

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

Determining the Damages Period

§ 1.01	Introduction	1-2
§ 1.02	The Outer Boundary of the Damages Period	1-7
	[1] Commercial Cases	1-7
	[2] Government Cases	1-9
	[3] Laches	1-10
§ 1.03	Determining When Infringement Starts	1-14
§ 1.04	Public Policy Behind the Marking/Notice Requirement	1-16
	[1] Historical Background	1-16
	[2] Avoiding Innocent Infringement	1-17
	[3] Encouraging Patentees to Give Notice to the Public	1-18
	[4] Encouraging Innovation by Aiding the Public to Identify Patented Articles	1-22
§ 1.05	Marking/Notice Statute Limits on Damages	1-24
	[1] Determining if Patentee Is Subject to Section 287(a)	1-26
	[a] Threshold Analysis	1-27
	[i] Method Claims and Apparatus Claims in Same Asserted Patent	1-28
	[ii] Asserted Method Patent with Related Apparatus Patent	1-30
	[iii] Assertion of Non-Practiced Unmarked Claims	1-30.1
	[2] Satisfying the Requirements if the Marking/Notice Statute Applies	1-30.2
	[a] Threshold Analysis	1-30.2

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	[b]	Marking and Constructive Notice	1-30.2
		[i] Licensee/Reseller Marking. . .	1-30.3
		[ii] Package Marking	1-30.5
		[iii] Partial or Substantial Compliance.	1-32
		[iv] Combination Patents.	1-34
		[v] Virtual Marking	1-35
	[c]	Actual Notice	1-36
		[i] Balancing Between Notice and Declaratory Judgment.	1-36
		[ii] Notice to Appropriate Party	1-40
		[iii] Notice by Appropriate Party	1-41
		[iv] Content of the Communication	1-42
		[v] Infringer's Conduct	1-44
	[3]	Consequences of Not Meeting the Marking/Notice Requirements.	1-45
§ 1.06	Other	Limitations and Extensions	1-46
	[1]	Foreseeability and Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents.	1-46
	[2]	Product-by-Process Infringement.	1-47
	[3]	Design Patents	1-49
	[4]	False Marking and Marking Estoppel. . .	1-50
		[a] False Marking.	1-50
		[b] Marking Estoppel.	1-54
	[5]	Pre-Issuance Damages	1-56
	[6]	Non-Statutory Double Patenting	1-58
	[7]	Relationship to Timing of Hypothetical Negotiation.	1-59
	[8]	Patent Expiration	1-59
§ 1.07		Questions of Fact and Questions of Law	1-61

CHAPTER 2**Determining the Damages Base**

§ 2.01	Introduction	2-3
§ 2.02	The Apportionment Principle	2-7
	[1] Lost Profits and Reasonable Royalty Calculations	2-11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xvii

	[2]	Ascertaining the Applicable Facts	2-13
	[3]	Scope of the Patent	2-14
	[4]	Categorizing the Unpatented Components	2-18
	[5]	Applying the Apportionment Principle and Exceptions	2-19
	[a]	Apply the Appropriate Apportionment Principle Methodology	2-22
	[i]	Damages Base Is the Smallest Salable Unit, Further Apportioned	2-22
	[ii]	Comparable Licenses	2-25
	[iii]	Standards Essential Patents	2-25
	[b]	Exceptions to the General Rule— Entire Market Value Rule	2-26
	[i]	Basis for Demand	2-26
	[ii]	Functional Unit	2-29
	[iii]	Analogous to Single Functional Unit	2-30
	[c]	Other Special Circumstances	2-32
	[i]	Foreseeability	2-32
	[ii]	Product Made by Patented Process or by a Patented Machine	2-35
	[iii]	Punitive Damages	2-35
	[iv]	Unpatented Consumables	2-36
	[v]	Collateral or Convoyed Sales	2-36
	[vi]	Apportionment in the Reasonable Royalty Context	2-39
	[6]	Determining if Limitations to the Entire Market Value Rule Apply	2-40
	[a]	Apportionment	2-40
	[b]	Spare Parts/Replacement Parts	2-47
	[c]	Unforeseeability	2-48
	[d]	Sales to United States Government	2-49
§ 2.03		Usage Scope of the Damages Base—Indirect Infringement	2-50
	[1]	Categories of Patent Infringement	2-50
	[2]	Elements of Indirect Infringement	2-51

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	[a]	Elements of Induced Infringement	2-51
		[i] Intentional Actions	2-51
		[ii] Knowledge of the Patent	2-52
		[iii] Knowledge that Acts Induced Infringement	2-52
		[iv] Underlying Direct Infringement	2-53
	[b]	Contributory Infringement	2-54
		[i] Sale, Offer or Importation	2-54
		[ii] Act of Direct Infringement	2-55
		[iii] Not a Staple Article, Commodity, Incapable of Substantial Non-Infringing Use	2-55
		[iv] Material Part of the Patented Invention	2-55
		[v] Knowledge	2-56
	[3]	Determining the Damages Base for Indirect Infringement	2-56
		[a] Inherently Infringing Approach	2-57
		[b] Apportionment Approach	2-57
		[c] Hypothetical Negotiation Approach	2-58
§ 2.04		Extraterritorial Scope of the Damages Base	2-59
	[1]	Introduction	2-59
	[2]	Contributory Infringement Outside the U.S.	2-65
		[a] Single Component	2-65
		[b] Design Patents	2-65
		[c] Process or Method Patents	2-66
		[d] Computer Software	2-67
	[3]	Imported Products	2-71
	[4]	Systems Straddling a Border	2-72
	[5]	Sales or Offers to Sell in U.S. Made Outside U.S.	2-73
§ 2.05		Design Patents	2-75

CHAPTER 3

Established Royalty Measure of Damages

§ 3.01	Introduction	3-1
§ 3.02	Determining Whether Established Royalty Exists	3-4
	[1] Paid or Secured Before the Infringement Began	3-4
	[2] Paid by a Sufficient Number of Persons	3-5
	[3] Uniformity	3-6
	[4] Not Under Threat of Suit or in Settlement of Litigation	3-6.1
	[5] Comparable Rights	3-6.2
§ 3.03	Effects on Adequate Compensation	3-7
	[1] Relation to Reasonable Royalty	3-7
	[2] Relation to Lost Profits	3-9

CHAPTER 4

Reasonable Royalty Measure of Damages

§ 4.01	Introduction	4-2
§ 4.02	The Hypothetical Negotiation	4-4
§ 4.03	Calculating the Reasonable Royalty	4-9
	[1] The <i>Georgia-Pacific</i> Factors	4-9
	[a] Overview	4-9
	[b] Comparable Licenses	4-12
	[i] Existing Licenses (Factor 1)	4-13
	[ii] Comparable Patents (Factor 2)	4-16
	[iii] Customary Profit Allocation (Factor 12)	4-18
	[c] Scope and Duration of License (Factors 3 & 7)	4-19
	[d] Relationships Between Parties (Factors 4 & 5)	4-19
	[e] Role of Non-Patented Elements (Factors 6 & 13)	4-20
	[f] Product Profitability (Factor 8)	4-22
	[g] Value of the Patented Invention (Factors 9, 10 & 11)	4-24

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	[h] Expert Testimony and the Hypothetical Negotiation (Factors 14 & 15)	4-25
	[i] Other Factors	4-28
	[i] Widespread Infringement	4-28
	[ii] Cost Savings	4-28
	[iii] Price Erosion	4-29
	[iv] Patent Population	4-30
	[v] Other	4-30
	[2] Application of the <i>Georgia-Pacific</i> Factors	4-32
	[a] Trial Court Discretion	4-32
	[b] Appellate Review	4-33
	[3] Throughput or Paid-Up Licenses	4-34
	[4] Percentage of Sales	4-36
§ 4.04	Other Approaches	4-36.3
	[1] The Analytical Approach	4-36.3
	[2] Mathematical Models	4-37
	[a] The 25-Percent Rule	4-37
	[b] The Nash Bargaining Solution	4-38
	[3] Percentage of Patentee's Profits	4-40
	[4] Allocated Cost Savings	4-41
	[5] U.S. Government as the Infringer	4-42
	[6] Licensing of "Standards Essential" Patents	4-43
	[7] Technology Licensing Model	4-45
	[8] Usage-Based Infringement	4-48
§ 4.05	Applying Enhancements and Limitations	4-50
	[1] Enhancement	4-50
	[2] Limitation on Reasonable Royalty	4-54
	[a] Generally	4-54
	[b] Non-Infringing Alternative	4-54
	[c] Infringer's Profits	4-56
§ 4.06	Post-Verdict Royalty	4-59

CHAPTER 5

Lost Profits Measure of Damages

§ 5.01	Introduction	5-2
§ 5.02	Application of the <i>Panduit</i> Factors	5-7
	[1] Demand for the Patented Product	5-7
	[a] Existing Demand	5-7
	[b] No Demand	5-8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxi

	[c] Products Made by Patented Method	5-10
[2]	No Acceptable Non-Infringing Substitutes	5-10
	[a] Product Dimension	5-11
	[i] Non-Infringing Substitutes Not Found	5-13
	[ii] Non-Infringing Substitutes Found	5-14
	[iii] Market Share Analysis	5-15
	[iv] Willful Infringement Basis for Proving Absence of Non-Infringing Substitute	5-16
	[b] Time Dimension	5-16
[3]	Manufacturing and Marketing Capacity to Meet the Demand	5-18
	[a] Manufacturing Capability	5-18
	[b] Marketing Capability	5-19
	[c] Special Problems Related to Foreign Sales	5-20
[4]	Determining the Amount of Lost Profits	5-21
	[a] Determining the Patentee's Sales "but for" the Infringement	5-23
	[b] Lost Profits Enhancements	5-25
	[i] In General	5-25
	[ii] Entire Market Value Rule and Convoys Sales	5-25
	[iii] Post-Expiration Damages	5-26
	[c] Lost Profits Limitations	5-27
	[d] Determining the Lost Profits on the Damages Base	5-27
	[i] Price Variable	5-27
	[ii] Cost Variable	5-29
§ 5.03	Apportionment of Lost Profits Damages	5-31
§ 5.04	Intercompany Issues	5-32

CHAPTER 6

Limitations on Damages

§ 6.01	Introduction	6-2
§ 6.02	Multiple Recovery and Authorization—	
	Multiple Infringers	6-4
	[1] Types of Infringing Acts and	
	Infringers	6-4
	[a] Types of Patent Claims	6-5
	[b] Types of Infringing Acts	6-7
	[2] Creating Authorization.	6-12
	[a] First Sale of Patented	
	Product—Exhaustion	6-12
	[b] Implied License	6-16
	[i] Creation by Product Sale	6-16
	[ii] Creation Through Product	
	Circumstances.	6-17
	[iii] Creation Through Equitable	
	Estoppel	6-18
	[iv] Creation Through Course of	
	Conduct.	6-18
	[3] Boundaries of Authorization	6-18
	[a] Claims and Patents.	6-18
	[i] Apparatus and Method	
	Claims of the Same	
	Patent	6-18
	[ii] Method Patent.	6-19
	[iii] Combination Patent	6-20
	[b] Use Restriction.	6-20.1
	[c] Life of the Patented Product	6-21
	[d] License Restrictions	6-21
	[4] Repair/Reconstruction	6-22
	[a] Basic Principles	6-22
	[b] Effects Both Under Exhaustion	
	and Implied License.	6-25
	[5] Product Sales by Licensee.	6-26
§ 6.03	Multiple Infringing Acts.	6-28
§ 6.04	Indemnification	6-30
	[1] Obligation of Indemnification	6-30
	[a] Uniform Commercial Code	6-30
	[b] Contractual Arrangements	
	Between Parties	6-33

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxiii

	[2] Limitations on Indemnification	6-35
	[3] Federal Preemption	6-35
§ 6.05	Joint Infringement	6-38

CHAPTER 7

Enhancements to Damages

§ 7.01	Introduction	7-3
§ 7.02	Increased Damages Under 35 U.S.C. § 284	7-5
	[1] Introduction	7-5
	[2] Two-Part Inquiry: Entitlement and Quantification.	7-6
	[3] Pre- <i>Seagate</i> Entitlement to Enhanced Damages for Willful Infringement	7-8
	[a] Knowledge and Duty	7-13
	[b] Affirmative Actions to Avoid Infringement	7-18
	[i] Opinion of Counsel	7-18
	[ii] Effect of Adverse Inference	7-20
	[iii] Competency of the Opinion	7-22
	[4] Post- <i>Seagate</i> Entitlement to Damages	7-25
	[a] Objectively High Likelihood That Acts Constituted Infringement	7-27
	[b] Risk Known or Obvious	7-28
	[5] Post- <i>Halo</i> Standard for Enhanced Damages	7-29
	[6] Quantification of Enhanced Damages	7-30
	[a] Award Amount Is Discretionary	7-30
	[b] The <i>Read</i> Factors	7-31
	[i] Deliberate Copying	7-32
	[ii] Infringer's Good Faith Belief in Invalidity or Non-Infringement	7-33
	[iii] Infringer's Behavior	7-33
	[iv] Infringer's Size and Financial Condition	7-34
	[v] Closeness of the Case	7-35
	[vi] Duration of Infringer's Misconduct	7-35

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	[vii] Remedial Actions	7-36
	[viii] Infringer's Motivation for Harm	7-36
	[ix] Infringer's Attempt to Conceal Misconduct	7-36
§ 7.03	Attorney Fees Under 35 U.S.C. § 285	7-37
	[1] Introduction	7-37
	[2] Determination of Whether the Case is Exceptional—Historical Evolution of Issues	7-40
	[a] Patentee Is the Prevailing Party	7-40
	[b] Accused Infringer Is the Prevailing Party	7-43
	[i] Inequitable Conduct	7-43
	[ii] Patent Misuse	7-46
	[c] Common Issues	7-47
	[i] Litigation Misconduct	7-48
	[ii] Defenses	7-49
	[iii] Appeals	7-50
	[3] Determining Whether the Case is Exceptional— <i>Brooks Furniture</i> Era 2005-2014	7-50
	[a] Standard	7-50
	[b] Burden of Proof	7-51
	[4] Determining Whether the Case is Exceptional—Post- <i>Octane</i> <i>Fitness</i> Era	7-51
	[a] Standard	7-51
	[b] Standard of Review	7-52
	[c] Post- <i>Octane Fitness</i> Decisions	7-52
	[i] Fees Shifted from Prevailing Plaintiff	7-52
	[ii] Fees Shifted from Prevailing Defendant	7-53
	[iii] Fee-Shifting Denied	7-54
	[5] Determination of the Attorney Fee Amount	7-54
	[a] Generally	7-54
	[b] Special Circumstances	7-55
	[i] Dual Awards	7-55
	[ii] Expert Witness Fees	7-56
	[iii] In-House Counsel	7-56
	[iv] Contingent Fees	7-57
§ 7.04	Prejudgment Interest Under Damages Statute	7-58
	[1] Introduction	7-58

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxv

[2]	Awarding Prejudgment Interest is the Norm	7-59
	[a] Denial Proper	7-59
	[b] Denial Not Proper	7-60
	[c] Partial Denial	7-60
[3]	Damage Components	7-61
	[a] Excluding Punitive Components	7-62
	[b] Compensation for Past Damages	7-62
[4]	Interest Rate and Compounding	7-63

CHAPTER 8

Proving Damages

§ 8.01	Introduction	8-2
	[1] Standards of Proof and Review	8-2
§ 8.02	Admissibility under Federal Rule of Evidence 403	8-5
§ 8.03	Expert Testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702	8-6
	[1] Historical Admissibility	8-6
	[2] Applicability of Admissibility Standards	8-8
	[a] Expert Testimony Admissible	8-10
	[i] Disputed Facts	8-10
	[ii] Market Research Studies	8-10
	[iii] Disputed Methodology	8-10
	[iv] Speculative Testimony	8-12
	[b] Expert Testimony Inadmissible	8-12
	[i] Invalid or Erroneous Conclusion	8-12
	[ii] Unsupported Methodology or Speculation	8-12
	[iii] Failure to Relate Conclusion to Supporting Evidence	8-13
	[c] Qualifications	8-13
	[3] Case Outcome	8-14
§ 8.04	Record Retention	8-15
	[1] Adverse Inference	8-15
	[2] Defenses	8-16
§ 8.05	Bifurcation	8-17
	[1] Judicial Economy	8-17
§ 8.06	Discovery and Local Rules	8-19

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

CHAPTER 9

Damages Accounting Issues

§ 9.01	Introduction	9-2
§ 9.02	Assessing Expert Testimony: Accounting Standards for Reliability	9-5
	[1] Generally	9-5
	[2] Admissibility Under Federal Rule of Evidence 702	9-5
	[a] Use of the term “Opinion” by Accountants	9-5
	[b] Professional Qualifications, Rules and Ethical Standards	9-5
	[i] GAAP	9-6
	[ii] AICPA Code of Professional Conduct Rules	9-6
	[iii] MCS/SCSS	9-6
	[iv] Other Certifications	9-8
	[3] Probative Value of Expert Testimony and Limitations	9-9
	[a] Rule 26	9-9
	[b] International Methods	9-10
	[c] Completeness and Disclosure	9-11
	[d] Valuation	9-11
	[e] Skill at Persuading the Court	9-12
§ 9.03	Accounting Issues in Reasonable Royalty Determination	9-14
	[1] Percentage of Sales (or Revenues) Method	9-15
	[a] Royalty Rate	9-15
	[b] Royalty Base	9-16
	[i] Revenue Recognition Principles	9-17
	[ii] Other Issues in Calculating Applicable Sales	9-18
	[2] Percentage of Profits Method	9-19
	[a] Production Costs	9-20
	[b] Fixed and Variable Costs	9-21
	[c] Incremental Cost	9-23
	[d] Standard Cost	9-23
	[e] Activity Based Costing	9-23
	[f] Other Costs	9-24
	[3] Percentage of Cost Savings Method	9-24
	[4] Nash Bargaining Solution	9-26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxvii

§ 9.04	Accounting Issues in Lost Profits	
	Determination	9-26.1
	[1] <i>Panduit</i> Factors	9-26.1
	[a] Variables To Include	9-26.1
	[b] Incremental Profits	9-27
	[2] Future Lost Profits and Prejudgment	
	Interest	9-28
§ 9.05	Sources of Information to Support Estimation	
	of Damages	9-29
§ 9.06	Internal Accounting for Damages Awards	9-30

CHAPTER 10

Injunctive Relief and the International Trade Commission

§ 10.01	Introduction and Overview	10-1
§ 10.02	Injunctive Relief	10-3
	[1] Permanent Injunctions	10-3
	[a] Historical Background	10-3
	[b] Equitable Standards Test	10-5
	[i] Irreparable Injury	10-9
	[ii] Remedies Available	
	at Law Inadequate	10-12
	[iii] Balance of Hardships	10-14
	[iv] Public Interest	10-15
	[c] Non-Practicing Entities and	
	Permanent Injunctions	10-16
	[2] Temporary Injunctions	10-17
§ 10.03	International Trade Commission Actions	10-19
	[1] In General	10-19
	[2] Special Issues with Respect to	
	Non-Practicing Entities	10-22
INDEX	I-1

