

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments. . . . .	v
Foreword . . . . .	ix
Introduction. . . . .	xi

## Volume One

### CHAPTER 1

#### Selected Disclosure Obligations

§ 1.01	Introduction . . . . .	1-2
§ 1.02	Qualitative Disclosure—Generally . . . . .	1-3
§ 1.03	Self-Dealing by Corporate Directors and Officers. . . . .	1-15
	[1] Commission Disclosure Items and Affirmative Misrepresentations . . . . .	1-15
	[2] Disclosure Not Based on Line Items . . . . .	1-18
§ 1.04	The “True Purpose” Cases . . . . .	1-25
	[1] Rationale . . . . .	1-25
	[2] Application . . . . .	1-25
	[3] Limited Exceptions. . . . .	1-28
	[4] Viability of Limited Exceptions . . . . .	1-29
	[5] Disclosure of “Effect” . . . . .	1-31
§ 1.05	Questionable and Illegal Payments . . . . .	1-35
§ 1.06	Antisocial, Unlawful or Unethical Company Policies . . . . .	1-43
§ 1.07	Adjudicated Illegal Activities by Officers and Directors . . . . .	1-51
§ 1.08	Pending Lawsuits Against Officers and Directors . . . . .	1-56
§ 1.09	Business Expertise and Reputation of Officers and Directors. . . . .	1-61
§ 1.10	A Proposed Framework for Disclosure of Qualitatively Material Information. . . . .	1-65

§ 1.11	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure: The Ramifications of Staff Accounting Bulletin 99 (“SAB 99”), <i>Ganino</i> , and Their Progeny . . . . .	1-70
[1]	Issues Regarding Determinations of Materiality . . . . .	1-70
[2]	SEC Staff’s Definition of Materiality . . . . .	1-72
[3]	Examples of Factors Specified by SAB 99 . . . . .	1-73
[4]	The Manner of Analysis—Individual and Aggregate . . . . .	1-74
[5]	The Application of SAB 99— The <i>Ganino</i> Case . . . . .	1-75
[6]	A Continued Lack of Agreement as to Standards . . . . .	1-78
[7]	Effects of SAB 99 . . . . .	1-79
	[a] Impact on Scierter Requirement . . . . .	1-79
	[b] Effect on Narrative Disclosure . . . . .	1-80
[8]	Potential Negative Effects of SAB 99 . . . . .	1-81
[9]	The Emergence of a New Era . . . . .	1-82
§ 1.12	Conclusion . . . . .	1-83

## CHAPTER 2

### Issuer Affirmative and Timely Disclosure Obligations

§ 2.01	Introduction . . . . .	2-2
§ 2.02	Duty to Update . . . . .	2-8.1
§ 2.03	Affirmative Duty to Disclose . . . . .	2-14
[1]	Generally . . . . .	2-14
[2]	Rumors . . . . .	2-22
[3]	Duty to Correct . . . . .	2-25
[4]	Duty to Disclose “Soft” Information . . . . .	2-29
	[a] Disclosure of “Soft” Information Generally . . . . .	2-29
	[b] The “Bespeaks Caution” Doctrine . . . . .	2-35
	[c] Private Securities Litigation Reform Act . . . . .	2-39
	[d] Application to Projections . . . . .	2-44
[5]	Duty to Disclose Merger Negotiations . . . . .	2-52.2
	[a] Disclosure of Merger Negotiations Generally . . . . .	2-52.2
	[b] Materiality of Merger Negotiations . . . . .	2-52.4

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xv

	[c] Affirmative Duty to Disclose Merger Negotiations . . . . .	2-56
	[6] Duty to Disclose “Bad News” . . . . .	2-58
	[7] Summation . . . . .	2-64
§ 2.04	SEC Statements and Rules . . . . .	2-66
§ 2.05	SRO Rules . . . . .	2-70.1
§ 2.06	Regulation FD . . . . .	2-73
	[1] The Perceived Problem of Selective Disclosure . . . . .	2-73
	[2] The Scope of Regulation FD . . . . .	2-78
	[3] Regulation FD’s Policy Implications . . . . .	2-81
	[a] Duty to Disclose Versus Parity of Information . . . . .	2-81
	[b] The Relationship Between Disclosure and Market Efficiency . . . . .	2-84
	[c] Balancing Notions of Fairness and Investor Confidence with Other Goals . . . . .	2-88
	[4] Selective Issues Arising Under Regulation FD . . . . .	2-91
	[a] Materiality and the Intentional/ Non-Intentional Distinction . . . . .	2-91
	[i] Meaning of “Material” and “Nonpublic” . . . . .	2-91
	[ii] Intentional and Non-Intentional Selective Disclosure . . . . .	2-93
	[b] Materiality Determinations . . . . .	2-95
	[c] Distinguishing Between Intentional and Non-Intentional Disclosure . . . . .	2-99
	[5] Implementing Regulation FD . . . . .	2-106
	[6] Relationship Between Regulation FD and Section 10(b) . . . . .	2-107
	[7] Potential Significance of Regulation FD . . . . .	2-117
§ 2.07	Conclusion . . . . .	2-121

## CHAPTER 3

### Insider Trading—The Duty Theory and Related Developments

§ 3.01	Introduction . . . . .	3-2
§ 3.02	The Concept of Materiality . . . . .	3-4
	[1] The Definition of Materiality . . . . .	3-4

	[2] Factors Used to Determine Materiality . . . .	3-5
	[3] The Probability/Magnitude Test— The Standard of Materiality With Respect to Uncertain Future Events . . . .	3-8
§ 3.03	Determining When Information Becomes Public . . . . .	3-14
§ 3.04	A Look at <i>Chiarella</i> , <i>Dirks</i> , and Their Progeny . . . . .	3-19
	[1] The Decisions . . . . .	3-19
	[2] Criticism of the Court’s Approach . . . . .	3-25
§ 3.05	Duty Owed by Whom? . . . . .	3-32
§ 3.06	The Misappropriation Theory . . . . .	3-37
	[1] The “Deception” Requirement . . . . .	3-39
	[2] The “In Connection With” Requirement . . . . .	3-40
	[3] Rule 10b5-2: Family and Other Personal Relationships . . . . .	3-42
	[4] Misappropriating Tippers and the Personal Benefit Test . . . . .	3-42.2
	[5] The Test Applied to Tippees of Misappropriators . . . . .	3-43
	[6] Limitations to the Misappropriation Theory . . . . .	3-46
	[7] Possession vs. Use and SEC Rule 10b5-1 . . . . .	3-53
	[8] Summation: Two Illustrations . . . . .	3-58
	[9] Conclusion . . . . .	3-60
§ 3.07	Damages and SEC Disgorgement . . . . .	3-61
	[1] Damages . . . . .	3-61
	[2] SEC Disgorgement . . . . .	3-66
	[3] Congressional Legislation . . . . .	3-68
§ 3.08	SEC Rule 14e-3 . . . . .	3-75
§ 3.09	Conclusion . . . . .	3-84

## CHAPTER 4

### “Insider Trading” Under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act

§ 4.01	Overview . . . . .	4-2
	[1] Reporting Requirements . . . . .	4-8
	[2] Statute of Limitations . . . . .	4-13
	[3] Standing to Sue . . . . .	4-20
	[a] Introduction . . . . .	4-20

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xvii

	[b] <i>Gollust v. Mendell</i> . . . . .	4-21
	[c] Implications of <i>Gollust</i> . . . . .	4-22
	[i] Types of Transactions. . . . .	4-22
	[ii] Analysis. . . . .	4-26
	[d] Conclusion . . . . .	4-35
	[4] Section 16(a) Right of Action . . . . .	4-36
§ 4.02	Beneficial Ownership and the Concept of Attribution . . . . .	4-39
	[1] SEC Amendments . . . . .	4-39
	[2] Case Law . . . . .	4-41
	[3] Policy Analysis. . . . .	4-49
§ 4.03	Directors . . . . .	4-52
§ 4.04	Officers . . . . .	4-52.3
§ 4.05	Period and Coverage of Liability. . . . .	4-60
	[1] Ten Percent Beneficial Owner. . . . .	4-60
	[a] Initial Purchase by Ten Percent Beneficial Owner. . . . .	4-60
	[b] Two-Stage Transaction . . . . .	4-62
	[c] The ALI Securities Code. . . . .	4-65
	[2] Directors and Officers . . . . .	4-66
	[a] Introduction . . . . .	4-66
	[b] 1991 Rule Changes and the SEC's Shift in Policy . . . . .	4-67
	[c] Case Law . . . . .	4-68
	[d] Policy Considerations . . . . .	4-70
	[i] Rule 16a-2(a)'s Inconsistency with Congressional Policy Underlying Section 16(b). . . . .	4-72
	[ii] Rule 16a-2(a)'s Inconsistency with Other Positions Adopted by the SEC. . . . .	4-73
	[e] Summation . . . . .	4-74
§ 4.06	Purchase and Sale . . . . .	4-75
	[1] The Objective Approach . . . . .	4-75
	[2] The Pragmatic Approach . . . . .	4-77
	[3] The SEC's Treatment of Derivative Securities . . . . .	4-84
	[a] 1991 Regulatory Scheme. . . . .	4-86
	[b] Implications of the New Regulatory Scheme. . . . .	4-87
	[c] The Regulatory Scheme's Conflict With Judicial Precedent . . . . .	4-89
§ 4.07	Employee Benefit Plans . . . . .	4-93
	[1] Rule 16b-3(f) Discretionary Transactions . . . . .	4-94

	[2] Rule 16b-3(c) Exemption for Transactions Pursuant to Tax-Conditioned Plans . . . .	4-95
	[3] Rule 16b-3(d) Exemption for Grants, Awards, and Other Acquisitions from the Issuer . . . . .	4-96
	[4] Rule 16b-3(e) Dispositions to the Issuer . . .	4-97
§ 4.08	Blackout Periods Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act . . . . .	4-99
§ 4.09	Conclusion . . . . .	4-100

## CHAPTER 4A

### The Supreme Court and the Definition of “Security”

§ 4A.01	Introduction . . . . .	4A-1
§ 4A.02	The Meaning of “Security” . . . . .	4A-6
	[1] The Court’s Analytical Framework . . . . .	4A-6
	[2] <i>Marine Bank v. Weaver</i> . . . . .	4A-22
	[3] <i>Reves v. Ernst &amp; Young</i> . . . . .	4A-27
	[a] The “Family Resemblance” Test . . . .	4A-28
	[b] Issues Raised by <i>Reves</i> . . . . .	4A-31
	[c] Uncertainty for the Corporate Planner . . . . .	4A-40
	[4] The Risk Capital Test . . . . .	4A-40
§ 4A.03	“Context” Analysis and Its Ramifications . . . . .	4A-43
	[1] The “Context” Clause . . . . .	4A-43
	[2] The CD Morass and Its Ramifications . . . .	4A-50
§ 4A.04	Investment Contract Analysis . . . . .	4A-58
	[1] The Meaning of “Investment Contract” . . .	4A-58
	[2] <i>Howey</i> ’s Continued Vitality . . . . .	4A-63
§ 4A.05	An Examination of Congressional Intent . . . . .	4A-67

## CHAPTER 5

### SEC Integration, the Distribution Process and Related Issues

§ 5.01	Introduction . . . . .	5-2
§ 5.02	<i>Manor Nursing</i> and Related Issues . . . . .	5-3
§ 5.03	Selected SEC Limited Offering Rules . . . . .	5-9
	[1] Regulation D . . . . .	5-11
	[a] Rule 506 . . . . .	5-12
	[b] Rule 505 . . . . .	5-21
	[c] Rule 504 . . . . .	5-23

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xix

	[d] Substantial Compliance Standard . . . .	5-26
	[e] State Reaction to SEC Regulation D . . .	5-27
	[f] The National Securities Markets Improvement Act (NSMIA) . . . . .	5-32
	[g] State Merit Regulation and Criminal Enforcement . . . . .	5-34
	[2] Regulation A . . . . .	5-39
	[3] Ban on General Solicitation . . . . .	5-40
§ 5.04	SEC Integration . . . . .	5-47
	[1] Generally . . . . .	5-47
	[2] Effect on Section 18(a) Liability . . . . .	5-47
	[3] Director Signature Requirement for Form 10-K . . . . .	5-50
	[4] Due Diligence . . . . .	5-53
	[5] Shelf Registration . . . . .	5-59
§ 5.05	CEO and CFO Certifications . . . . .	5-61
§ 5.06	Conclusion . . . . .	5-67

## CHAPTER 6

### Selected Remedies and Liabilities Under the Securities Act of 1933

§ 6.01	Introduction . . . . .	6-2
§ 6.02	Section 11—Overview . . . . .	6-3
	[1] Reliance Not Generally Required Under Section 11 . . . . .	6-10.1
	[a] An Emerging Exception . . . . .	6-10.2
	[b] APA Excelsior III . . . . .	6-11
	[c] Decisions Following APA Excelsior III . . . . .	6-15
	[d] A Critique of Reliance Under Section 11 . . . . .	6-17
	[2] Tracing Under Section 11 . . . . .	6-24
	[a] The Fifth Circuit’s Approach— Statistical Tracing . . . . .	6-26
	[b] District Court’s—Statistical Tracing . . .	6-27
	[c] Statistical Evidence Should Be Sufficient to Prove Tracing . . . . .	6-29
	[3] Heightened Pleading Requirements for Section 11 Claims That “Sound in Fraud” . . . . .	6-36
	[a] Background . . . . .	6-36
	[b] Overview of Case Law . . . . .	6-37

## SECURITIES REGULATION

	[4]	Applicable Statute of Limitations When a Section 11 Claim “Sounds in Fraud” . . .	6-41
	[a]	Prevailing Case Law . . . . .	6-42
	[b]	Critique of Applicable Statute of Limitations . . . . .	6-46
	[i]	Tuning in to SOX: Is Proof of Fraud Required? . . . . .	6-46
	[ii]	Legislative History . . . . .	6-48
	[iii]	Statutory Interpretation . . . . .	6-52
	[iv]	Applying Section 804 of SOX to Section 11 Claims That “Sound in Fraud” . . . . .	6-53
	[5]	Statements of Opinion or Belief . . . . .	6-54
	[6]	Summation . . . . .	6-56.2
§ 6.03		Section 12(a)(1)—Overview . . . . .	6-57
§ 6.04		Section 12(a)(2). . . . .	6-59
	[1]	Limited to “Public” Offerings . . . . .	6-60
	[2]	Persons Liable . . . . .	6-63
	[3]	Defense of “Reasonable Care” . . . . .	6-69
	[4]	Availability of Contribution and Indemnification. . . . .	6-72
§ 6.05		Section 17(a). . . . .	6-74
	[1]	<i>Naftalin</i> —An Overview . . . . .	6-75
	[a]	Protection of Section 17(a)(1) Extends Beyond Actual Purchasers and Investors. . . . .	6-77
	[b]	Effect of Difference Between the “in” Language of Section 17(a) and the “in Connection with” Language of Section 10(b). . . . .	6-80
	[c]	Application of Section 17(a) to Aftermarket Trading Frauds . . . . .	6-81
	[2]	Private Right of Action . . . . .	6-82
	[3]	Offeree Standing. . . . .	6-87
	[4]	Government Enforcement . . . . .	6-88
§ 6.06		Conclusion. . . . .	6-90

## CHAPTER 7

### Selected Developments in Section 10(b) Private Litigation

§ 7.01	Overview . . . . .	7-3
§ 7.02	State of Mind and Pleading Requirements . . . . .	7-17
	[1] The Scierter Requirement . . . . .	7-17



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxi

	[2] Reckless Conduct . . . . .	7-19
	[3] Pleading Requirements . . . . .	7-21
§ 7.03	Plaintiff's Due Diligence . . . . .	7-27
§ 7.04	Standard of Proof . . . . .	7-34
§ 7.05	Reliance . . . . .	7-35
§ 7.06	Damages . . . . .	7-52
§ 7.07	<i>In Pari Delicto</i> Defense . . . . .	7-57
	[1] Cases Prior to <i>Bateman Eichler</i> . . . . .	7-57
	[2] <i>Bateman Eichler</i> . . . . .	7-58
	[3] <i>Pinter v. Dahl</i> . . . . .	7-60
	[4] Cases After <i>Bateman Eichler</i> . . . . .	7-60
§ 7.08	Statute of Limitations . . . . .	7-63
	[1] The Sarbanes-Oxley Act . . . . .	7-63
	[2] Case Law Prior to the <i>Lampf</i> Decision. . . . .	7-66
	[3] The <i>Lampf</i> Decision. . . . .	7-68
	[a] Unavailability of Equitable Tolling . . . . .	7-71
	[b] Retroactive Application. . . . .	7-72
	[4] State Law Ramifications . . . . .	7-73
§ 7.09	Contribution and Proportionate Liability . . . . .	7-74
	[1] Introduction. . . . .	7-74
	[2] Title II of the Litigation Reform Act (PSLRA). . . . .	7-76
	[3] Allocation of Liability under PSLRA . . . . .	7-77
	[a] Limitations on Joint and Several Liability . . . . .	7-77
	[b] Limitations on Joint and Several Liability as to "Covered" Persons. . . . .	7-78
	[4] Effect of PSLRA's Limitations on Joint and Several Liability Under the 1933 and 1934 Acts . . . . .	7-79
	[a] Reliance in Section 10(b) Actions . . . . .	7-79
	[b] Section 14(a) and Rule 14a-9 Scienter Requirement . . . . .	7-80
	[c] Controlling Person Liability Under the 1934 Act . . . . .	7-81
	[d] Outside Director Liability Under Section 11 of the 1933 Act . . . . .	7-83
	[5] Contribution Provisions For Jointly and Severally Liable "Covered" Persons. . . . .	7-84
	[6] Proportionate Liability Under PSLRA . . . . .	7-85
	[7] Issuance of Settlement Bar Orders in Partial Settlement Under PSLRA . . . . .	7-86
	[8] Partial Settlements and Judgment Reduction . . . . .	7-88

	[a]	Judgment Reduction Methods in Pre-PSLRA Multidefendant Securities Litigation . . . . .	7-88
	[i]	The <i>Pro Rata</i> Method. . . . .	7-89
	[ii]	The <i>Pro Tanto</i> Method. . . . .	7-90
	[iii]	The Proportionate Fault Method . . . . .	7-92
	[b]	The PSLRA Judgment Reduction Method. . . . .	7-99
	[9]	Special Rules for Reallocation of Liability for Uncollectible Shares . . . . .	7-101
	[10]	Conclusion . . . . .	7-103
§ 7.10		Extraterritorial Reach . . . . .	7-104
	[1]	Case Law Prior to <i>Morrison</i> . . . . .	7-104
	[2]	The <i>Morrison</i> Decision . . . . .	7-107
	[3]	The SEC-DOJ Dodd-Frank Amendment. . .	7-113
	[4]	Subject Matter Jurisdiction and the Merits . . . . .	7-114
	[5]	Analysis of Section 10(b) Transactional Test. . . . .	7-117
	[a]	The First Wave—Purchase or Sale of a Security Listed on a U.S. Exchange. . . . .	7-117
	[b]	Purchase or Sale of Any Other Security in the United States . . . . .	7-121
	[6]	Conclusion . . . . .	7-131
§ 7.11		Conclusion. . . . .	7-132

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary of Contents. . . . .	iii
------------------------------	-----

### Volume Two

#### CHAPTER 8

##### The Corporate Mismanagement-Nondisclosure Cases

§ 8.01	Introduction . . . . .	8-1
§ 8.02	“The Race for the Bottom” . . . . .	8-6
§ 8.03	<i>Santa Fe</i> —An Overview . . . . .	8-13
§ 8.04	Post- <i>Santa Fe</i> Decisions in the State Courts . . . . .	8-19
§ 8.05	Post- <i>Santa Fe</i> Decisions in the Federal Courts . . . . .	8-24
	[1] The <i>Goldberg</i> Rationale. . . . .	8-24
	[2] Caveats to the <i>Goldberg</i> Rationale . . . . .	8-30
	[3] Causation and <i>Virginia Bankshares</i> . . . . .	8-40
§ 8.06	Reflections on <i>Santa Fe</i> and Its Progeny . . . . .	8-50
§ 8.07	Conclusion . . . . .	8-54

#### CHAPTER 9

##### Alternative Remedies Under Federal and State Law

§ 9.01	Introduction . . . . .	9-2
§ 9.02	Implied Rights of Action . . . . .	9-3
§ 9.03	Particular Provisions . . . . .	9-16
	[1] Exchange Liability Under Section 6 . . . . .	9-17
	[2] Violation of Stock Exchange and NASD Rules. . . . .	9-19
	[3] Section 7 . . . . .	9-22
	[4] Rule 10b-16 . . . . .	9-25
	[5] Section 13(d) . . . . .	9-28

[6]	Section 14(a) . . . . .	9-36
[a]	Overview of Section 14(a) Private Right of Action . . . . .	9-40
[b]	Culpability Standards Under Section 14(a) . . . . .	9-45
[c]	A Look at <i>Virginia Bankshares</i> — Causation and “True Purpose” . . . . .	9-54
[7]	Section 14(e) . . . . .	9-57
[8]	Section 29(b) . . . . .	9-59
[9]	Section 18(a) . . . . .	9-62
[10]	Investment Advisers and Investment Company Acts . . . . .	9-64
[11]	Trust Indenture Act . . . . .	9-69
§ 9.04	Cumulative Remedies . . . . .	9-71
§ 9.05	The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO) . . . . .	9-82
§ 9.06	State Securities and Common Law . . . . .	9-85
§ 9.07	Securities Arbitration . . . . .	9-99
[1]	In General . . . . .	9-99
[2]	Arbitration Today . . . . .	9-104
[3]	Investors Fare Better in Arbitration? . . . . .	9-109
§ 9.08	Pleading Standards for Fraud and Non-Fraud Claims . . . . .	9-111
[1]	Introduction . . . . .	9-111
[2]	Three Evolving Pleading Standards: Plausibility, Particularity, and Cogency . . . . .	9-113
[a]	Rule 8(a)(2) and the Death of Notice Pleading: <i>Bell Atlantic Corp. v.</i> <i>Twombly and Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> . . . . .	9-113
[b]	Rule 9(b) and the “Heightened” Fraud Pleading Standard . . . . .	9-121
[c]	The PSLRA and the Pleading Standard for Scienter in Securities Fraud: <i>Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor</i> <i>Issues &amp; Rights, Ltd.</i> . . . . .	9-124
[3]	Blurring the Lines Between Pleading Doctrines . . . . .	9-131
[a]	What is “Plausible”? . . . . .	9-132
[b]	Pleading Standards Converge After <i>Tellabs</i> and <i>Iqbal</i> . . . . .	9-135
[c]	Ties Make a Difference . . . . .	9-139
[4]	Judicial Activism May Plausibly Result in Harsher Treatment of Plaintiffs Pleading Non-Fraudulent Claims . . . . .	9-141

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

vii

	[a] Differing Policy Concerns Lead to Different Pleading Standards . . . . .	9-142
	[b] The Convergence of Pleading Standards Creates an Incongruous and Unfair Federal Civil Litigation System . . . . .	9-146
	[c] The Supreme Court’s Judicial Activism. . . . .	9-150
	[5] Conclusion . . . . .	9-153
§ 9.09	Conclusion. . . . .	9-155

## CHAPTER 10

### Secondary Liability

§ 10.01	Introduction . . . . .	10-1
§ 10.02	Aiding and Abetting Liability . . . . .	10-3
§ 10.03	Secondary Actors Subject to Primary Liability. . . . .	10-9
	[1] Introduction. . . . .	10-9
	[2] Analysis Prior to <i>Stoneridge</i> and <i>Janus Capital</i> . . . . .	10-10
	[a] Primary Liability Where the Secondary Actor Speaks. . . . .	10-10
	[b] Primary Liability Where the Secondary Actor Drafts a Misstatement . . . . .	10-13
	[i] Pre- <i>Central Bank</i> Case Law. . . . .	10-13
	[ii] Post- <i>Central Bank</i> Case Law Prior to <i>Stoneridge</i> and <i>Janus Capital</i> . . . . .	10-18
	[c] Primary Liability Where the Secondary Actor Participates in the Misrepresentation . . . . .	10-22
	[d] Duty to “Blow the Whistle” . . . . .	10-28
	[3] Analysis After <i>Janus Capital</i> , <i>Stoneridge</i> <i>and Lorenzo</i> . . . . .	10-34
	[4] Conclusion . . . . .	10-38.2
§ 10.04	Controlling Person Liability . . . . .	10-39
§ 10.05	<i>Respondeat Superior</i> Liability . . . . .	10-47
§ 10.06	Failure to Supervise . . . . .	10-57
§ 10.07	Conclusion. . . . .	10-59

## CHAPTER 11

## Contests for Corporate Control

§ 11.01	Proxy Contests . . . . .	11-2
§ 11.02	Fiduciary Responsibilities of Financial and Other Intermediaries . . . . .	11-8
§ 11.03	Going Private Transactions . . . . .	11-16
§ 11.04	General Duty to Disclose . . . . .	11-20
§ 11.05	Anti-Takeover Provisions . . . . .	11-23
	[1] Types of Poison Pills . . . . .	11-24
	[2] SEC Action . . . . .	11-25
	[3] State Law Developments . . . . .	11-28
§ 11.06	Impact of <i>Santa Fe</i> . . . . .	11-34
	[1] The <i>Schreiber</i> Decision . . . . .	11-34
	[2] Criticism of <i>Schreiber</i> . . . . .	11-36
§ 11.07	SEC Tender Offer Rules . . . . .	11-42
§ 11.08	Legitimacy of Defensive Tactics . . . . .	11-47
	[1] Fiduciary Duty Obligations . . . . .	11-48
	[2] State Law Standards . . . . .	11-50
	[a] <i>Paramount</i> : The Facts . . . . .	11-51
	[b] Ramifications Upon <i>Revlon</i> . . . . .	11-53
	[c] <i>Unocal</i> Ramifications: The Making of a Cosmetic Level of Review . . . . .	11-59
	[d] Delaware Compared to Other States . . . . .	11-69
	[3] Federal Law Standards . . . . .	11-72
	[4] Proposals for Reform . . . . .	11-77
	[a] State Law . . . . .	11-77
	[b] Federal Law . . . . .	11-83
	[c] Additional Need for Congressional Action . . . . .	11-84
	[5] Views of Commentators . . . . .	11-91
§ 11.09	Conclusion . . . . .	11-95

## CHAPTER 12

## SEC Enforcement

§ 12.01	Overview . . . . .	12-1
§ 12.02	Injunctions—Standards for Imposition . . . . .	12-19
§ 12.03	Ancillary or Other Equitable Relief . . . . .	12-31
§ 12.04	Modification or Dissolution of SEC Injunctions . . . . .	12-41

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ix

§ 12.05	Administrative Proceedings—Selected Issues . . . .	12-47
	[1] Undertakings . . . . .	12-47
	[2] State of Mind . . . . .	12-49
	[3] Standard of Proof . . . . .	12-51
	[4] Judicial Review . . . . .	12-51
§ 12.06	Selected Enforcement Developments . . . . .	12-54
	[1] Foreign Corrupt Practices Act . . . . .	12-59
	[2] Regulation of Professionals . . . . .	12-63
§ 12.07	Conclusion . . . . .	12-78

## CHAPTER 13

### Municipal Issuer Liability

§ 13.01	Introduction . . . . .	13-1
§ 13.02	Antifraud Liability . . . . .	13-5
	[1] Section 17(a) . . . . .	13-5
	[2] Section 10(b) . . . . .	13-6
	[3] State of Mind . . . . .	13-8
	[4] Statements by Public Officials . . . . .	13-9
	[5] Remedies . . . . .	13-11
§ 13.03	Legitimacy of SEC Disclosure Requirements . . . .	13-13
§ 13.04	Constitutional Limitations . . . . .	13-18
	[1] The Tenth Amendment . . . . .	13-18
	[2] The Eleventh Amendment . . . . .	13-20
§ 13.05	Conclusion . . . . .	13-23

## CHAPTER 14

### Shareholder Derivative Actions

§ 14.01	Introduction . . . . .	14-1
§ 14.02	The Business Judgment Rule and <i>Burks v. Lasker</i> . . . . .	14-5
§ 14.03	Shareholder Derivative Litigation in the Federal and State Courts . . . . .	14-11
	[1] Federal Court Decisions . . . . .	14-12
	[2] State Court Decisions . . . . .	14-19
§ 14.04	A Recommended Approach . . . . .	14-41
§ 14.05	Conclusion . . . . .	14-47

## CHAPTER 15

## The Law of Squeeze-Out Mergers

§ 15.01	Introduction . . . . .	15-2
§ 15.02	Prior Delaware Case Law . . . . .	15-5
	[1] <i>Singer</i> and Its Progeny . . . . .	15-5
	[2] Reaction of Other States . . . . .	15-6
§ 15.03	<i>Weinberger v. UOP, Inc.</i> —An Overview . . . . .	15-10
	[1] Background . . . . .	15-10
	[2] The Delaware Supreme Court’s Decision . . . . .	15-12
	[3] Reaction of Other States . . . . .	15-15
§ 15.04	Fair Dealing Under <i>Weinberger</i> and its Progeny . . . . .	15-20
	[1] Presence of Independent Negotiating Committee . . . . .	15-21
	[2] Use of Majority of Minority Vote . . . . .	15-26
	[3] Relation of Procedural Safeguards to Substantive Fairness . . . . .	15-28
§ 15.05	“Fair Value” Under the Appraisal Statute . . . . .	15-32
	[1] Elements of Future Value . . . . .	15-36
	[2] Availability of Equitable Relief . . . . .	15-39
	[3] Two-Tier Offers . . . . .	15-43
	[4] The Stock Exchange Exception . . . . .	15-49
§ 15.06	Effect of <i>Weinberger</i> on State Breach of Fiduciary Duty Suits . . . . .	15-53
§ 15.07	The Duty Owed to Minority Shareholders by an Investment Banker in Rendering a Fairness Opinion . . . . .	15-58
	[1] Investment Banker’s Role in <i>Weinberger</i> . . . . .	15-59
	[2] Duties Owed by Investment Bankers . . . . .	15-60.1
	[3] Application to <i>Weinberger</i> . . . . .	15-63
	[4] Propriety of Implying a Fiduciary Duty . . . . .	15-65
§ 15.08	Implications Under Federal Law . . . . .	15-67
	[1] SEC Rule 13e-3 and Related Issues . . . . .	15-67
	[2] Effect on Rule 10b-5 . . . . .	15-70
§ 15.09	Short-Form Mergers in Delaware . . . . .	15-75
	[1] Exclusivity of Appraisal Remedy . . . . .	15-76
	[2] Increased Use of Tender Offers . . . . .	15-77
	[3] Importance of Adequate Disclosure . . . . .	15-79
	[4] Determination of Fair Value . . . . .	15-80



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

xi

	[5] Federal Actions—Lost State Remedy Theory. . . . .	15-81
	[6] Impact of <i>Glassman</i> . . . . .	15-82
§ 15.10	Conclusion. . . . .	15-84
<b>Index</b>	. . . . .	I-1

