebook on Monitoring and Supervising Effective Compliance Programs	14-185

CHAPTER 15**Constructing and Operating Compliance Programs**

§ 15.01	Design Principles Governing Compliance Programs	15-6
	[1] Treating Compliance Programs as Specialized Performance Quality Control Systems	15-6
	[2] The Importance of Agency Process in Law Compliance Programs	15-10

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lxi

[3]	Potential Sources of Compliance System Designs	15-10.1
[4]	Goals of Successful Compliance Program Designs	15-10.1
	[a] Interpreting and Evaluating Compliance Practices from Impacts, Not Efforts	15-10.3
	[b] Indicators of Organizational Cultures Promoting Misconduct	15-10.4
[5]	Reacting to Compliance “Hot Spots”: Compliance and Ethics Programs as Solutions to Complex Corporate Constraints	15-10.6
[6]	Revised COSO Internal Control Framework: Implications for Compliance Programs	15-10.8
	[a] Features of the COSO Framework	15-10.8
	[b] Management Advantages of Applying the Framework	15-10.11
	[c] Applying the Framework to Compliance Programs	15-10.11
	[d] COSO Fraud Risk Management Guide	15-10.13
[7]	Selecting Indicators as Compliance Metrics	15-10.14
[8]	Data Analytics in Compliance Programs	15-10.16
	[a] General Features of Compliance Analytics	15-10.16
	[b] Examples of Compliance Analytics Techniques	15-10.17
	[i] Frameworks for Misconduct Detection	15-10.17
	[ii] Data Mining	15-10.18
	[iii] Predictive Analytics	15-10.19
	[c] Government Use of Data Analytics to Detect Misconduct	15-10.20
	[i] Fraud Detection	15-10.21
	[ii] Antitrust Enforcement	15-10.23
	[iii] Healthcare Claims Monitoring	15-10.23
	[iv] Environmental Offense Detection	15-10.24
	[v] Securities Offense Monitoring	15-10.25
	[d] Private Use of Data Analytics in Compliance Programs	15-10.25
	[i] Examples of Compliance Analytics Applications	15-10.26

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[ii]	Steps for Expanding Use of Compliance Analytics	15-10.28
	[iii]	Potential Problems with Compliance Metrics	15-10.29
	[iv]	Testing Compliance Metrics	15-10.30
	[9]	Interpreting Compliance Effectiveness as a Changing Operational Target	15-10.31
	[10]	Constructing Compliance Programs to Produce Behavioral Results	15-10.33
	[11]	Constructing Compliance Programs to Ensure Individual Accountability for Organizational Law Compliance	15-10.36
§ 15.02		Operational Features of Effective Law Compliance Programs	15-11
	[1]	Interpreting Compliance Programs as Management Systems	15-11
	[a]	Indicators of a Strong Compliance and Ethics Program	15-12
	[b]	Special Challenges in Managing Compliance Systems	15-13
	[2]	Organizational Practices Furthering Law Compliance—A General Framework of Alternatives	15-14
	[a]	Directing Employees Regarding Steps Needed for Law Compliance	15-14.1
	[b]	Ensuring That Legal Issues Are Addressed	15-15
	[c]	Planning for Law Compliance Activities	15-20
	[d]	Control Processes for Ensuring Law Compliance	15-23
	[e]	Personnel Practices Promoting Law Compliance	15-29
	[i]	Labor Law Limits on Compliance Program Discipline	15-29
	[f]	Measuring the Effectiveness of a Law Compliance Program	15-33
	[g]	Positions of Compliance and Ethics Officers in Corporate Management Structures	15-34
	[i]	Reporting	15-35
	[ii]	Shared Duties	15-35
	[h]	Questions for Employees in Compliance Program Assessments	15-35
	[i]	Interpreting Corporate Duties Regarding Compliance Programs	15-37

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lxiii

	[j]	Recommendations from HHS Workshop on Compliance Programs in Pharmaceutical Companies	15-40
		[i] Board Oversight	15-41
		[ii] Integrating Programs with Business Activities	15-41
	[k]	Defining the Compliance Leadership Role of Chief Compliance and Ethics Officers	15-42
[3]		Trends in Compliance and Ethics Program Implementation	15-43
	[a]	Compliance and Ethics Program Investments Increased Following a Crisis	15-44
	[b]	Companies Suffering Reputational Damage Spent Heavily on Compliance and Ethics Program Activities	15-44
	[c]	Rules Versus Values	15-45
	[d]	Proactive Versus Reactive	15-45
	[e]	Setting Program Objectives	15-46
[4]		Whistleblower Protections Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	15-46
	[a]	Criminal Whistleblower Protections	15-46
	[b]	Civil Whistleblower Protections	15-47
		[i] Persons Protected	15-47
		[ii] Protections Afforded	15-48
		[iii] Remedies for Retaliation	15-48
		[iv] Procedures for Pursuing a Complaint	15-49
	[c]	Developing Issues Regarding Whistleblower Protections	15-52
		[i] Application to Overseas Employees	15-52
		[ii] Relationship Between Fraud and Financial Reporting	15-52
		[iii] Subjective Belief in Illegality	15-53
		[iv] Materiality of the Reported Misconduct	15-53
		[v] Whistleblower Protections for Persons Only Reporting Misconduct Within Companies	15-54
	[d]	Significant Growth of SEC Whistleblower Program	15-56
§ 15.03		Targeting of Law Compliance Programs: The Importance of Risk Assessment	15-59

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[1]	Components of a Risk-Oriented Approach to Compliance Program Administration	15-60
§ 15.04		Commonly Encountered Problems in Compliance Programs	15-63
	[1]	Balancing Retrospective Compliance Inspections and Forward Looking Compliance Processes	15-63
	[2]	Program Administration Problems	15-64
	[3]	Problems in Planning Compliance Efforts	15-66
	[4]	Agendas for Legal Auditing	15-66.1
	[a]	Bank Auditing Standards as Templates for Legal Audits	15-66.2
	[5]	Compliance Records	15-66.5
	[6]	Change Management	15-67
	[7]	System Verification Studies	15-67
	[8-9]	Program Auditing Techniques and Criteria: Lessons from the FBI	15-68
	[a]	Auditing Goals	15-69
	[b]	Fact-Finding Methods	15-69
	[c]	Findings	15-78
	[i]	Efforts Implemented	15-79
	[ii]	Risk Reduction Results Achieved	15-79
	[iii]	Program Weaknesses	15-80
	[d]	Experience with the Compliance Program at the FBI	15-81
	[10]	Common Compliance Program Problems to Avoid	15-83
	[11]	Repeated Misconduct as an Indicator of Ongoing Problems—Lessons from BP Well Blowout Incident	15-84
	[12]	Corporate Experience with Compliance Metrics	15-88
	[13]	Critiquing a Compliance Program Design	15-88.1
	[14]	The “Compliance Trap” of Efforts Without Tested Results	15-88.3
	[15]	Behavioral Considerations Revealing Compliance Program Weaknesses	15-88.4
§ 15.05		A Model Compliance Program	15-89
§ 15.06		[Reserved]	15-91
§ 15.07		Risks Inherent in Law Compliance Programs	15-92
	[1]	Disclosure Risks	15-92
	[a]	Sources of Disclosures	15-92
	[b]	Limited Applicability of the Attorney-Client Privilege	15-94
	[c]	Narrow Protections of the Attorney Work Product Doctrine	15-101
	[d]	Uncertain Scope of Self-Evaluative Privilege Under Federal Law	15-102.3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[e] State Statutes Recognizing Self-Evaluation Privileges 15-106

[f] EPA Resistance to Recognition of a Self-Evaluation Privilege 15-109

[g] Practical Problems in Retaining the Confidentiality of Compliance Information. 15-110

 [i] Define and Clarify the Purposes of Fact Finding 15-111

 [ii] Avoid Reuse of Regular Auditors for Compliance Assessments 15-112

 [iii] Failures to React to Apparent Problem Create New Problems. 15-113

 [iv] Communications to and from Counsel Must Clearly Relate to Legal Advice 15-114

 [v] Importance of Care in Targeting Audit Reports and Other Compliance Evaluations 15-115

 [vi] Affirmative Use of Compliance Evaluations May Waive Protections 15-116

 [vii] Government Officials May Press for Waiver of Protections 15-117

[2] Damage from Defective Compliance Efforts 15-117

[3] Harm from Incomplete Responses to Detected Misconduct 15-118

[4] Inadequate Compliance Efforts Creating New Grounds for Liability. 15-118

[5] Possible Overreporting of Offenses 15-119

[6] Tort Claims Based on Inaccurate Statements. 15-119

[7] Waste in Operating Compliance Programs 15-119

[8] Promoting Undue Risk Aversion Among Managers. 15-120

[9] Increasing Employees’ Mistrust of Corporate Managers 15-120

[10] Potential Unprofessional Conduct by Counsel 15-121

 [a] Dual Representation Problems. 15-121

 [b] Obligations Not to Mislead Public Officials 15-123

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

- [i] Duty to Avoid Making Affirmatively Misleading Statements 15-125
 - [ii] Duty to Avoid Incomplete Disclosures 15-126
 - [c] Obligations to Discontinue Representation 15-129
 - [d] Abusing Counsel’s Roles in Compliance and Ethics Programs 15-130
 - [i] Activities of Counsel Outside Privilege and Work Product Protections 15-131
 - [ii] Potential Misconduct by Counsel 15-132
- [11] Potential Impacts of Corporate Investigations in Coercing Individual Testimony in Violation of Personal Rights 15-133

CHAPTER 16

Reducing Corporate Criminal Liability Through Post-Offense Responses

- § 16.01 The Importance of Proper Post-Offense Responses to Illegal Corporate Conduct 16-3
 - [1] Evaluating the Seriousness of Compliance Program Failures 16-4
 - [a] Indicia of Serious Compliance Program Failures 16-4.1
 - [i] Gaps in Program Construction 16-4.1
 - [ii] Failures to Follow Up on Past Errors 16-4.1
 - [b] Other Indicators of Serious Program Failures 16-4.2
- § 16.02 Types of Post-Offense Responses Affecting Corporate Criminal Liability 16-4.4
 - [1] Required Responses 16-4.4
 - [a] Response Requirements Under Narrowly Targeted Statutes 16-4.4
 - [b] Required Responses to Concealed Hazards 16-4.4
 - [2] Responses Avoiding or Limiting Corporate Criminal Liability for the Illegal Acts of Employees 16-5
 - [a] Avoiding Corporate Criminal Liability Through Diligent Responses to Employee Offenses 16-5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lxvii

[b]	Reducing Corporate Criminal Sentences through Post-Offense Responses	16-6
	[i] Responses as Part of Law Compliance Programs	16-7
	[ii] Responses Outside of Law Compliance Programs	16-8
[3]	Responses Expanding Corporate Criminal Liability	16-8
	[a] Responses Enhancing Penalties for Present Offenses	16-8
	[i] Condoning or Tolerating an Offense	16-9
	[ii] Obstruction of Justice	16-10
	[b] Responses Constituting Additional Offenses	16-10
	[c] Responses Increasing Liability for Subsequent Offenses	16-11
	[i] Responses Establishing Willful Misconduct Regarding Subsequent Activities	16-11
	[ii] Responses Creating Patterns of Offenses	16-11
[4]	Responses Influencing Charging Decisions Under the DOJ's Prosecutorial Standards for Corporations	16-12
	[a] Impacts of Investigations, Disclosures and Remedial Actions	16-12
	[b] Privilege Waivers and Sufficient Information Disclosures	16-14
	[c] Problems in Applying Prosecutorial Discretion Standards	16-15
[5]	Responses Influencing Charging Decisions Under Other Governmental Standards	16-19
	[a] Amnesty for Antitrust Offenses	16-19
	[i] Standards for Granting Amnesty	16-19
	[ii] Limits on the Protective Impact of Amnesty	16-21
	[iii] Amnesty in Action: Some Examples	16-22
	[b] Offenses by Defense Contractors	16-27
	[c] Environmental Offenses	16-28
	[i] Federal Prosecutorial Standards	16-28
	[ii] EPA's Criminal Referral Policy	16-28.1
	[d] Securities Offenses	16-28.3

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[e]	Cooperation Standards Under CFTC Self-Reporting Policy	16-28.3
	[f]	Prompt Disclosures Encouraged Under DOJ Criminal Division Corporate Enforcement and Voluntary Self-Disclosure Policy	16-28.6
§ 16.03		Collateral Consequences of Post-Offense Responses	16-29
	[1]	Civil Liability	16-29
	[2]	Debarment from Government Contracting	16-31
	[3]	Impaired Corporate Reputations	16-33
§ 16.04		Crisis Management Techniques for Developing and Implementing Post-Offense Responses	16-34
	[1]	Considerations in Responding to Corporate Liability Crises	16-36.1
§ 16.05		Strategies for Managing Post-Offense Responses	16-37
	[1]	Actions Before an Offense	16-37
	[a]	Assessing Offense Threats	16-37
	[b]	Selecting an Offense Response Team	16-38
	[c]	Identifying Resources	16-39
	[d]	Establishing Post-Offense Response Policies	16-40
	[e]	Defining Contingency Plans	16-41
	[f]	Testing Contingency Plans	16-42
	[2]	Actions Comprising the Immediate Reaction to an Offense	16-43
	[a]	Conducting Internal Investigations of Detected Misconduct	16-43
	[b]	Harnessing Available Resources	16-45
	[c]	Defining and Controlling Offense Responses	16-45
	[d]	Overseeing Public Disclosures	16-47
	[3]	Actions After an Offense	16-48
	[a]	Monitoring and Evaluating Offense Responses	16-48
	[b]	Improving Corporate Operating Procedures Following an Offense	16-48
	[c]	Crafting Enhanced Compliance Programs in Post-Offense Responses: Guidance from the BP Oil Well Blowout and Plea Agreement	16-48.1
	[i]	Improved Risk Management	16-48.1
	[ii]	Support for Technology Improvements	16-48.2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lxix

	[iii] Enhanced Public Transparency	16-48.3
	[iv] Agreement Adherence Auditor	16-48.3
§ 16.06	Self-Reporting Decisions	16-48.4
	[1] Disclosures Necessary to Obtain Sentence Reductions.	16-48.4
	[a] Sufficient Disclosures Under Other Governmental Standards	16-48.4
	[b] Examples of Past Disclosures Gaining Favorable Results	16-52
	[2] Considerations in Deciding Whether to Disclose Detected Misconduct.	16-54

CHAPTER 17

The Future of Corporate Criminal Law

§ 17.01	Expanded Emphasis on Organizational Culpability.	17-1
§ 17.02	Clarifying Standards for Organizational Culpability.	17-7
§ 17.03	Strengthening Prosecutors' Commitments to Rewards for Corporate Self-Policing.	17-9
§ 17.04	Developing Operating Paradigms for Law Compliance Systems	17-13
	[1] New Compliance Practices Based on Proven Control Processes.	17-13
	[2] Reconceiving Law Compliance as Performance Quality Control.	17-14
§ 17.05	Creating a New Jurisprudence for an Organizational Age.	17-16
INDEX	I-1