

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

Characteristics of Corporate Crime

§ 1.01	Introduction	1-4
§ 1.02	Defining Corporate Crime	1-7
§ 1.03	Social Significance of Corporate Crime	1-10
§ 1.04	Public Injuries from Corporate Offenses	1-12
§ 1.05	Relationship Between Corporate Crime and Corporate Management	1-14.1
§ 1.06	Sources of Corporate Crime	1-16
	[1] Individual Offenders	1-17
	[2] Corporate Environments	1-20
	[3] Management Policies and Practices	1-22
	[a] Offenses Consciously Desired by Managers	1-23
	[b] Policies and Practices Unintentionally Encouraging Illegal Conduct	1-27
	[c] Constraints on Information Transfers and Decision Making	1-29
	[d] Crime Prevention Measures	1-30
	[e] Incentive Compensation Encouraging Criminal Risk Taking	1-30
§ 1.07	Distinctive Features of Corporate Crime	1-30.2
	[1] Concealment	1-30.2
	[a] Secrecy Concerning Legitimate Business Activities	1-30.2
	[b] Secrecy in Corporate Law Compliance Programs	1-31
	[c] Secrecy in Defending Against Corporate Prosecutions	1-31
	[d] Corporate Control over Information Sources	1-32
	[e] Dispersion of Relevant Evidence	1-33
	[f] Internal Corporate Pressures Discouraging Disclosures of Misconduct	1-33
	[g] Uncertain Illegality of Corporate Behavior	1-35

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[h]	Overcoming Pressures to Conceal Offenses	1-36
	[i]	Disparities in Enforcement and Compliance Resources.	1-36.2
	[2]	Diffuse Responsibility.	1-36.3
	[a]	Specialization Effects.	1-36.3
	[b]	Information Barriers.	1-37
	[c]	Incentive Systems.	1-38
	[d]	Measuring Culpability	1-39
§ 1.08		Distinctive Agency Relationships Constraining Corporate Crime.	1-41
	[1]	Top Managers as Law Enforcement Agents Serving Corporate Shareholders	1-42
	[2]	Operating Employees as Law Enforcement Agents of Corporate Principals	1-44
	[3]	Corporate Managers as Agents of Law Enforcement Officials	1-45
§ 1.09		Measuring Corporate Crime	1-47
	[1]	Broad Range of Affected Firms.	1-47
	[2]	Federal Offenses	1-48
	[a]	Types of Offenses Prosecuted	1-48
	[b]	Sanctions Imposed	1-50
	[c]	The Relationship Between Corporate and Individual Prosecutions	1-51
	[d]	Prosecutorial Discretion	1-52
	[3]	State Offenses	1-53
	[4]	Economic Crime Patterns	1-56
	[a]	Crime Levels	1-56
	[b]	Types of Crimes.	1-57
	[c]	Victim Losses.	1-58
	[d]	Civil and Criminal Penalties	1-58
	[e]	Collateral Damage	1-58.1
	[5]	Case Study: Financial Consequences of the BP Oil Well Blowout	1-58.2
	[6]	Consequences of Corporate Convictions: Empirical Research on Penalties Threatening Corporate Survival.	1-58.4
§ 1.10		A New Perspective: Evaluating Corporate Crime as a Manageable Defect in Corporate Performance. . .	1-56.7
	[1]	Components of a Performance Defect Interpretation of Corporate Crime	1-58.8
	[a]	Viewing Managers as Potential Architects of Corporate Law Compliance	1-58.8
	[b]	Measuring Corporate Fault and Liability	1-59
	[c]	Evaluating Corporate Crimes as Defects in Corporate Performance. . .	1-60

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[2]	A Taxonomy of Corporate Crime in Terms of Performance Defects and Prevention Methods.	1-61
		[a] Offenses Resulting from Management Misdirection	1-61
		[b] Offenses Resulting from Inadequate Provisions for Law Compliance	1-62
		[i] Inadequate Identification of Compliance Behaviors	1-62
		[ii] Failures to Properly Reward and Motivate Employees	1-63
		[iii] Failures to Properly Select or Advance Employees.	1-63
		[iv] Failures of Control Measures	1-63
		[c] Offenses Resulting from Poorly Organized Offense Responses.	1-64
		[i] Distinguishing Common and Special Causes of Corporate Offenses.	1-64
		[ii] Responses to Offenses from Special Causes	1-65
		[iii] Responses to Offenses from Systemic Causes	1-65
		[d] Additional, Unpreventable Offenses.	1-66
		[i] Offenses by Rogue Employees.	1-67
		[ii] Offenses from Justifiably Tolerated Systemic Sources	1-67
	[3]	The Role of Compliance Programs in Corporate Criminal Law	1-67
§ 1.11		Case Studies in Corporate Misconduct: Corporate Accounting Fraud	1-74
	[1]	Characteristics of SEC Enforcement Actions	1-74
	[2]	Types of Corporate Misconduct Involving Accounting Fraud	1-75
		[a] Improper Revenue Recognition	1-75
		[b] Improper Expense Recognition	1-76
		[c] Improper Accounting Regarding Business Combinations	1-77
		[d] Other Types of Improper Activities.	1-78
	[3]	Persons Involved in Misconduct	1-78.1
	[4]	Developing Characteristics of Corporate Offenses: Changing Patterns of Corporate Financial Reporting Fraud	1-78.7
		[a] Financial Reporting Fraud in the Early 1990s	1-78.7
		[b] Financial Reporting Fraud After 2000	1-78.9

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[c] Lessons from the COSO Studies	1-78.10
§ 1.12	Patterns in Corporate Law Compliance and Ethical Conduct	1-79
	[1] The Compliance and Ethics Climate	1-79
	[2] Absence of Employee Reporting	1-80
	[3] Concerns About Futility and Retaliation	1-80
	[4] Elements of Successful Compliance and Ethics Programs	1-80
	[5] Enhancing Law Compliance: Building an Ethical Culture	1-81
	[6] Ethical Culture Promotes Law Compliance	1-81
	[7] Complimentary Impacts of Ethical Cultures and Compliance Programs	1-81
	[8] Recommendations for Program Improvements	1-82
§ 1.13	Conclusion	1-83

CHAPTER 2**Rationales for Corporate Criminal Liability**

§ 2.01	Why Punish Inanimate Corporate Entities?	2-3
§ 2.02	Historical Roots of Corporate Criminal Liability	2-7
	[1] Irrelevance of Corporate “Personhood”	2-7
	[2] Rejection of Organizational Fault as a Basis for Corporate Criminal Liability	2-10
§ 2.03	Policy Justifications for Corporate Criminal Liability	2-13
	[1] Corporate Fault Rationales	2-13
	[a] Finding Organizational Fault: The Misguided Search for a Corporate Personality	2-14
	[b] Advantages of Fault Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability	2-18
	[c] Whose Fault Should Matter?	2-20
	[i] Fault of Operating Employees	2-20
	[ii] The Need for Further Managerial Fault	2-21
	[d] What Fault Should Be Sufficient?	2-22
	[i] Intentional Misconduct	2-22
	[ii] Preventive Fault	2-24
	[iii] Consent	2-26
	[iv] Group Fault	2-28
	[e] The Need for Corporate Liability as a Supplement to Individual Liability	2-28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxiii

	[i]	Corporate Liability as a Reflection of Management Contributions to Employee Offenses	2-29
	[ii]	Corporate Liability as a Means to Properly Allocate Managerial and Individual Accountability for Corporate Crimes	2-31
	[iii]	Corporate Liability as a Narrow Alternative to Promote Liability of Individual Offenders	2-35
[2]		Incentive Rationales	2-36
	[a]	Encouraging Crime Prevention	2-36
		[i] Effective Techniques for Corporate Deterrence	2-41
	[b]	Promoting Post-Offense Assistance to Public Authorities	2-42.1
		[i] Balancing Corporate and Individual Prosecutions.	2-42.3
[3]		Economic Rationales.	2-42.4
	[a]	Influencing Returns on Investment for Alternative Corporate Ventures and Practices	2-43
	[b]	Consumer Discipline of Firms with Poor Compliance Practices	2-43
	[c]	Investor Discipline of Firms with Poor Compliance Practices	2-45
		[i] Impacts on Stock Prices	2-45
		[ii] Bankruptcy	2-46
		[iii] Shareholder Monitoring and Discipline of Corporate Managers.	2-47
	[d]	Pricing Corporate Conduct with Irreducible Risks	2-48
[4]		Signaling Rationales	2-49
	[a]	Signals Promoting Corporate Differentiation	2-49
	[b]	Signals Promoting Self-Protection by Potential Crime Victims	2-50
	[c]	Signals Promoting Follow-Ups to Misconduct.	2-51
		[i] Redistribution of Blame, Liability, and Punishment.	2-51
		[ii] Identifying Corporate Practices Needing Review and Repair	2-52

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[iii] Clarifying the Seriousness of Misconduct	2-53
	[5] Retributive Rationales	2-53
	[6] Reformative Rationales	2-58
	[7] Compensatory Rationales	2-60
§ 2.04	Constraints on Corporate Criminal Liability	2-62
	[1] Constraints Derived from Individual Liability Principles	2-62
	[a] Promoting Respect	2-63
	[b] Focusing Prosecutions	2-63
	[c] Ensuring Sentence Consistency	2-65
	[d] Aiding Planning	2-66
	[2] Economic Constraints	2-66
§ 2.05	Criminal Liability for Corporations Closely Aligned with Their Owners	2-69
§ 2.06	Conclusion—The Need for a Corporate Jurisprudence	2-71

CHAPTER 3**Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law**

§ 3.01	Types of Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law	3-4
§ 3.02	Corporations as “Persons” Under Federal Statutes	3-5
	[1] Corporate Provisions of the Federal Dictionary Statute	3-5
	[2] Grounds for Excluding Corporations from Criminal Statutes	3-7
	[a] Exclusions for “Impossible” Corporate Crimes	3-7
	[b] Determining the “Impossibility” of Corporate Crimes	3-7
	[c] Defendant Features Not Possessed by Corporations	3-8
	[d] Offense Conduct Not Generally Undertaken by Corporations	3-9
	[e] Punishments Not Applicable to Corporations	3-10
§ 3.03	<i>Respondent Superior</i> Bases for Corporate Criminal Liability under Federal Law	3-11
	[1] History of <i>Respondent Superior</i> Standards for Corporate Criminal Liability	3-11
	[2] Generally Applicable Standard for Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law	3-19
	[3] Goals of <i>Respondent Superior</i> Liability	3-20
	[a] Crime Control Benefits	3-20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxv

	[b]	Equal Treatment of Corporate and Individual Actors	3-22
	[c]	Balancing of Benefits and Burdens of Unlawful Corporate Activities	3-23
[4]		Organizational Failure to Satisfy Nondelegable Law Compliance Duties	3-24
	[a]	Nondelegable Duties as a Basis for Corporate Criminal Liability	3-24
	[b]	Recognizing the Importance of Criminal Law Compliance	3-27
	[c]	Avoiding Liability Variations Based on Differences in Work Delegation Patterns	3-28
	[d]	Clarifying Management Control over Legal Risks	3-30
§ 3.04		Features of Corporate Criminal Liability Controlled by <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Principles	3-32
	[1]	Imputing Conduct	3-32
	[a]	Evaluating Offense Conduct	3-32
	[b]	Identifying Corporate Activity	3-32
	[c]	Defining Corporate Duties	3-32
	[d]	Determining Corporate Accountability for Factual Representations by Employees	3-33
	[e]	Establishing Jurisdiction	3-33
	[f]	Interpreting Indictments	3-34
	[2]	Imputing Mental States	3-35
	[a]	Willfulness	3-35
	[b]	Special Knowledge	3-37
	[c]	Specific Intent	3-39
§ 3.05		Scope of Employment Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability	3-40
	[1]	Role of the Scope of Employment in Determining Corporate Criminal Liability	3-40
	[2]	Purposes Underlying Scope of Employment Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability	3-43
	[a]	Establishing Minimum Managerial Culpability Thresholds for Corporate Criminal Liability	3-43
	[b]	Defining the Limits of Partial Managerial Responsibility for Employee Offenses	3-43
	[c]	Creating Criteria for Preventive Fault Evaluations of Corporate Crimes	3-44
	[d]	Defining Crime Prevention Goals for Corporate Managers	3-44

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[3]	Types of Employee Actions Falling Within the Scope of Corporate Employment	3-46
	[a]	Acts Authorized by Management	3-47
	[i]	Acts Understood to be Illegal When Authorized	3-47
	[ii]	Acts Not Understood to Be Illegal When Authorized	3-48
	[iii]	Acts Not Intended to Be Authorized But Reasonably Perceived by Corporate Employees as Authorized	3-49
	[b]	Impliedly Authorized Acts That Are Necessary or Highly Effective Means to Complete Expressly Authorized Tasks.	3-49
	[c]	Unauthorized Acts That Are Foreseeable Deviations from Authorized Conduct to Pursue Corporate Interests.	3-50.1
	[i]	Illegal Means Toward Legitimate, Assigned Ends	3-50.4
	[ii]	Illegal Extensions of Assigned Duties	3-50.6
	[iii]	Illegal Actions Within an Employee's Apparent Authority.	3-50.9
	[d]	Unauthorized Acts Regularly Undertaken with Authorized Conduct	3-51
	[e]	Prohibited But Foreseeable Acts	3-52
	[i]	Result the Employee Was Assigned to Produce. . .	3-54
	[ii]	Customs of the Enterprise or Other Like Enterprises. . .	3-55
	[iii]	Capabilities of the Person Undertaking an Assignment.	3-55
	[f]	Prohibited and Unforeseeable Acts . . .	3-55
§ 3.06		Corporate Benefit Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-57
	[1]	Benefit Tests for Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-57
	[2]	Purposes Underlying Corporate Benefit Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability.	3-58

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxvii

	[a]	Withholding Corporate Criminal Liability for Firms That Are Victims of Employee Crimes	3-58
	[b]	Tailoring Crime Prevention Incentives	3-60
	[c]	Establishing Fair Limits on Corporate Self-Policing Obligations.	3-60
	[d]	Recognizing Circumstantial Evidence of Corporate Fault	3-61
	[e]	Establishing Boundaries on Corporate Responsibility.	3-62
[3]		Sufficient Types of Corporate Benefit.	3-62
	[a]	Intended and Realized Gains	3-62
		[i] Incremental Revenues	3-62
		[ii] Cost Savings	3-63
		[iii] Improved Legal Position	3-64
		[iv] Monitoring Cost Savings.	3-64
	[b]	Intended But Unrealized Gains	3-64
	[c]	Unintended But Realized Gain	3-65
	[d]	Gain to Sole Shareholder.	3-65
[4]		Time Period for Assessing Corporate Benefit.	3-66
[5]		Corporate Criminal Liability Absent Corporate Gain	3-66
	[a]	Offenses Facilitated by an Individual’s Corporate Position.	3-67
	[b]	Foreseeable Offenses	3-68
	[c]	Offenses Involving Breach of Corporate Duty to Take Actions or Achieve Results.	3-69
[6]		Insufficient Corporate Benefit for Corporate Criminal Liability	3-69
	[a]	Offenses Injuring Corporations	3-69
	[b]	Offenses Neither Benefiting Nor Harming Corporations.	3-71
	[c]	Offenses Involving Personal Motivations or Objectives Unrelated to Corporate Interests.	3-72
[7]		Potential Impact of Corporate Law Compliance Programs on Offense Benefit Assessments.	3-73
§ 3.07		Rejected Limits on <i>Respondet Superior</i> Liability.	3-75
	[1]	Managerial or Supervisory Fault Need Not Be Shown	3-75
	[2]	Authorization to Complete Illegal Conduct is Not Needed.	3-75
	[3]	Policies or Instructions Prohibiting Illegal Conduct Will Not Prevent Liability	3-76

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[4]	Failure to Convict Responsible Individuals Does Not Preclude Corporate Criminal Liability	3-80
		[a] Differences in the Scope of Conduct and Knowledge Considered	3-81
		[b] Jury Allocations of Liability to Reflect Relative Blameworthiness	3-82
§ 3.08		Corporate Criminal Liability Based on the Actions of Corporate Managers	3-85
	[1]	Directing Corporate Agents to Undertake Criminal Conduct	3-85
	[2]	Plain Indifference to Legal Requirements	3-86
	[3]	Ratifying Offenses Committed by Employees Outside the Scope of Employment	3-86
§ 3.09		Improving the Clarity and Fairness of Corporate Criminal Liability Based on <i>Respondeat Superior</i>	3-88

CHAPTER 4**Corporate Criminal Liability Based on Collective Knowledge and Action**

§ 4.01		Collective Knowledge and Action as a Basis for Corporate Criminal Liability	4-2
	[1]	Basic Principles	4-2
	[2]	Goals of Collective Liability	4-3
		[a] Recognizing Organizational Culpability	4-3
		[b] Encouraging Due Care	4-4
§ 4.02		Offense Features That Can Be Established Through Collective Knowledge and Action	4-6
	[1]	Corporate Knowledge Based on Group Knowledge	4-7
	[2]	Willful Corporate Action Based on Group Knowledge	4-13
		[a] Willful Action Based on Uncommunicated Legal Requirements	4-15
		[b] Willful Action Based on Uncommunicated Factual Information	4-16
	[3]	Corporate Specific Intent	4-22
		[a] Specific Intent Based on Group Knowledge	4-22
		[b] Specific Intent Based on Distributed Intent	4-24

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxix

	[4] Corporate Entity Liability Without Agreement on the Responsible Individuals.	4-24.1
§ 4.03	Outer Boundaries of Corporate Liability Based on Collective Knowledge and Group Action	4-25
	[1] No Liability for Failure to Collect Information	4-25
	[2] Collective Knowledge is Limited to Information with a Reasonably Identifiable Relationship to Law Compliance.	4-26
	[3] Collective Knowledge is Limited to Material Information	4-28
§ 4.04	Implications of the Collective Knowledge Doctrine in Corporate Criminal Law	4-29

CHAPTER 5

Special Sources and Limitations of Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law

§ 5.01	Corporate Conspiracy Liability.	5-2
	[1] Corporate Liability Under the Federal Conspiracy Statute	5-3
	[2] Corporate Conspiracies to Restrain Trade	5-6
	[3] Corporate Conspiracies Under Other Statutes	5-8
§ 5.02	Corporate Criminal Liability Based on Conduct of Parties Outside of Corporate Organizations	5-9
	[1] Corporate Liability for Offenses by Independent Contractors and Other Independent Agents	5-9
	[a] Strict Liability Offenses.	5-9
	[b] Other Criminal Standards.	5-11
	[2] Corporate Criminal Liability Based on Conduct of Employees of Corporate Subsidiaries.	5-14
	[a] Agency Basis for Parent Company Liability	5-14
	[b] Unitary Enterprise Basis for Parent Company Liability.	5-15
	[3] The Minimum Unit for Measuring Liability: Criminal Liability of Corporate Divisions and Other Independently Managed Corporate Subcomponents	5-17
§ 5.03	Criminal Liability for Offenses of Dissolved Corporations	5-19

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

§ 5.04	Criminal Liability for Offenses of Predecessor Corporations	5-22
	[1] Liability for Offenses of Constituent Corporations in Mergers and Consolidations.	5-22
	[2] Liability of Successor Companies for Offenses of Acquired Firms.	5-23
	[3] Liability of Companies Based on Acquisition of Stock of Corporate Offender	5-24
	[4] Liability of Companies Based on Acquisition of Assets of Corporate Offender	5-24
	[a] General Standard	5-24
	[b] Exceptions to General Rule	5-25
	[c] Accomplice Liability	5-25
	[5] Prosecutorial Discretion Principles Limiting Successor Liability for Corporate Crimes	5-26
	[a] Underlying Successor Liability	5-26
	[b] Criteria for Withholding Charges Against Successor Corporations	5-26.1
	[c] Remaining Types of Successor Liability	5-26.2
	[d] Concrete Steps to Avoid Successor Liability	5-26.3
	[i] Obtaining an Opinion	5-26.3
	[ii] Procedures for Pre-Acquisition Due Diligence Studies	5-26.5
§ 5.05	Corporate Criminal Liability After Bankruptcy.	5-27
	[1] The Status of Corporate Criminal Fines in Bankruptcy Proceedings.	5-27
	[2] Corporate Criminal Fines as “Debts”.	5-28
	[3] Limits on Dischargeability of Fines Imposed on “Individual Debtor”	5-30
	[4] A Case Study in Discharge of Corporate Criminal Fines	5-31
§ 5.06	Constitutional Limitations on Corporate Criminal Liability.	5-33
	[1] Due Process and Equal Protection	5-33
	[2] Procedural Protections.	5-33
	[3] Search and Seizure Limitations	5-34
	[4] Self-Incrimination	5-35
	[5] Grand Jury Indictment	5-36
	[6] Speedy Trial	5-36
	[7] Jury Trial.	5-39
	[8] Right to Counsel	5-41
	[9] Vagueness	5-42
	[10] <i>Ex Post Facto</i> Laws	5-43

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxi

[11]	Cruel and Unusual Punishment	5-43
[12]	Double Jeopardy	5-44

CHAPTER 6

Support for a Due Diligence Defense to Corporate Criminal Liability Under Federal Law

§ 6.01	Authority for Due Diligence Defense	6-2
	[1] Why Cases Testing Due Diligence Are Rare	6-2
	[2] Judicial Support for Due Diligence Defense	6-3
	[3] Significance of Due Diligence Under Corporate Criminal Liability Standards.	6-6
		[a] Negating Corporate Intent 6-6
		[b] Defining Scope of Employment 6-7
		[c] Identifying Crimes for Corporate Benefit 6-7
		[d] Targeting Incentives for Law Compliance Programs 6-8
		[e] Determining Corporate Liability Based on Managerial Fault 6-9
	[4] An International Comparison: UK Bribery Act Defense for Adequate Procedures to Prevent Bribery	6-10.1
§ 6.02	Possible Reasons to Reject a Due Diligence Defense to Corporate Criminal Liability.	6-11
	[1] Punitive Arguments Against a Due Diligence Defense	6-11
	[2] Incentive Arguments Against Due Diligence Defense	6-13
§ 6.03	The Corporate Due Care Defense to “Controlling Person” Liability Under Federal Securities Laws	6-14
§ 6.04	Measuring Due Diligence in Detecting and Preventing Crimes by Corporate Employees	6-19
	[1] Due Diligence in Advance of Offenses.	6-19
		[a] Avoiding the Encouragement of Employee Offenses 6-19
		[b] Implementing Crime Prevention Measures 6-20
	[2] Due Diligence in Monitoring to Detect Offenses	6-23
	[3] Due Diligence in Reacting to Offenses.	6-24
§ 6.05	Three Case Studies in Measuring Due Diligence Compliance.	6-27
	[1] <i>United States v. Kroger Grocery & Baking Co.</i>	6-27

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[a]	Compliance Direction and Control . . .	6-27
	[b]	Monitoring	6-28
	[c]	Post-Offense Responses	6-28
	[d]	Judicial Evaluation	6-28
	[2]	<i>In re Holland Furnace Co.</i>	6-29
	[3]	<i>United States v. Greyhound Corp.</i>	6-31
	[a]	Compliance Direction and Control . . .	6-31
	[b]	Monitoring	6-32
	[c]	Post-Offense Responses	6-32
	[d]	Judicial Analysis	6-32
§ 6.06		Conclusion	6-34

CHAPTER 7**Corporate Criminal Liability Under State Laws**

§ 7.01		Aims and Limitations	7-2
§ 7.02		Corporate Criminal Liability Under State Laws Incorporating <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Standards . . .	7-8
§ 7.03		Corporate Criminal Liability Under State Standards Based on Kinship of Agent Authority and Offense Conduct	7-11
§ 7.04		Corporate Criminal Liability Under State Laws Based on Model Penal Code Standards	7-15
	[1]	Origins of Model Penal Code Standards for Corporate Criminal Liability	7-15
	[2]	Code Standards	7-16
	[3]	Properly Categorizing Offenses Within the Code	7-17
	[4]	Corporate Liability for Acts of High Managerial Agents Under Model Penal Code	7-18
	[a]	The Definition of High Managerial Agents	7-18
	[b]	Managerial Toleration of Crimes	7-19
	[c]	Range of Offenses Covered	7-20
	[5]	Corporate <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Liability Under Model Penal Code	7-21
	[a]	Narrow Range of Offenses Covered . . .	7-21
	[b]	Criteria for Applying <i>Respondeat Superior</i> Standards	7-22
	[c]	Due Diligence Defense	7-24
	[6]	Corporate Liability Under the Model Penal Code for Failure to Meet Statutory Duties	7-25
	[7]	Corporate Liability Under the Code for Strict Liability Offenses	7-27
	[8]	Does the Code Achieve Its Goals?	7-28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxiii

§ 7.05	Corporate Criminal Liability Based on Managers' Inattention to Concealed Hazard— The California Corporate Criminal Liability Act	7-31
§ 7.06	Roster of State Standards for Corporate Criminal Liability	7-35
§ 7.07	State Penalties for Corporate Fraud and Other Offenses	7-65
§ 7.08	Conclusion	7-67

CHAPTER 8

Corporate Sentences for Federal Offenses: An Introduction to The Organizational Sentencing Guidelines

§ 8.01	Transformation of Corporate Sentencing Under Federal Sentencing Guidelines	8-6
§ 8.02	Historical Patterns of Corporate Sentencing	8-6.3
	[1] Pre-Guideline Practices	8-6.3
	[2] Changes in Corporate Sentencing Under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984	8-6.4
	[3] A Precursor to Organizational Sentencing Standards: Sentencing Guidelines for Individuals	8-7
	[4] Developing Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations	8-8
§ 8.03	Overview of Organizational Sentencing Guidelines	8-13
	[1] Underlying Principles	8-13
	[2] Sentencing Covered by Guidelines	8-13
	[a] Entities Covered	8-13
	[b] Offenses Covered	8-14
	[3] Federal Sentencing Procedures for Corporate Offenders	8-16
§ 8.04	Determining Recommended Corporate Sentences Under Guidelines	8-21
	[1] Corporate Fines	8-21
	[a] General Standards	8-21
	[b] Antitrust Offenses	8-22
	[c] Bribery Offenses	8-23
	[d] Impact of Organizational Culpability on Corporate Fines	8-24
	[i] High Culpability Organizational Offender	8-24
	[ii] High Culpability Organizational Offender with Post-Offense Cooperation	8-25

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[iii]	Typical Organizational Offender.	8-25
	[iv]	Organizational Offender with a Weak Compliance Program.	8-25
	[v]	Model Organizational Offender with an Effective Compliance Program	8-26
	[vi]	Organizational Offender with No Compliance Program, but Effective Post-Offense Response	8-26
	[2]	Other Corporate Sentences: Restitution, Remedial, and Probation Requirements	8-27
§ 8.05		Future Impact of the Guidelines on Corporate Criminal Liability	8-28
	[1]	Prosecutorial Impact	8-29
	[a]	More Numerous Prosecutions	8-29
	[b]	Expanded Prosecutions.	8-31
	[c]	Changing Prosecutorial Discretion Patterns.	8-32
	[d]	Prosecutorial Trends Under Guidelines	8-33
	[e]	Corporate Experience with Deferred Prosecution Agreements	8-44.1
	[f]	Enforcement of Deferred and Non-Prosecution Agreements	8-46.36
	[g]	Increasing Use of Deferred and Non-Prosecution Agreements	8-46.53
	[i]	Corporate Monitors	8-46.55
	[ii]	Payments	8-46.55
	[iii]	Compliance Programs	8-46.55
	[iv]	Agency Assistance.	8-46.56
	[v]	Privilege Waivers.	8-46.56
	[vi]	DOJ Cooperation	8-46.56
	[vii]	DOJ Termination	8-46.57
	[viii]	Possible Abuses	8-46.57
	[h]	The Aftermath of Deferred Prosecution Agreements: State Regulatory Responses to Admissions in Agreements	8-46.61
	[i]	Ongoing Fact Finding by Corporate Monitors	8-46.62
	[j]	Selection and Use of Corporate Monitors.	8-46.72
	[i]	Considerations in Seeking Monitors	8-46.72
	[ii]	Procedures for Approval of Corporate Monitors.	8-46.74

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxv

	[iii]	Continuing Review of Monitors	8-46.75
	[iv]	Concrete Costs of Monitoring Under DPA and NPA Agreements	8-46.76
	[v]	Increasingly Extensive Monitors’ Powers	8-46.78
	[vi]	Unanticipated Risks of Corporate Monitors in Practice	8-46.83
	[vii]	Persons Selected as Corporate Monitors	8-46.84
[k]		The Decision to Seek a Monitor	8-46.85
[l]		Developing Roles of Corporations in Assisting Prosecutors	8-46.87
[m]		Multiple Corporate Monitors in Cases of Systemic Compliance Mismanagement in Specialized Fields: Examples from the BP Plea Agreement	8-46.89
	[i]	Monitors’ Duties	8-46.89
	[ii]	Resources Provided to the Monitors by BP	8-46.90
	[iii]	Powers of the Monitors	8-46.91
	[iv]	Monitors’ Reviews and Reports	8-46.91
	[v]	Implications of Divided Monitoring Arrangements	8-46.92
[n]		DOJ Considerations in Using Deferred Prosecution Agreements	8-46.93
	[i]	Increased Corporate Accountability	8-46.93
	[ii]	Consequences of Systematic Flaws	8-46.94
	[iii]	Criminal Justice Impacts of a DPA	8-46.95
	[iv]	Lack of Individual Liability Reductions	8-46.96
	[v]	Increasing Prosecutorial Choices and Corporate Justice Options	8-46.96
	[vi]	Changes in Case Resolutions with NPAs and DPAs	8-46.97
[o]		Comparative Guidance on Deferred Prosecution Agreements— The UK Experience	8-46.98
	[i]	DPA Consultation	8-46.98
	[ii]	DPA Guidelines for Prosecutors	8-46.102

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[iii]	UK Criminal Procedure Rules Regarding DPAs	8-46.110
	[iv]	UK Serious Fraud Office Updated Provisions on Deferred Prosecution Agreements	8-46.112
	[p]	Refining DPA and NPA Practices	8-46.114
	[i]	Targeting Agreements	8-46.115
	[ii]	Considerations in Negotiating Agreements	8-46.117
	[iii]	Adjusting Corporate Leniency to Promote Individual Accountability and Deterrence	8-46.119
	[iv]	Targeting Results in DPAs and NPAs	8-46.121
	[q]	Shifts in Corporate Crime Policing Under DPAs and NPAs	8-46.123
	[r]	Evaluating the Impacts of DPAs and NPAs on Criminal Prosecutions of Individuals	8-46.125
	[s]	Assessing the Results of the Era of Deferred Prosecutions	8-46.126
	[t]	Limitations on Judicial Review of DPAs	8-46.130
	[u]	Reasons Behind DPAs and NPAs Without Individual Prosecutions	8-46.135
	[v]	Expanding DPA and NPA Enforcement to Include CEO and CCO Certifications	8-46.136
	[2]	Corporate Impact	8-46.139
	[3]	Employee Impact	8-46.142
§ 8.06		Constitutional and Statutory Restrictions on Organizational Sentencing Guidelines	8-46.145
	[1]	Constitutional Restrictions	8-46.145
	[a]	Authority to Issue Guidelines	8-46.145
	[b]	Jury Trial Limitations	8-48
	[c]	Other Constitutional Challenges	8-49
	[d]	Remaining Constitutional Issues	8-50
	[2]	Statutory Restrictions	8-57
§ 8.07		Unresolved Policy Questions Underlying Organizational Sentencing Guidelines	8-64
	[1]	Governing Sentencing Rationales	8-64
	[a]	Reconciling Divergent Sentencing Principles	8-64
	[b]	Just Deserts	8-64
	[c]	Utilitarian Deterrence	8-64.4
	[d]	Preventive Incentives	8-64.5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxvii

	[e] Combining Sentencing Proposals from Multiple Viewpoints	8-64.7
	[2] Real Offense Versus Charged Offense Sentencing	8-65
	[3] Role of Individual Sentencing Patterns	8-67
§ 8.08	Effect of Guidelines on Criminal Law	
	Enforcement in Corporate Organizations	8-69
	[1] Corporations as Public Trustees Concerning Prevention and Detection of Internal Crimes	8-69
	[2] Agency Analysis of Criminal Law Enforcement Through Corporate Trustees	8-70
	[3] Demands for Private Policing in Corporate Organizations	8-71
	[4] Altered Managerial Relationships Following Internalization of Corporate Law Enforcement	8-73
	[5] Changes in Corporate Legal Practice	8-76
	[6] Ongoing Impacts of Organizational Sentencing Guidelines	8-77
	[a] Expanded Governmental Criteria for Good Compliance Practices	8-77
	[b] Broadened Impact of Compliance Programs on Corporate Liability	8-80
	[i] Broadened Impact on Criminal Penalties	8-80
	[ii] Consideration in Charging Decisions	8-81
	[iii] Impacts on Regulatory Enforcement Actions and Penalties	8-81
	[iv] Reductions in Corporate Civil Liability	8-81
	[v] Criteria for Civil Liability of Corporate Directors and Officers	8-82
	[c] Ongoing Involvement of Federal Prosecutors in Corporate Reforms and Crime Prevention	8-82
§ 8.09	Risks and Opportunities Concerning Corporate Criminal Liability	8-86
§ 8.10	Impacts of the Organizational Sentencing Guidelines: Historical Assessments	8-87
	[1] Impacts of the Guidelines: A 20th Anniversary Assessment	8-87
	[a] Serving as a Model for Prosecutorial and Regulatory Standards	8-88
	[b] International Impacts	8-88

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[c] Focusing Attention on Compliance Programs	8-88
	[d] Increasing Expertise	8-89
	[2] Continuing Challenges After 20 Years	8-89
	[3] Sentencing Commission Evaluation of Guidelines Impact: A 30th Anniversary Assessment	8-91
§ 8.11	Comparative Sentencing Approaches Outside the United States: International Corporate Sentencing Standards	8-92.1
	[1] United Kingdom Guidelines on Corporate Sentencing	8-92.1
§ 8.12	Research on Public Perceptions of Organizational Sentencing Factors	8-99

CHAPTER 9**Offense Severity Characteristics
Determining Corporate Fines**

§ 9.01	Introduction	9-2
§ 9.02	The Impact of Offense Severity Measures on Corporate Fines	9-4
§ 9.03	Fines Based on Illegal Gains	9-5
	[1] Rationales for Varying Corporate Fines Based on Illegal Gains	9-5
	[2] Limits on Gain-Based Deterrents	9-6
	[a] Economic Irrationality in Organizational Behavior	9-6
	[b] Low Prosecution Rates	9-7
	[c] Reduced Multipliers Based on Corporate Culpability Measures	9-10
	[3] Measuring Offender Gains	9-11
	[a] Realized Gains	9-11
	[b] Anticipated but Unrealized Gains	9-13
	[c] Strategic Gains	9-14
	[d] Compliance Cost Savings	9-15
	[e] Agent Monitoring Cost Savings	9-17
§ 9.04	Fines Based on Victim Losses	9-20
	[1] Rationales for Varying Corporate Fines Based on Victim Losses	9-20
	[2] Limits on Loss-Based Incentives	9-23
	[a] Separation of Accountability for Compliance Expenses and Corporate Fines	9-23
	[b] Low Prosecution Rates	9-24
	[c] Losses Ignored in Fine Setting	9-24
	[3] Loss Measurement	9-27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxxix

	[a] Immediate Loss	9-28
	[b] Consequential Losses	9-31
	[c] Further Intended but Unrealized Losses	9-33
[4]	Special Loss Measures for Specific Offense Categories	9-35
	[a] Stolen or Counterfeit Credit Cards and Access Devices: Purloined Numbers and Codes	9-35
	[b] Government Benefits	9-35
	[c] Davis-Bacon Act Violations	9-35
	[d] Ponzi and Other Fraudulent Investment Schemes	9-36
	[e] Other Unlawful Misrepresentation Schemes	9-36
	[f] Bribery Offenses	9-36
	[g] Antitrust Offenses	9-37
	[h] Tax Offenses	9-37
§ 9.05	Fines Based on Offense Levels	9-39
	[1] Translating Offense Levels into Corporate Fines	9-39
	[2] Reconsidering Gains and Losses in Determining Offense Levels	9-39
	[3] Types of Corporate Fines Governed by Offense Levels	9-40
	[4] Determining Offense Levels for Group Conduct	9-41
§ 9.06	Incapacitating Fines for Criminal Purpose Organizations	9-43
	[1] Rationale for Incapacitating Fines	9-43
	[2] Grounds for Imposing Incapacitating Fines ...	9-44
	[a] Repeated Offenses	9-44
	[b] Illegal Conduct with Highly Serious Impacts	9-47
§ 9.07	Gaps in Severity Measures: Some Illustrative Cases	9-51
	[1] Statutory Fine Caps as Limits on Corporate Fines	9-51
	[2] Estimating Losses from Altered Conduct: The Special Treatment of Antitrust Offenses	9-53
	[3] Measuring the Value of Information	9-58
	[4] Food and Drug Offenses: Distinguishing Between Economic Fraud and Health and Safety Threats	9-61
	[5] Environmental Crimes: Future Challenges in Measuring Harm and Setting Corporate Fines	9-65

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[a] Measuring the Seriousness of Environmental Offenses	9-65
	[b] Proposed Environmental Offense Sentencing Guidelines for Organizational Offenders.	9-68
§ 9.08	Conclusion.	9-76

CHAPTER 10

**Offender Culpability Characteristics
Determining Corporate Fines**

§ 10.01	The Role of Corporate Culpability in Federal Sentencing.	10-2
	[1] Adjusting Corporate Fines to Match Organizational Culpability	10-2
	[2] Underlying Test for Organizational Culpability.	10-3
§ 10.02	Objectives of Varying Corporate Fines Based on Organizational Culpability	10-7
§ 10.03	Corporate Culpability Indicators.	10-10
	[1] Managerial Fault	10-10
	[a] Executive Involvement.	10-10
	[b] Middle Manager Involvement	10-12
	[c] Managerial Tolerance.	10-13
	[d] Multiple Types of Managerial Involvement in a Single Case.	10-15
	[2] Preventive Fault.	10-15
	[a] Failure to Implement Preventive Law Compliance Programs	10-16
	[i] Compliance Standards	10-18
	[ii] Program Leadership.	10-18
	[iii] Avoiding Irresponsible Delegation of Authority	10-19
	[iv] Communicating Standards and Procedures	10-19
	[v] Monitoring, Auditing, and Evaluation	10-19
	[vi] Promoting and Enforcing the Program.	10-20
	[vii] Offense Responses.	10-20
	[b] Failure to Prevent Repeated Misconduct.	10-21
	[c] Commission of an Offense in Violation of a Judicial Order or Probation Condition.	10-23
	[3] Reactive Fault	10-25
	[a] Acceptance of Responsibility.	10-26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xli

	[b] Aid to Public Authorities	10-27
	[c] Willful Obstruction of Justice	10-30
§ 10.04	Limitations of Fault-Based Corporate Fines	10-31
	[1] Criminal Liability Despite Low Corporate Culpability	10-31
	[2] Uncertain Organizational Effectiveness in Promoting Compliance	10-32
	[3] Adverse Incentives Created by Compliance System Rewards	10-34
	[4] Sentencing Process Limitations	10-35
§ 10.05	Conclusion: Critical Functions Served by “Carrot and Stick” Sentencing	10-38

CHAPTER 11

Adjusting Corporate Fines: Sentencing Discretion, Guideline Departures, and Fine Reductions

§ 11.01	Introduction	11-4
§ 11.02	Considerations in Setting Fines Within Recommended Ranges	11-5
	[1] Role of Judicial Discretion	11-5
	[2] Fine Adjustments Based on Federal Sentencing Goals	11-7
	[3] Fine Adjustments Based on an Organization’s Role in an Offense	11-8
	[4] Fine Adjustments Based on Collateral Consequences of a Conviction	11-9
	[5] Fine Adjustments Based on Nonpecuniary Losses	11-9
	[6] Fine Adjustments Based on Involvement of a Vulnerable Victim	11-10
	[7] Fine Adjustments Based on the Prior Criminal Record of a High-Level Participant	11-10
	[8] Fine Adjustments Based on Prior Civil or Criminal Misconduct	11-11
	[9] Fine Adjustments Based on Exceptionally High or Low Culpability Scores	11-13
	[10] Fine Adjustments Based on the Partial Presence of a Culpability Indicator	11-14
	[11] Fine Adjustments Based on Statutory Factors	11-15
	[a] Defendant’s Income, Earning Capacity, and Financial Resources	11-15
	[b] Financial Burdens on Defendant	11-16
	[c] Financial Burdens on Persons Dependent on Defendant	11-17

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[d]	Pecuniary Losses	11-17
	[e]	Restitution	11-18
	[f]	Need to Deprive Defendant of Illegal Gains	11-19
	[g]	Ability of Defendant to Pass Expense of a Fine on to Customers or Other Persons	11-20
	[h]	Organization Size	11-21
	[i]	Disciplinary and Reformative Measures	11-22
	[12]	Fine Adjustments Based on Factors Considered in Determining Recommended Fine Ranges	11-23
§ 11.03		Departures from Recommended Fine Ranges	11-24
	[1]	General Standards for Guideline Departures	11-24
	[2]	Justifying Guideline Departures	11-26
	[3]	Factors Supporting Downward Departures	11-28
	[a]	Substantial Assistance to Authorities	11-28
	[b]	Public Entities	11-28.1
	[c]	Members or Beneficiaries of Organization Victimized	11-29
	[d]	Remedial Costs Greatly Exceeding Corporate Gain	11-29
	[e]	Exceptionally Low Organizational Culpability	11-29
	[f]	Wrongful Conduct by Victim	11-30
	[g]	Avoiding Greater Harm	11-31
	[h]	Coercion and Duress	11-32
	[i]	Diminished Capacity	11-32
	[j]	Voluntary Self-Reporting of Otherwise Undetectable Offenses	11-32
	[4]	Factors Supporting Upward Departures	11-33
	[a]	Death or Bodily Injury	11-33
	[b]	Threats to National Security	11-35
	[c]	Threats to the Environment	11-35
	[d]	Threats to a Market	11-35
	[e]	Official Corruption	11-36
	[f]	Operation of Required Law Compliance Program	11-36
	[g]	Exceptionally High Organizational Culpability	11-37
	[h]	Extreme Psychological Injury	11-38
	[i]	Property Damage or Loss	11-39
	[j]	Disruption of Governmental Function	11-39
	[k]	Criminal Purpose	11-40
	[l]	Endangerment of Public Health or Safety	11-40

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xliii

[m] Violent Conduct 11-40

[5] Additional Factors Justifying Departures 11-41

 [a] Unusual Instances of Factors
 Influencing Court Discretion 11-41

 [b] Factors Influencing Sentencing for
 Other Types of Offenses 11-42

 [c] Departure Criteria Not Specified in
 the Guidelines 11-42

[6] Additional Guideline Departures on
 Constitutional Grounds 11-43

 [a] The Court’s Analysis in *Booker* 11-44

 [i] Jury Trial Guarantees
 and Sentencing
 Evaluations 11-44

 [ii] A Remedy Retaining Portions
 of the Guideline System 11-44.1

 [b] The Modified Impact of the
 Sentencing Guidelines After
 Booker 11-44.2

 [c] Beyond the Guidelines: Additional
 Considerations in Sentencing 11-44.4

 [d] Results Under Post-*Booker*
 Sentencing Standards 11-44.6

 [e] Changes in the Sentencing
 Guidelines’ Impact 11-44.6

 [i] Diminished Influence
 of Prosecutors in Plea
 Bargaining Negotiations 11-44.7

 [ii] Ability of Courts to Reduce
 Sentences for Cooperation
 Without Concurrence
 of Prosecutors 11-44.7

 [iii] Expanded Grounds
 for Sentence Reductions
 and Increases 11-44.8

 [iv] Increased Complexity
 of Sentencing Proceedings 11-44.9

 [f] Continuing Importance of the
 Organizational Sentencing
 Guidelines 11-44.9

 [i] Primary Predictors
 of Sentencing Results 11-44.9

 [ii] Bases for Prosecutorial
 Discretion 11-44.10

 [iii] Incorporation in Charging
 and Penalty Standards
 for Civic Offenses 11-44.11

 [g] Compliance Program Evaluations
 After *Booker* 11-44.12

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[h] Implementing <i>Booker</i> : The Supreme Court Clarifies the Impact of the Advisory Sentencing Guidelines . . .	11-44.13
	[i] Rita v. United States	11-44.13
	[ii] Kimbrough v. United States . . .	11-44.16
	[iii] Gall v. United States	11-44.19
§ 11.04	Fine Reductions to Accommodate Limited Corporate Resources	11-44.23
	[1] Lowering Fines to Reduce Impact on Corporate Viability	11-44.23
	[2] Procedures for Fine Reductions	11-44.23
	[a] Preliminary Assessment of Defendant's Ability to Pay a Fine	11-44.23
	[b] Additional Assessment of Fine Payment Impact Following Complete Sentencing Analysis	11-45
	[c] Reassessment of Fine Payment Impact During Term of Deferred Payment Plan	11-45
	[3] Fine Reduction Amounts	11-46
	[a] Reductions to Ensure Restitution Payments	11-46
	[b] Reductions to Protect Legitimate Businesses	11-47
	[c] Threats to Company Existence Justifying Fine Reductions	11-47
	[d] Measuring Firm's Ability to Pay	11-48
	[4] Payment Terms Allowing Deferred Fine Payments	11-50
	[a] Measuring Firm's Ability to Make Immediate Payment of Fine	11-50
	[b] Installment Payment Arrangements . . .	11-51
	[5] DOJ Guidance on Fine Modifications Based on Corporate Inability to Pay	11-52
	[a] Information Required	11-53
	[b] Factors Considered by Prosecutors . . .	11-54
	[c] Special Reviews Within the DOJ	11-55
	[d] Implications for Corporate Fines	11-55
§ 11.05	Corporate Fine Reductions to Offset Fines Paid by Owners of Closely Held Firms	11-57
§ 11.06	Conclusion	11-59

CHAPTER 12**Beyond Fines: Innovative Corporate Sentences**

§ 12.01	Innovative Sentencing Options	12-2
---------	---	------

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[1]	Significance of Nontraditional Corporate Sanctions	12-2
	[2]	Role of Nontraditional Sanctions in Corporate Sentencing	12-4
§ 12.02		Remedial Sanctions	12-6
	[1]	Immediate Restitution	12-6
	[a]	Judicial Discretion Concerning Restitution	12-9
	[b]	Eligible Victims	12-12
	[c]	Compensable Injuries	12-18
	[i]	Damage to or Loss of Property	12-18
	[ii]	Bodily Injury or Death	12-19
	[iii]	Excluded Damage	12-19
	[iv]	Judicial Authority to Order Additional Restitution	12-20
	[d]	Withholding Restitution Due to Complication and Prolongation of the Sentencing Process	12-21
	[e]	Constitutional Limitations on Restitution Sentences	12-21
	[2]	Deferred Restitution	12-23
	[3]	Remedial Orders	12-25
	[4]	Community Service	12-27
	[5]	Notices to Crime Victims	12-30
§ 12.03		Preventive Sanctions	12-33
	[1]	Crime Prevention Through Corporate Probation Sentences	12-33
	[a]	Statutory Standards for Corporate Probation Sentences	12-33
	[b]	Guideline Provisions Concerning Probation Sentences	12-36
	[c]	Lessons from Past Corporate Probation Sentences	12-37
	[2]	Mandatory Offender Reforms	12-39
	[a]	The Proper Role of Compelled Reforms	12-39
	[b]	When Reforms Are Required	12-40
	[c]	Types of Required Reforms	12-41
	[i]	Mandatory Compliance Program Changes and Monitoring	12-41
	[ii]	Business Practice Reforms	12-42
	[d]	A Strategy for Imposing Reform Requirements	12-44
	[i]	Voluntary Compliance	12-45
	[ii]	Enforced Self-Regulation	12-46
	[iii]	Mandated Restrictions	

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	with Enforcement Discretion	12-48
	[iv] Mandated Restrictions with Defined or Presumed Sanctions	12-48
	[e] An Example of Probation-Imposed Reforms	12-49
[3]	Enhanced Offense Disclosures	12-52
[4]	Adverse Publicity	12-53
	[a] Examples of Probation Terms Compelling Adverse Advertising.	12-56
[5]	Punitive Probation Terms	12-58
	[a] Examples of Public Service Requirements in Probation Terms	12-59
	[6] Strategies for Imposing Preventative Sanctions	12-60
§ 12.04	Conclusion	12-62

CHAPTER 13

Collateral Consequences of Corporate Crime

§ 13.01	Introduction	13-6
	[1] Broad Collateral Consequences of Corporate Criminal Misconduct: Lessons from the BP Oil Well Blowout	13-6.1
	[a] SEC-Imposed Penalties	13-7
	[b] Additional Civil Liability to the Federal Government	13-7
	[c] Private Damage Lawsuits.	13-8
	[d] Shareholder Derivative Suits	13-9
	[e] Private Securities Fraud Actions	13-9
	[f] ERISA, RICO, and Contractual Claims	13-10
	[g] Miscellaneous Litigation Among Platform Owners, Operators, and Working Interest Holders	13-10
	[h] Summary of Collateral Consequences of the BP Well Blowout	13-11
§ 13.02	Civil Penalties for Criminal Conduct.	13-12.1
	[1] Relationship to Criminal Penalties.	13-12.1
	[a] DOJ Policy on Coordination of Penalties in Parallel Investigations and Proceedings.	13-12.2
	[2] SEC Penalty Standards	13-12.4
	[a] Harm to Shareholders.	13-12.4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xlvii

	[b]	Direct Benefit to Corporation from Offense	13-12.5
	[c]	Whether Penalties Will be Used to Compensate Injuries to Shareholders	13-12.5
	[d]	Need for Deterrence	13-12.5
	[e]	Injuries to Innocent Parties	13-12.5
	[f]	Scope of Participation in the Violation Within the Corporation	13-12.6
	[g]	Replacement of Corporate Personnel Responsible for the Violation	13-12.6
	[h]	Level of Intent Underlying an Offense	13-12.7
	[i]	Difficulty of Offense Detection	13-12.7
	[j]	Remedial Steps Prior to SEC Enforcement Actions	13-12.7
	[k]	Cooperation with Law Enforcement Efforts	13-12.8
	[l]	Additional SEC Penalties for Material Misstatements After Major Corporate Misconduct: Investigations and Sanctions Following the BP Well Blowout	13-12.9
	[m]	SEC Enforcement Actions Responding to Weak Compliance Programs Prior to Offenses	13-12.12
	[n]	SEC Assessment of Compliance Program Quality Indicators	13-12.13
[3]		SEC Whistleblower Incentives	13-12.17
	[a]	Building on Past Whistleblower Revelations	13-12.17
	[b]	Creating Strong Whistleblower Incentives	13-12.18
	[c]	Nature of Information Triggering a Reward	13-12.18
	[d]	Determining Amounts for Payments	13-12.19
	[e]	SEC Discretion Regarding the Amount of Payments	13-12.19
	[f]	Parties Barred from Receiving Bounty Payments	13-12.20
	[g]	Anti-Retaliation Provisions	13-12.20
	[i]	Supreme Court Interprets Whistleblower Protections Narrowly	13-12.21
	[h]	Problems Raised by the Bounty Provisions	13-12.22
	[i]	Emphasis on Rapid External Disclosures	13-12.22

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

		[ii] Undercutting Incentives of Corporate Fact Finders . . .	13-12.24
		[iii] Encouraging Conduct that Enhances Corporate Penalties	13-12.24
		[i] Numbers and Impacts of SEC Whistleblower Reports	13-12.25
		[j] Special Ethical Considerations Regarding Whistleblower Reports by Corporate Attorneys	13-12.29
		[k] SEC Whistleblower Changes in 2020	13-12.32
	[4]	SEC Use of Prosecution Agreements	13-12.34
		[a] SEC Standards for DPAs and NPAs	13-12.34
		[i] Criteria for SEC Leniency in Corporate Cases	13-12.35
		[ii] NPA Standards for SEC Agreements	13-12.36
		[iii] DPA Standards for SEC Agreements	13-12.37
		[b] Examples of SEC NPAs	13-12.37
		[i] Carter’s Inc.	13-12.37
		[c] Examples of SEC DPAs	13-12.39
		[i] Tenaris S.A.	13-12.39
	[5]	CFTC Whistleblower Incentives	13-12.41
		[a] Procedures for Submitting Information and Claims	13-12.42
		[b] Information Required to be Disclosed by a Whistleblower	13-12.43
		[c] Volume of Whistleblower Activity Anticipated by the CFTC	13-12.44
	§ 13.03	Suspension and Debarment	13-12.46
		[1] Business Impacts of Suspension and Debarment	13-12.46
		[a] Characteristics of Suspension and Debarment	13-13
		[b] Restrictions on Contracting Opportunities Due to Suspension and Debarment	13-14
		[c] Additional Consequences of Suspension and Debarment	13-14
		[2] Criminal Conduct as a Basis for Suspension and Debarment	13-15
		[a] Crimes Supporting Suspension and Debarment	13-15
		[b] Relationship of Crimes to Contractor Fitness	13-16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xlix

	[c] Mitigating Responses to Corporate Crimes	13-17
[3]	Standards of Proof Governing Suspension and Debarment Following Criminal Conduct.	13-18
	[a] Suspension	13-18
	[b] Debarment.	13-18
	[c] Judicial Review	13-19
[4]	Suspension and Debarment Under State and Local Standards.	13-20
	[a] Variations in State and Local Standards	13-20
	[b] Impact of ABA’s Model Code	13-20
[5]	Case Studies of Corporate Suspension and Debarment Based on Criminal Activity.	13-21
	[a] Worldcom/MCI.	13-21
	[b] Boeing.	13-22.2
	[c] Arthur Anderson.	13-22.3
	[d] Enron.	13-23
	[e] Other Suspension and Debarment Reviews	13-24
	[i] Sprint	13-24
	[ii] Qwest	13-24.1
	[f] BP	13-24.1
	[i] Past BP Incidents Leading to Suspension	13-24.2
	[ii] EPA Suspension of BP	13-24.3
	[iii] BP Efforts to Avoid and Limit Suspension	13-24.4
	[iv] Potential Impacts on BP	13-24.5
	[v] Resolution of BP’s Debarment and Suspension	13-24.6
	[vi] Distributed Culpability Underlying the Deepwater Horizon Blowout.	13-24.7
§ 13.04	Exclusion from Government Programs	13-25
	[1] Federal “Common Rule”.	13-25
	[2] Grounds for Suspension or Debarment from Nonprocurement Programs.	13-25
	[3] Impact of Suspension or Debarment from Nonprocurement Programs.	13-26
§ 13.05	Loss of Licenses	13-28
§ 13.06	Constitutional Limitations on Civil Penalties for Criminal Misconduct	13-29
	[1] Double Jeopardy	13-29
	[2] Excessive Punishment.	13-30
	[3] Due Process.	13-32
§ 13.07	Coordinating Criminal and Civil Sanctions.	13-35
	[1] Need for Coordination	13-35

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[2]	Coordination Approaches	13-35
	[3]	Federal Sentencing Procedures	13-35
§ 13.08		Civil Damage Liability of Corporations Based on Criminal Conduct	13-38
	[1]	Federal Standards	13-38
	[a]	Civil Damage Claims Expressly Authorized Under Particular Criminal Statutes	13-38
	[b]	Damage Claims for Patterns of Offenses—Civil RICO Liability	13-38
	[c]	Implied Private Rights of Action for Violations of Federal Criminal Laws	13-43
	[2]	State Standards	13-45
	[a]	Private Claims Created Under Criminal Statutes	13-45
	[b]	Civil Damage Recoveries Under State RICO Statutes	13-45
	[c]	Negligence Liability Based on Illegal Conduct	13-47
	[3]	Impacts of Shareholder Suits	13-52
§ 13.09		Civil Damage Liability of Corporate Officers and Directors Based on or Tolerating Illegal Corporate Activities	13-54
	[1]	Civil Liability for Knowingly Causing Illegal Corporate Conduct	13-54
	[2]	Liability of Corporate Officers and Directors for Inadequate Law Compliance Systems	13-55
	[a]	Required Management Attention to Corporate Law Compliance	13-56
	[b]	Managerial Due Diligence Concerning Law Compliance	13-56
	[c]	Director and Officer Liability in Connection with Corporate Compliance Efforts	13-59
	[d]	Special Liability Standards Under Corporate Charters	13-61
	[e]	<i>Caremark</i> : A Practical Example of the Risks	13-63
	[f]	Types of Sustained Inattention to Law Compliance Leading to Officer and Director Liability	13-66
	[g]	Perils of Poor Reactions to Revealed Misconduct	13-69
	[h]	Characteristics of Law Compliance Programs Meeting Directors' and Officers' Duties of Care	13-76

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[i]	Beyond <i>Caremark</i> : Standards for Compliance Due Care in Delaware Corporations	13-76.5
		[i] Lower Court Interpretations of the Stone Standards	13-76.14
		[ii] Assessing Breaches of the Ongoing Duty to Monitor Compliance Systems	13-76.14
		[iii] Assessing Breaches of Duty to React to Evidence of Possible Misconduct.	13-76.17
		[iv] Sufficient Director Actions	13-76.18
	[j]	Understanding What <i>Caremark</i> Demands: Further Guidance	13-76.20
		[i] Components of the Caremark Test	13-76.21
		[ii] Reconciling Enterprise Risk Management and Compliance Management Failures under Caremark.	13-76.25
[3]		Civil Damage Liability of Corporations and Company Officials Based on Inadequate Disclosures of Illegal Corporate Conduct.	13-76.28
[4]		Compliance Management in Context: Lessons from the Corporate Director’s Guidebook.	13-76.29
		[a] Actions of New Directors	13-76.31
		[b] Ongoing Responsibilities	13-76.31
		[c] Compliance Information Systems	13-76.32
		[d] Oversight of Risk Management Systems	13-76.33
		[e] Oversight of Compliance Programs.	13-76.33
		[f] Specific Compliance Program Elements.	13-76.33
		[g] Quality of Information Reviewed by the Board	13-76.34
		[h] Interactions with Legal Counsel	13-76.34
		[i] Responsibilities of Audit Committees	13-76.34
		[j] Impact of the Guidebook’s Standards	13-76.35
§ 13.10		Loss of Civil Claims.	13-77
§ 13.11		Corporate Harm from Adverse Offense Publicity.	13-78
§ 13.12		Criminal Liability of Individuals in Connection With Corporate Offenses	13-81
	[1]	Liability of Individuals for Participation in Corporate Offenses.	13-81

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[2]	Liability of Individuals for Aiding or Concealing Offenses	13-82
		[a] Accomplice Liability	13-82
		[b] Liability of Accessories After the Fact	13-83
		[c] Misprision of a Felony	13-84
		[d] Witness Tampering	13-84
		[e] Obstruction of Justice	13-86
		[f] Perjury and False Statements Offenses	13-88
	[3]	Conspiracy Liability for Offenses by Fellow Corporate Employees	13-90
	[4]	Liability of Controlling Officers with Responsible Shares in Offenses by Subordinates	13-91
		[a] General Standards	13-91
		[b] Identifying Managers with Responsible Shares in Employee Offenses	13-92
		[c] The Objective Impossibility Defense	13-93
		[d] Range of Offenses Subject to Responsible Share Liability	13-94
	[5]	Liability of Corporate Managers for Failures to Report Detected Offenses	13-97
§ 13.13		Regulatory Consequences of Criminal Convictions	13-100
	[1]	Implications of Criminal Liability Due to Violation of a DPA or NPA	13-100
		[a] Potential Exclusion from Regulated Activities	13-100
		[b] Restoring Regulators' Trust	13-101

CHAPTER 14

**Preventing Corporate Criminal Liability
with Law Compliance Programs**

§ 14.01		Liability Reductions Through Law Compliance Programs	14-8
	[1]	Preventing Illegal Activities	14-9
	[2]	Avoiding Corporate Liability for Employee Misconduct	14-10.3
	[3]	Meeting Legal Requirements	14-11
	[3A]	Statutory Requirements for Health Care Compliance Programs	14-13
		[a] Nursing Facilities: Program Requirements and Implementation Timetables	14-14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

liii

	[b] Requirements for Compliance Programs of Other Health Care Providers	14-16
[4]	Influencing Charging Decisions	14-17
	[a] Limitations on Revelations of Prosecutorial Consideration of Compliance and Ethics Programs	14-20
[5]	Reducing Criminal Penalties	14-22
[6]	Minimizing Regulatory Auditing and Oversight	14-25
[7]	Additional Advantages of Law Compliance Programs	14-27
	[a] Reducing Civil Penalties	14-27
	[b] Competitive Gains	14-29
	[c] Satisfying Corporate Governance Obligations	14-31
	[d] Promoting Ethical Values	14-32
	[e] Qualifying for Government Contracts: Compliance Program Standards for Federal Contractors	14-33
	[i] Code of Business Ethics and Conduct	14-35
	[ii] Practices Promoting Compliance with Code	14-35
	[iii] Code Awareness and Compliance Control Systems	14-36
	[iv] Display of Hotline Posters	14-38
	[v] Contractor Self-Reporting of Evidence of Criminal Violations	14-39
	[vi] Implications of the Federal Contracting Standards	14-40
	[vii] Impacts of Contractor Disclosure Rules on Corporate Value	14-40.6
	[viii] Potential Government Uses of Information Submitted by Contractors	14-40.8
	[ix] Opportunities to Assess Broader Management Practices	14-40.10
	[f] Other Potential Impacts of Compliance Programs on Liability	14-40.12
	[g] Operational Advantages of Compliance Programs Beyond Liability and Penalty Reductions	14-40.13
§ 14.02	Evaluating Compliance Programs Under the Federal Sentencing Guideline Standards	14-40.16

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

- [1] Importance of the Guidelines’ Standards
for a Generally Effective Compliance
Program 14-40.16
- [2] General Criteria for an Effective Program 14-40.17
- [3] Due Diligence 14-40.19
- [4] Targeting Principles 14-40.20
 - [a] Organization Size 14-40.20
 - [b] The Nature of the Organization’s
Business 14-40.21
 - [c] History of Offenses or Misconduct
Within the Organization 14-40.21
- [5] Disqualifying Program Characteristics 14-40.22
 - [a] Departures from Industry Practice or
Governmental Regulations 14-40.22
 - [b] Patterns of Misconduct 14-40.22
 - [c] Systematic Failures to Prevent or
Detect Violations 14-40.22
 - [d] Offense Features Indicating
Program Weakness 14-40.23
 - [e] Failures to Self-Report Known
Offenses 14-40.24
- [6] Required Program Components 14-40.24
 - [a] Compliance Standards and
Procedures 14-40.24
 - [b] High-Level Management Leadership
of a Compliance and Ethics
Program 14-40.30
 - [i] Board Responsibilities
Regarding Corporate
Compliance and Compliance
Programs: Lessons
from the NACD Key
Agreed Principles 14-40.32
 - [c] Responsible Authority Delegation 14-43
 - [d] Steps to Communicate Standards
and Procedures 14-45
 - [e] Monitoring, Auditing, and
Evaluation 14-47
 - [f] Discipline, Incentives, and
Enforcement Actions 14-52.1
 - [g] Active Organizational Responses to
Misconduct 14-52.2
- [7] Ongoing Risk Assessments 14-52.3
 - [a] Additional Considerations Regarding
Risk Assessments 14-52.5
 - [i] Goals of Risk Assessments
in Compliance System
Design and Management 14-52.5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

iv

	[ii]	Advantages of Risk Assessments	14-52.5
	[iii]	General Characteristics of Effective Risk Assessments	14-52.5
	[iv]	Specific Features of Effective Risk Assessments	14-52.7
	[v]	Steps in Implementing a Compliance Risk Assessment	14-52.8
	[b]	Criteria for Evaluating Risk Assessments	14-52.9
[7A]		Operationalizing Risk Assessments	14-52.11
	[a]	Characteristics of Compliance Risk Assessments	14-52.12
		[i] Basic Features	14-52.12
		[ii] Targeting the Timing and Depth of Evaluations	14-52.12
	[b]	Goals of Compliance Risk Assessments	14-52.13
		[i] Matching Compliance Risks with Preventive Management	14-52.13
		[ii] Minimizing Sanctions Following Misconduct	14-52.14
		[iii] Outperforming Peers	14-52.14
		[iv] Other Benefits	14-52.15
	[c]	How to Characterize Compliance Risks	14-52.16
		[i] Inherent Risks	14-52.16
		[ii] Firm-Specific Risk Adjustments	14-52.16
		[iii] Business Impacts	14-52.18
	[d]	How to Identify Compliance Risks	14-52.18
		[i] General Principles	14-52.18
		[ii] Risks Dictated by Product Lines and Business Activities	14-52.19
		[iii] Risks Dictated by Geographical Scope of Activities	14-52.20
		[iv] Risks Dictated by Governmental Law Enforcement Priorities	14-52.20
	[e]	Evaluating Compliance Risks Due to Activities of Outside Parties	14-52.20
	[f]	Who Should Assess Compliance Risks	14-52.21

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

- [g] Responding to Compliance Risks in Formulating and Implementing Program Elements 14-52.23
- [h] Evaluating the Completeness of Compliance Risk Assessment 14-52.24
- [i] Elements of Compliance Risk Analysis: Lessons from FBI Techniques 14-52.26
 - [i] Management of the Risk and Response Process 14-52.26
 - [ii] Sources Used for Risk Assessments 14-52.28
 - [iii] Criteria for Prioritizing Risks 14-52.28
 - [iv] Administrative Follow Up to Identified Risks 14-52.29
- [j] Procedural Steps for Risk Assessments 14-52.30
 - [i] Background 14-52.30
 - [ii] Risk Assessment Steps 14-52.31
 - [iii] Advantages of an ERM-Compatible Process 14-52.32
- [8] 2010 Amendments to Compliance Program Standards 14-52.33
 - [a] Opportunities for Sentence Reductions Despite Senior Management Involvement 14-52.33
 - [b] Remedial Steps 14-52.34
 - [c] Preventing Further Offenses 14-52.35
 - [d] Probation Terms 14-52.35
- § 14.03 Evaluating Compliance Programs Under Other Statutory, Regulatory, Judicial, and Industry Standards 14-52.38
 - [1] Statutory Tests 14-52.38
 - [a] Anti-Money Laundering Programs 14-52.38
 - [b] Insider Trading Prevention Systems 14-52.39
 - [2] Regulatory Standards 14-52.39
 - [a] Anti-Money Laundering Systems 14-52.39
 - [i] Policies, Procedures, and Internal Controls 14-52.40
 - [ii] Designated Compliance Officer 14-52.40
 - [iii] Education and Training 14-52.41
 - [iv] Independent Testing of Program Adequacy 14-52.41
 - [v] Compliance Program Elements Aimed at Agents 14-52.42

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lvii

[b]	Health Care Compliance Systems	14-52.42
	[i]	Codes of Conduct 14-54.1
	[ii]	Compliance Officers and Committees 14-54.1
	[iii]	Compliance Policies and Procedures 14-54.2
	[iv]	Open Lines of Communication 14-54.2
	[v]	Appropriate Training and Education 14-54.3
	[vi]	Internal Monitoring and Auditing 14-54.4
	[vii]	Responses to Detected Compliance Deficiencies . . . 14-54.5
	[viii]	Enforcement of Disciplinary Standards 14-54.5
	[ix]	Adequacy of Self-Reporting of Detected Misconduct 14-54.6
	[x]	HHS Standards for Prescription Drug Providers 14-54.7
	[xi]	HHS Criteria for Exclusion from Health Care Programs 14-54.10
[c]	Environmental Compliance Systems	14-54.14
[d]	Securities Law Compliance Systems	14-55
	[i]	SEC Evaluation of Outsourced Compliance Functions 14-57
	[ii]	Evaluating Compliance Programs in Multi-Branch Operations 14-61
[e]	SEC Standards for Codes of Ethics . . .	14-64
[f]	Occupational Health and Safety Systems	14-65
[g]	Munitions Export Control Systems . . .	14-66
[h]	Commodity Futures Trading Commission’s Enforcement Standards	14-68
[i]	Office of Foreign Assets Control Framework for Compliance Commitments	14-70
[j]	Office of Foreign Assets Control Guidelines on Compliance Programs for the Virtual Currency Industry	14-74
[k]	Commerce Department Standards for Export Compliance Systems	14-79

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

[3]	Prosecutorial Discretion Provisions	14-81
	[a] Federal Guidelines for Corporate Prosecution	14-81
	[i] Disclosures Needed to Gain Favorable Treatment	14-86
	[ii] Extensive Consideration of Past Corporate Misconduct in Leniency Decisions	14-91
	[iii] Insights into DOJ Prosecution Analyses for Corporate Criminal Cases	14-96
	[iv] DOJ Reviews of Compensation Structures	14-98.1
	[v] DOJ Reviews of Personal Devices and Applications	14-98.3
	[b] Corporate Amnesty Standards for Antitrust Offenses	14-98.5
	[c] Compliance Program Standards from the DOJ's FCPA Resource Guide	14-98.7
	[d] DOJ Resources for Evaluating Compliance Programs	14-98.10
	[i] Initial Reliance on Compliance Counsel (2015-2018)	14-98.10
	[ii] Shift in DOJ Management Away from Reliance on Compliance Counsel	14-98.13
	[iii] Return to Reliance on Specialized Compliance Expertise	14-98.14
	[e] DOJ Policy on Corporate FCPA Prosecutions and Other Criminal Division Cases	14-98.15
	[f] DOJ Compliance Program Evaluation Criteria	14-98.19
	[g] Standards for Obtaining Cooperation Credit in Federal False Claims Act Cases	14-98.29
	[i] Voluntary Disclosure	14-98.29
	[ii] Other Forms of Cooperation	14-98.30
	[iii] Remedial Measures	14-98.31
	[h] Prosecutorial Guidelines for Corporate Violations of Export Controls and Sanctions Laws	14-98.32
	[i] Prosecutorial Benefits from Antitrust Compliance Programs	14-98.36
	[j] U.S. Attorneys' Offices Voluntary Self-Disclosure Policy	14-98.41

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lix

	[k] DOJ Criminal Division’s Pilot Program Regarding Compensation Incentives and Clawbacks	14-98.44	
[4]	Judicially Developed Criteria	14-99	
	[a] Assessing Good Faith Compliance with Anti-Discrimination Laws	14-99	
[4A]	Compliance Program Evaluation Criteria Used by Court-Appointed Monitors	14-105	
	[a] Evaluation Criteria from Apple Monitor’s Reports	14-105	
[5]	Industry Standards.	14-110	
	[a] New York Stock Exchange Standards for Corporate Conduct Codes	14-110	
	[b] Health Care Compliance Association Standards	14-110	
	[c] OCEG Criteria for Diagnosing Compliance and Ethics Program Problems	14-114	
	[d] Interpreting Results of Compliance and Ethics Program Performance Measurements	14-123	
[6]	Privately Developed Standards.	14-125	
	[a] OCEG Foundation Guidelines	14-125	
	[b] ECI Panel Report on Principles and Practices of High-Quality Ethics and Compliance Programs.	14-128	
	[c] OIG-HCCA Compliance Program Resource Guide	14-132	
	[d] Society of Corporate Compliance and Ethics Small Business Compliance Program.	14-136	
[7]	International Standards	14-136	
	[a] OECD Guidance on Compliance Program Elements	14-136	
		[i] Purposes of the Guidelines	14-137
		[ii] Program Features Recommended by OECD	14-140
		[iii] General Lessons from the OECD Guidelines	14-142
	[b] Compliance Program Guidance from the Canadian Competition Bureau	14-142	
		[i] Aims of a Successful Program.	14-143
		[ii] Benefits of a Successful Compliance Program	14-143

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[iii] Major Requirements for a Credible and Effective Compliance Program	14-144
[c]	Compliance Program Templates from the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission	14-147
[d]	Competition Commission of India Recommendations on Compliance Programs	14-148
[e]	Israel Antitrust Authority Model Compliance Program	14-148
[f]	Compliance Program Criteria from the Ministry of Justice of the United Kingdom	14-149
[g]	Compliance Program Guidance from the Office of Fair Trading of the United Kingdom	14-151
[h]	UK Guidance on Compliance Programs in DPA Code of Practice	14-152
[i]	French Anti-Corruption Legislation. . .	14-153
[j]	Brazilian Ministry of Justice Guidelines for Competition Programs	14-157
[k]	Canadian Competition Bureau Bulletin on Compliance Programs	14-158
[l]	UK Serious Fraud Office’s Corporate Cooperation Guidance.	14-158
[m]	UK Serious Fraud Office’s Compliance Program Evaluation Criteria	14-159
[n]	Brazilian Office of the Comptroller General Guidelines for Integrity Programs	14-161
[o]	Colombian Transnational Corruption Act Compliance Guidelines.	14-162
[p]	Mexican Criteria for Anti-Corruption Programs	14-163
[q]	Peruvian Standards for Anti-Bribery Compliance Programs	14-163
[r]	Argentine Criteria for Anti-Corruption Programs	14-164
[8]	State Standards	14-165
	[a] State Standards for Compliance and Ethics Programs in Health Care Providers	14-165
	[i] Connecticut	14-165
	[ii] New York.	14-166

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lxi

[b]	Regulators' Criteria for Evaluating Programs	14-169
[c]	Whistleblower Program Standards from the New York State Department of Financial Services. . .	14-172
[9]	Additional International Standards for Corporate Compliance and Ethics Programs	14-174
[a]	Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation Anti-Corruption Code	14-174
[b]	International Chamber of Commerce Rules on Combating Corruption	14-175
[c]	Transparency International's Business Principles for Countering Bribery	14-177
[d]	United Nations Global Compact's Ten Principles	14-178
[e]	World Bank Group's Integrity Compliance Guidelines	14-179
[f]	World Economic Forum's Principles for Countering Bribery	14-181
[g]	ISO 37001 Anti-Bribery System Standards	14-181
[h]	ICC Antitrust Compliance Toolkit . . .	14-184
[i]	Latin Lawyer Guide to Corporate Compliance	14-185
[j]	APEC Guidebook on Monitoring and Supervising Effective Compliance Programs	14-185

CHAPTER 15

Constructing and Operating Compliance Programs

§ 15.01	Design Principles Governing Compliance Programs	15-6
[1]	Treating Compliance Programs as Specialized Performance Quality Control Systems	15-6
[2]	The Importance of Agency Process in Law Compliance Programs	15-10
[3]	Potential Sources of Compliance System Designs	15-10.1
[4]	Goals of Successful Compliance Program Designs	15-10.1
[a]	Interpreting and Evaluating Compliance Practices from Impacts, Not Efforts	15-10.3

(Rel. 38)

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[b] Indicators of Organizational Cultures Promoting Misconduct . . .	15-10.4
[5]	Reacting to Compliance “Hot Spots”: Compliance and Ethics Programs as Solutions to Complex Corporate Constraints	15-10.6
[6]	Revised COSO Internal Control Framework: Implications for Compliance Programs	15-10.8
	[a] Features of the COSO Framework	15-10.8
	[b] Management Advantages of Applying the Framework.	15-10.11
	[c] Applying the Framework to Compliance Programs	15-10.11
	[d] COSO Fraud Risk Management Guide	15-10.13
[7]	Selecting Indicators as Compliance Metrics	15-10.14
[8]	Data Analytics in Compliance Programs	15-10.16
	[a] General Features of Compliance Analytics	15-10.16
	[b] Examples of Compliance Analytics Techniques	15-10.17
	[i] Frameworks for Misconduct Detection	15-10.17
	[ii] Data Mining	15-10.18
	[iii] Predictive Analytics	15-10.19
	[c] Government Use of Data Analytics to Detect Misconduct	15-10.20
	[i] Fraud Detection	15-10.21
	[ii] Antitrust Enforcement	15-10.23
	[iii] Healthcare Claims Monitoring.	15-10.23
	[iv] Environmental Offense Detection	15-10.24
	[v] Securities Offense Monitoring.	15-10.25
	[d] Private Use of Data Analytics in Compliance Programs	15-10.25
	[i] Examples of Compliance Analytics Applications	15-10.26
	[ii] Steps for Expanding Use of Compliance Analytics.	15-10.28
	[iii] Potential Problems with Compliance Metrics	15-10.29
	[iv] Testing Compliance Metrics	15-10.30
[9]	Interpreting Compliance Effectiveness as a Changing Operational Target	15-10.31

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lxiii

	[10] Constructing Compliance Programs to Produce Behavioral Results	15-10.33
	[11] Constructing Compliance Programs to Ensure Individual Accountability for Organizational Law Compliance	15-10.36
§ 15.02	Operational Features of Effective Law Compliance Programs	15-11
	[1] Interpreting Compliance Programs as Management Systems	15-11
	[a] Indicators of a Strong Compliance and Ethics Program	15-12
	[b] Special Challenges in Managing Compliance Systems	15-13
	[2] Organizational Practices Furthering Law Compliance—A General Framework of Alternatives	15-14
	[a] Directing Employees Regarding Steps Needed for Law Compliance	15-14.1
	[b] Ensuring That Legal Issues Are Addressed	15-15
	[c] Planning for Law Compliance Activities	15-20
	[d] Control Processes for Ensuring Law Compliance	15-23
	[e] Personnel Practices Promoting Law Compliance	15-29
	[i] Labor Law Limits on Compliance Program Discipline	15-29
	[f] Measuring the Effectiveness of a Law Compliance Program	15-33
	[g] Positions of Compliance and Ethics Officers in Corporate Management Structures	15-34
	[i] Reporting	15-35
	[ii] Shared Duties	15-35
	[h] Questions for Employees in Compliance Program Assessments	15-35
	[i] Interpreting Corporate Duties Regarding Compliance Programs	15-37
	[j] Recommendations from HHS Workshop on Compliance Programs in Pharmaceutical Companies	15-40
	[i] Board Oversight	15-41
	[ii] Integrating Programs with Business Activities	15-41

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[k]	Defining the Compliance Leadership Role of Chief Compliance and Ethics Officers	15-42
	[3]	Trends in Compliance and Ethics Program Implementation	15-43
	[a]	Compliance and Ethics Program Investments Increased Following a Crisis	15-44
	[b]	Companies Suffering Reputational Damage Spent Heavily on Compliance and Ethics Program Activities	15-44
	[c]	Rules Versus Values	15-45
	[d]	Proactive Versus Reactive	15-45
	[e]	Setting Program Objectives	15-46
	[4]	Whistleblower Protections Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	15-46
	[a]	Criminal Whistleblower Protections	15-46
	[b]	Civil Whistleblower Protections	15-47
		[i] Persons Protected	15-47
		[ii] Protections Afforded	15-48
		[iii] Remedies for Retaliation	15-48
		[iv] Procedures for Pursuing a Complaint	15-49
	[c]	Developing Issues Regarding Whistleblower Protections	15-52
		[i] Application to Overseas Employees	15-52
		[ii] Relationship Between Fraud and Financial Reporting	15-52
		[iii] Subjective Belief in Illegality	15-53
		[iv] Materiality of the Reported Misconduct	15-53
		[v] Whistleblower Protections for Persons Only Reporting Misconduct Within Companies	15-54
	[d]	Significant Growth of SEC Whistleblower Program	15-56
§ 15.03		Targeting of Law Compliance Programs: The Importance of Risk Assessment	15-59
	[1]	Components of a Risk-Oriented Approach to Compliance Program Administration	15-60
§ 15.04		Commonly Encountered Problems in Compliance Programs	15-63
	[1]	Balancing Retrospective Compliance Inspections and Forward Looking Compliance Processes	15-63

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lxv

	[2] Program Administration Problems	15-64
	[3] Problems in Planning Compliance Efforts.	15-66
	[4] Agendas for Legal Auditing	15-66.1
	[a] Bank Auditing Standards as Templates for Legal Audits	15-66.2
	[5] Compliance Records	15-66.5
	[6] Change Management.	15-67
	[7] System Verification Studies	15-67
	[8] Lessons from Boeing Company Compliance Failures.	15-68
	[a] Boeing’s Background of Compliance System Failures	15-69
	[b] Events Leading to Boeing’s 2006 Consent Agreement	15-71
	[c] Key Compliance System Requirements Under the Consent Agreement	15-71
	[i] New Compliance Program Leader	15-72
	[ii] Outside Special Compliance Official.	15-73
	[iii] Enhanced Compliance Activities	15-74
	[iv] Outside Legal Audits.	15-74
	[d] Unannounced Onsite Audits by Department Officials	15-75
	[9] Program Auditing Techniques and Criteria: Lessons from the FBI.	15-75
	[a] Auditing Goals	15-76
	[b] Fact-Finding Methods	15-76
	[c] Findings.	15-78
	[i] Efforts Implemented	15-79
	[ii] Risk Reduction Results Achieved	15-79
	[iii] Program Weaknesses	15-80
	[d] Experience with the Compliance Program at the FBI	15-81
	[10] Common Compliance Program Problems to Avoid	15-83
	[11] Repeated Misconduct as an Indicator of Ongoing Problems—Lessons from BP Well Blowout Incident.	15-84
	[12] Corporate Experience with Compliance Metrics	15-88
	[13] Critiquing a Compliance Program Design.	15-88.1
	[14] The “Compliance Trap” of Efforts Without Tested Results	15-88.3
§ 15.05	A Model Compliance Program.	15-89
§ 15.06	[Reserved]	15-91
§ 15.07	Risks Inherent in Law Compliance Programs.	15-92

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

[1]	Disclosure Risks	15-92
	[a] Sources of Disclosures	15-92
	[b] Limited Applicability of the Attorney-Client Privilege.	15-94
	[c] Narrow Protections of the Attorney Work Product Doctrine	15-101
	[d] Uncertain Scope of Self-Evaluative Privilege Under Federal Law	15-102.3
	[e] State Statutes Recognizing Self-Evaluation Privileges	15-106
	[f] EPA Resistance to Recognition of a Self-Evaluation Privilege	15-109
	[g] Practical Problems in Retaining the Confidentiality of Compliance Information.	15-110
	[i] Define and Clarify the Purposes of Fact Finding	15-111
	[ii] Avoid Reuse of Regular Auditors for Compliance Assessments	15-112
	[iii] Failures to React to Apparent Problem Create New Problems.	15-113
	[iv] Communications to and from Counsel Must Clearly Relate to Legal Advice	15-114
	[v] Importance of Care in Targeting Audit Reports and Other Compliance Evaluations	15-115
	[vi] Affirmative Use of Compliance Evaluations May Waive Protections	15-116
	[vii] Government Officials May Press for Waiver of Protections	15-117
[2]	Damage from Defective Compliance Efforts	15-117
[3]	Harm from Incomplete Responses to Detected Misconduct	15-118
[4]	Inadequate Compliance Efforts Creating New Grounds for Liability.	15-118
[5]	Possible Overreporting of Offenses	15-119
[6]	Tort Claims Based on Inaccurate Statements.	15-119
[7]	Waste in Operating Compliance Programs	15-119

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lxvii

[8]	Promoting Undue Risk Aversion Among Managers	15-120
[9]	Increasing Employees' Mistrust of Corporate Managers	15-120
[10]	Potential Unprofessional Conduct by Counsel	15-121
	[a] Dual Representation Problems	15-121
	[b] Obligations Not to Mislead Public Officials	15-123
	[i] Duty to Avoid Making Affirmatively Misleading Statements	15-125
	[ii] Duty to Avoid Incomplete Disclosures	15-126
	[c] Obligations to Discontinue Representation	15-129
	[d] Abusing Counsel's Roles in Compliance and Ethics Programs	15-130
	[i] Activities of Counsel Outside Privilege and Work Product Protections	15-131
	[ii] Potential Misconduct by Counsel	15-132
[11]	Potential Impacts of Corporate Investigations in Coercing Individual Testimony in Violation of Personal Rights	15-133

CHAPTER 16

Reducing Corporate Criminal Liability Through Post-Offense Responses

§ 16.01	The Importance of Proper Post-Offense Responses to Illegal Corporate Conduct	16-3
	[1] Evaluating the Seriousness of Compliance Program Failures	16-4
	[a] Indicia of Serious Compliance Program Failures	16-4.1
	[i] Gaps in Program Construction	16-4.1
	[ii] Failures to Follow Up on Past Errors	16-4.1
	[b] Other Indicators of Serious Program Failures	16-4.2
§ 16.02	Types of Post-Offense Responses Affecting Corporate Criminal Liability	16-4.4
	[1] Required Responses	16-4.4

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[a]	Response Requirements Under Narrowly Targeted Statutes	16-4.4
	[b]	Required Responses to Concealed Hazards	16-4.4
[2]		Responses Avoiding or Limiting Corporate Criminal Liability for the Illegal Acts of Employees	16-5
	[a]	Avoiding Corporate Criminal Liability Through Diligent Responses to Employee Offenses	16-5
	[b]	Reducing Corporate Criminal Sentences through Post-Offense Responses	16-6
	[i]	Responses as Part of Law Compliance Programs	16-7
	[ii]	Responses Outside of Law Compliance Programs	16-8
[3]		Responses Expanding Corporate Criminal Liability	16-8
	[a]	Responses Enhancing Penalties for Present Offenses	16-8
	[i]	Condoning or Tolerating an Offense	16-9
	[ii]	Obstruction of Justice	16-10
	[b]	Responses Constituting Additional Offenses	16-10
	[c]	Responses Increasing Liability for Subsequent Offenses	16-11
	[i]	Responses Establishing Willful Misconduct Regarding Subsequent Activities	16-11
	[ii]	Responses Creating Patterns of Offenses	16-11
[4]		Responses Influencing Charging Decisions Under the DOJ’s Prosecutorial Standards for Corporations	16-12
	[a]	Impacts of Investigations, Disclosures and Remedial Actions	16-12
	[b]	Privilege Waivers and Sufficient Information Disclosures	16-14
	[c]	Problems in Applying Prosecutorial Discretion Standards	16-15
[5]		Responses Influencing Charging Decisions Under Other Governmental Standards	16-19
	[a]	Amnesty for Antitrust Offenses	16-19
	[i]	Standards for Granting Amnesty	16-19

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[ii]	Limits on the Protective Impact of Amnesty	16-21
	[iii]	Amnesty in Action: Some Examples	16-22
	[b]	Offenses by Defense Contractors	16-27
	[c]	Environmental Offenses	16-28
	[i]	Federal Prosecutorial Standards	16-28
	[ii]	EPA’s Criminal Referral Policy	16-28.1
	[d]	Securities Offenses	16-28.3
	[e]	Cooperation Standards Under CFTC Self-Reporting Policy	16-28.3
	[f]	Prompt Disclosures Encouraged Under DOJ Criminal Division Corporate Enforcement and Voluntary Self-Disclosure Policy	16-28.6
§ 16.03		Collateral Consequences of Post-Offense Responses	16-29
	[1]	Civil Liability	16-29
	[2]	Debarment from Government Contracting	16-31
	[3]	Impaired Corporate Reputations	16-33
§ 16.04		Crisis Management Techniques for Developing and Implementing Post-Offense Responses	16-34
	[1]	Considerations in Responding to Corporate Liability Crises	16-36.1
§ 16.05		Strategies for Managing Post-Offense Responses	16-37
	[1]	Actions Before an Offense	16-37
	[a]	Assessing Offense Threats	16-37
	[b]	Selecting an Offense Response Team	16-38
	[c]	Identifying Resources	16-39
	[d]	Establishing Post-Offense Response Policies	16-40
	[e]	Defining Contingency Plans	16-41
	[f]	Testing Contingency Plans	16-42
	[2]	Actions Comprising the Immediate Reaction to an Offense	16-43
	[a]	Conducting Internal Investigations of Detected Misconduct	16-43
	[b]	Harnessing Available Resources	16-45
	[c]	Defining and Controlling Offense Responses	16-45
	[d]	Overseeing Public Disclosures	16-47
	[3]	Actions After an Offense	16-48
	[a]	Monitoring and Evaluating Offense Responses	16-48

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY

	[b] Improving Corporate Operating Procedures Following an Offense	16-48
	[c] Crafting Enhanced Compliance Programs in Post-Offense Responses: Guidance from the BP Oil Well Blowout and Plea Agreement	16-48.1
	[i] Improved Risk Management	16-48.1
	[ii] Support for Technology Improvements	16-48.2
	[iii] Enhanced Public Transparency	16-48.3
	[iv] Agreement Adherence Auditor	16-48.3
§ 16.06	Self-Reporting Decisions	16-48.4
	[1] Disclosures Necessary to Obtain Sentence Reductions	16-48.4
	[a] Sufficient Disclosures Under Other Governmental Standards	16-48.4
	[b] Examples of Past Disclosures Gaining Favorable Results	16-52
	[2] Considerations in Deciding Whether to Disclose Detected Misconduct	16-54

CHAPTER 17**The Future of Corporate Criminal Law**

§ 17.01	Expanded Emphasis on Organizational Culpability	17-1
§ 17.02	Clarifying Standards for Organizational Culpability	17-7
§ 17.03	Strengthening Prosecutors' Commitments to Rewards for Corporate Self-Policing	17-9
§ 17.04	Developing Operating Paradigms for Law Compliance Systems	17-13
	[1] New Compliance Practices Based on Proven Control Processes	17-13
	[2] Reconceiving Law Compliance as Performance Quality Control	17-14
§ 17.05	Creating a New Jurisprudence for an Organizational Age	17-16
INDEX	I-1